# MINNESOTA PRACTICE SERIES<sup>TM</sup>

Volume 10A

# MINNESOTA JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES

Sixth Edition

CRIMINAL [CRIMJIG]

Chapters 17-End


2020-2021 Pocket Part

Issued in September 2020

Prepared by the

MINNESOTA DISTRICT JUDGES ASSOCIATION COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES

> STEPHEN E. FORESTELL Reporter



For Customer Assistance Call 1-800-328-4880

#### © 2020 Thomson Reuters

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered; however, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Nothing contained herein is intended or written to be used for the purposes of 1) avoiding penalties imposed under the federal Internal Revenue Code, or 2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

# 2020–2021 POCKET PART HIGHLIGHTS

The 2020–2021 pocket part to the Sixth Edition of the Criminal Jury Instruction Guides includes significant changes and updates. These include added Comments on the receipt of relationship testimony in domestic abuse cases, clarifying the instruction on liability for the crimes of another, clarifying the definitions of "force" and "coercion" in sex crimes. Several instructions were amended as the result of legislative changes to the law, including criminal sexual conduct with an underage victim in several degrees and sex crimes committed by various public employees and massage and other body workers. The pocket part also addresses legislative changes to harassment and stalking laws and sexual abuse of patients, clients, and residents of care facilities. Surreptitious intrusion instructions have been amended in response to legislative changes, including new instructions on surreptitious intrusion with sexual intent regarding a minor.

Most significantly, this pocket part includes the complete rewrite and renumbering of the instructions on self-defense and the use of force.

# 2020-2021 POCKET PART HIGHLIGHTS

The 2020-2021 pocket part to the Sixth Edition of the Criminal Jury Lawrriction Catalon Facultates Significant changes and updates. These include added Comments on the receipt of relationship testimony in demestic abuse cares, circifying the instructions than the first time on table to the catalons of the catalons of

Most significantly, this pocket part includes the complete rewrite and routmbering of the instructions on self-defense and the use of force.

## MINNESOTA DISTRICT JUDGES ASSOCIATION

### COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES 2020

Hon. Hilary Lindell Caligiuri (4th District), Chair

Hon. Diane Alshouse (Senior Judge)

Hon. Joy Bartscher (2nd District)

Hon. Leslie Beiers (6th District)

Hon. Mark Betters (5th District)

Hon. James Broberg (Retired Judge)

Hon. Suzanne Brown (10th District)

Hon. Joseph Bueltel (3rd District)

Hon. Elizabeth Cutter (4th District)

Hon. Robert Docherty (5th District)

Hon. Nicole Engisch (4th District)

Hon. Kathleen Gearin (Senior Judge)

Hon. Charles Glasrud (8th District)

Hon. JaPaul Harris (2nd District)

Hon. William Koch (4th District)

Hon. Jon Maturi (Senior Judge)

Hon. Cynthia McCollum (1st District)

Hon. Kerry Meyer (4th District)

Hon. James Reuter (Senior Judge)

Hon. Waldemar Senyk (Senior Judge)

Hon. Christopher Strandlie (9th District)

Hon. Judith Tilsen (2nd District)

Hon. Thomas Van Hon (8th District)

Hon. Shan Wang (7th District)

Hon. Mary Yunker (10th District)

Stephen E. Forestell, Esq., Reporter Jenifer O'Leary, Committee Staff

# MINNESOTA DISTRICT JUDGES

## COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL. JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES 2020

Stephen E. Forestell, Esq., Reporter Jenifer O'Leary, Committee Staff

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Committee would like to provide a special thanks to Jon Hoffman, law clerk to the Honorable Hilary Lindell Caligiuri, Sarah Doege, law clerk to the Honorable Nicole Engisch, and to Jim Morrison, law clerk to the Honorable Sharon Benson for their contributions in helping the Committee prepare this 2020–2021 Pocket Part of the Minnesota Criminal Jury Instruction Guide.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Committee would like to provide a special thanks to Jon Hoffman, law clerk to the Honorable Hilary Lindell Caligiuri, Sarah Doege, law clerk to the Honorable Nicole Engisch, and to Jim Morrison, law clerk to the Honorable Sharon Benson for their contributions in helping the Committee prepare this 2020—2021 Pocket Part of the Minnesota Criminal Jury Instruction Guide.

## **PREFACE**

This pocket part to the Minnesota Jury Instruction Guides—Criminal (CRIMJIG), Sixth Edition, has been prepared by the Minnesota District Judges Association Committee on Criminal Jury Instructions, Stephen E. Forestell, Esq., Reporter. It reflects current case law of the Minnesota Supreme Court, Minnesota Court of Appeals, and the Minnesota Legislature through April 2020.

Stephen E. Forestell Reporter Committee on Criminal Jury Instructions Minnesota District Judges Association 2020

## PREFACE

This pocket part to the Minnesota Jury Instruction Guides—Criminal (CRIMIIG), Sixth Edition, has been prepared by the Minnesota District Judges Association Committee on Criminal Jury Instructions, Stephen E. Forestell, Esq., Reporter, It reflects current code law of the Minnesota Supreme Court, Minnesota Court of Appeals, and the Minnesota Legislature through April 2020.

Stephen E. Forestell Reporter Committee on Criminal Jury Instructions Minnesota District Judges Association

2020

# THOMSON REUTERS WESTLAW ™

#### MOST PREFERRED ONLINE LEGAL RESEARCH SERVICE

Thomson Reuters Westlaw has been voted the #1 Best Online Legal Research vendor year-after-year by industry professionals. That's because we continually invest more than any other online legal research provider in our people and technology where it matters most. As a result, you find exactly what you need quickly and confidently.

- Build the strongest argument with the most comprehensive collection of legal content
- Deliver better results confidently with WestSearch®, the only search engine designed specifically for the law
- Rely on the most current version of the law with proprietary editorial enhancements
- Access your legal research anytime, anywhere with the free Westlaw apps

LEARN MORE: legal.thomsonreuters.com
SIGN ON: westlaw.com
24/7 REFERENCE ATTORNEYS: 1-800-REF-ATTY (733-2889)

# THOMSON REUTERS PROVIEW™

This title is one of many now available on your tablet as an eBook.

Take your research mobile. Powered by the Thomson Reuters ProView™ app, our eBooks deliver the same trusted content as your print resources, but in a compact, on-the-go format.

ProView eBooks are designed for the way you work. You can add your own notes and highlights to the text, and all of your annotations will transfer electronically to every new edition of your eBook.

You can also instantly verify primary authority with builtin links to WestlawNext® and KeyCite®, so you can be confident that you're accessing the most current and accurate information.

To find out more about ProView eBooks and available discounts, call 1-800-328-9352.

#### RELATED PRODUCTS

#### MINNESOTA PRACTICE SERIESTM

#### Minnesota DWI Handbook

Douglas V. Hazelton

#### **Civil Jury Instructions Companion Handbook**

Michael K. Steenson and Peter B. Knapp

#### **Civil Rules Annotated**

David F. Herr and Roger S. Havdock

#### **Civil Practice Forms**

Roger S. Haydock, David F. Herr and Sonja Dunnwald Peterson

#### **Appellate Rules Annotated**

Eric J. Magnuson, David F. Herr, and Erica Holzer

#### General Rules of Practice Annotated

David F. Herr

#### Jury Instruction Guides—Civil

Minnesota District Judges Association Committee on Jury Instruction Guides—Civil

#### **Methods of Practice: Civil Advocacy**

Roger S. Haydock and Peter B. Knapp

#### **Methods of Practice**

Steven J. Kirsch

#### **Criminal Law and Procedure**

Henry W. McCarr and Jack S. Nordby

#### Jury Instruction Guides—Criminal

Minnesota District Judges Association Committee on Jury Instruction Guides—Criminal

#### Evidence

Peter N. Thompson

#### Courtroom Handbook of Minnesota Evidence

Peter N. Thompson and David F. Herr

#### **Juvenile Law and Practice**

Robert Scott and John O. Sonsteng

#### **Family Law**

Michael Boulette

#### **Employment Law and Practice**

Stephen F. Befort, Howard Bolter, Grant Collins, and Penelope Phillips

#### **Minnesota Employment Laws**

Krista A.P. Hatcher

#### **Corporation Law and Practice**

John H. Matheson, Philip S. Garon and Michael A. Stanchfield

# Business Law Deskbook—Formation and Operation of Businesses and

**Advanced Topics in Business Law** 

Brent A Olson

# Business Regulation in Minnesota—State and Federal Brent A Olson

#### **Administrative Practice and Procedure**

William J. Keppel

#### **Insurance Law and Practice**

Britton D. Weimer, Clarance E. Hagglund and Andrew F. Whitman

#### **Insurance Statutes**

Britton D. Weimer, Clarance E. Hagglund and Andrew F. Whitman

#### **Trial Handbook for Minnesota Lawyers**

James B. Sheehy

#### Minnesota Probate Deskbook

Mary Alice Fleming and John R. Bedosky

#### Real Estate Law

Larry Wertheim

#### Minnesota Real Estate Laws

Larry Wertheim

#### Collections Handbook

Allan Zlimen

#### **Products Liability Law**

Michael K. Steenson, J. David Prince and Shane Anderson

#### Elements of an Action

David F. Herr

#### **Motions in Limine**

Lisa McGuire and David N. Finley

#### OTHER THOMSON REUTERS PRODUCTS

#### **Minnesota Trial Objections**

David F. Herr and Peter N. Thompson

Minnesota Statutes Annotated

Minnesota Rules of Court—State and Federal


Minnesota Reporter

Minnesota Digest

Westlaw®

WestCheck®

Thomson Reuters thanks you for subscribing to this product. Should you have any questions regarding this product please contact Customer Service at 1-800-328-4880 or by fax at 1-800-340-9378. If you would like to inquire about related publications or place an order, please contact us at 1-800-328-9352.



Thomson Reuters Eagan, MN 55123

legal.thomsonreuters.com

on Mi

to this grednet Should's tact Customer

2008 181 assigns a moser see more

Britton D. Weimez, Clarance E. Ria

Britton D. Weisselvel governous.

Therease Lawren

James B. Shi

Mirroccota Real Eciete Laws Larry Wertheim

## **Table of Contents**

## Volume 10

#### PART I. THE GENERAL PART

#### A. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY

CHAPTER 1.	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE	JURY BEFORE TRIAL
CRIMJIG 1.01	Instructions to the Jury Pa	nel before Empanelment

# CHAPTER 2. INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY DURING TRIAL CRIMJIG 2.01 Cautionary Instruction on Receipt of Testimony of Other Crimes or Occurences

CRIMJIG 2.07	Cautionary Instruction on Receipt of Testimony of
	Other Domestic Abuse Occurrences

CRIMJIG 2.09 Cautionary Instruction on Accomplice Testimony

#### CHAPTER 3. INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY AT CLOSE OF CASE

- CRIMJIG 3.03 Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt
- CRIMJIG 3.04 Duties of Jurors: Selection of Foreperson; Unanimous Verdict; Deliberation; Return of Verdict; Advising of Additional Issues
- CRIMJIG 3.05 Direct and Circumstantial Evidence
- CRIMJIG 3.06 Rulings on Objections to Evidence
- CRIMJIG 3.16 Testimony as to Other Crimes or Occurences
- CRIMJIG 3.18 Accomplice Testimony
- CRIMJIG 3.20 Lesser Crimes
- CRIMJIG 3.30 Closing Instruction on Receipt of Testimony of Other Domestic Abuse Conduct
- CRIMJIG 3.31 Causation
- CRIMJIG 3.32 "Know"—"Had Reason to Know—"Intentionally"—
  "With Intent"—"Recklessly"—Defined
- CRIMJIG 3.35 Restraint of Defendant [New]

#### B. GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF CRIMINAL LAW

#### CHAPTER 4. LIABILITY FOR CRIMES OF ANOTHER

CRIMJIG 4.01 Liability for Crimes of Another

#### CHAPTER 5. ANTICIPATORY CRIMES

CRIMJIG 5.18 Solicitation of a Juvenile to Commit a Crime— Elements

#### MINNESOTA JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES

# CHAPTER 6. DEFENSES—MENTAL ILLNESS OR COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT

CRIMJIG 6.02 Defense of Mental Illness or Cognitive Impairment

CRIMJIG 6.03 Burden of Proof

#### CHAPTER 7. DEFENSES—MISCELLANEOUS

Chimpin 1.02	Entrapment
CRIMJIG 7.05	Justifiable Taking of Life [Retitled]
CRIMIIG 7.06	Authorized Use of Force [Retitled]

- CRIMJIG 7.07 Self-Defense—Revival of Aggressor's Right of Self-Defense
- CRIMJIG 7.11 Authorized Use of Deadly Force by Peace Officers [New]
- CRIMJIG 7.12 Authorized Use of Non-Deadly Force by Peace Officers [New]
- CRIMJIG 7.13 Defense of Self or Others—Death Unintentional Or Death Not The Result [New]
- CRIMJIG 7.14 Defense of Property—Death Unintentional Or Death Not The Result [New]
- CRIMJIG 7.15 Defense of Self or Others—Justifiable Intentional Taking Of Life [New]
- CRIMJIG 7.16 Defense of Dwelling—Justifiable Intentional Taking Of Life [New]
- CRIMJIG 7.17 Revival of Aggressor's right of Self-Defense [New]
- CRIMJIG 7.18 Authorized Use of Deadly Force By a Peace Officer [New]
- CRIMJIG 7.19 Authorized Use of Non-Deadly Force by a Peace Officer [New]

#### CHAPTER 8. SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS

CRIMJIG 8.01 Mandatory Minimum—Possession of a Dangerous Weapon or Firearm

#### SPECIAL VERDICT FORMS

CR8-SVF Aggravating Factors—Verdict Form [Retitled]

#### PART II. SPECIFIC CRIMES

#### A. CRIMES AGAINST THE PERSON

#### CHAPTER 11. HOMICIDE

- CRIMJIG 11.13 Murder in the First Degree—Child Abuse—Elements CRIMJIG 11.15 Murder in the First Degree—Domestic Abuse—
- CRIMJIG 11.21 Elements

  CRIMJIG 11.21 Murder of an Unborn Child in the First Degree—

  Criminal Sayual Conduct in the First or Second
- Criminal Sexual Conduct in the First or Second
  Degree—Elements
- CRIMJIG 11.23 Murder of an Unborn Child in the First Degree—While Committing Certain Crimes—Elements

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

CRIMJIG 11.25	Murder in the Second Degree—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.27	Murder in the Second Degree—Drive-by Shooting— Elements
CRIMJIG 11.29	Murder in the Second Degree—While Committing a Felony—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.33	Murder of an Unborn Child in the Second Degree— Elements
CRIMJIG 11.35	Murder of an Unborn Child in the Second Degree—While Committing a Felony—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.36	Murder in the Second Degree—Issue of Heat of Passion—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.38	Murder in the Third Degree—Depraved Mind— Elements
CRIMJIG 11.40	Murder in the Third Degree—Controlled Substances—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.42	Murder of an Unborn Child in the Third Degree— Depraved Mind—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.44	Manslaughter in the First Degree—Heat of Passion—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.46	Manslaughter in the First Degree—While Committing Assault in the Fifth Degree— Misdemeanor or Gross Misdemeanor—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.48	Manslaughter in the First Degree—Controlled Substances—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.56	Manslaughter in the Second Degree—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.61	Criminal Vehicular Homicide—Defined
CRIMJIG 11.62	Criminal Vehicular Homicide—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.64	Criminal Vehicular Homicide Leaving the Scene— Death—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.65	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Death of an Unborn Child—Defined
CRIMJIG 11.66	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Death of an Unborn Child—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.67	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Great Bodily Harm—Defined
CRIMJIG 11.68	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Great Bodily Harm—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.69	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Great Bodily Harm to an Unborn Child—Defined
CRIMJIG 11.70	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Great Bodily Harm to an Unborn Child—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.71	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm—Defined
CRIMJIG 11.72	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm—Elements
CRIMJIG 11.73	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Bodily Harm—Defined
CRIMJIG 11.74	Criminal Vehicular Operation Resulting in Bodily Harm—Elements

#### MINNESOTA JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES

CRIMJIG	11.76	Criminal Vehicular Operation—Leaving the Scene—Great Bodily Harm, Substantial Bodily Harm, Bodily Harm—Elements
CRIMJIG	11.78	Criminal Vehicular Operation—Leaving the Scene— Substantial Bodily Harm—Elements
CRIMJIG	11.87	Criminal Vehicular Homicide—Failure to Maintain— Death—Elements
CRIMJIG	11.88	Criminal Vehicular Homicide—Failure to Maintain— Bodily Harm—Defined
CRIMJIG	11.89	Criminal Vehicular Homicide—Failure to Maintain— Bodily Harm—Elements
	APTER	
CRIMJIG		"Force" and "Coercion" Defined for Criminal Sexua Conduct
CRIMJIG	12.04	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the First Degree— Sexual Penetration—Complainant Underage— Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG	12.05	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the First Degree— Sexual Penetration—Complainant Underage— Elements
CRIMJIG	12.09	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the First Degree— Significant Relationship—Complainant under 16—Use of Force—Elements
CRIMJIG	12.11	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the First Degree— Complainant under 16—Significant Relationship—Elements
CRIMJIG	12.14	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Second Degree—Complainant Underage—Defined
CRIMJIG	12.15	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Second Degree—Complainant Underage—Elements
CRIMJIG	12.19	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Second Degree— Significant Relationship—Complainant under 16—Elements
CRIMJIG	12.24	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third Degree— Complainant Underage—Defined
CRIMJIG	12.25	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third Degree— Complainant Underage—Elements
CRIMJIG	12.29	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third Degree— Significant Relationship—Complainant 16 to 18— Elements
CRIMJIG	12.39	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree— Complainant Underage—Defined
CRIMJIG	12.40	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree— Complainant Underage—Elements
CRIMJIG	12.42	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree— Significant Relationship—Complainant 16 to 18— Use of Force, Etc.—Elements
CRIMJIG	12.44	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree—

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Significant Relationship—Complainant 16 to 18—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.52	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fifth Degree— Elements
CRIMJIG 12.54	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fifth Degree— Victim under 16—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.55	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third and Fourth Degrees—Complainant Underage—Mistake of Age as a Defense
CRIMJIG 12.56	Criminal Sexual Conduct—Defendant Not Guilty if Cohabiting with or Married to Complainant
CRIMJIG 12.66	Prostitution—Engages in Prostitution, Hires, Offers, or Agrees to Sex—Defined
CRIMJIG 12.67	Prostitution—Engages in Prostitution, Hires, Offers, or Agrees to Sex—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.74	Prostitution—Additional Issue—School Zone/Park Zone
CRIMJIG 12.76	Solicitation of a Child to Engage in Sexual Conduct—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.76.1	Solicitation of a Child to Engage in Sexual Conduct—Mistake of Age as a Defense [New]
CRIMJIG 12.78	Sexual Performance—Use of Minors—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.82	Sexual Performance—Use of Minors— Dissemination—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.88	Indecent Exposure—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.90	Indecent Exposure in Presence of a Minor under 16—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.100	Failure to Register as a Predatory Offender— Convicted or Adjudicated Delinquent—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.102	Failure to Register as a Predatory Offender— Committed Pursuant to Court Order—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.105	Dissemination of Pornographic Work Involving Minors—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.106	Possession of Pornographic Work Involving Minors—Defined
CRIMJIG 12.107	Possession of Pornographic Work Involving Minors—Elements
CRIMJIG 12.112	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third Degree— Correctional Facility Employee Misconduct— Defined
CRIMJIG 12.113	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third Degree— Correctional Facility Employee Misconduct— Elements
CRIMJIG 12.114	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree— Correctional Facility Employee Misconduct— Defined
CRIMJIG 12.115	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree— Correctional Facility Employee Misconduct— Elements

#### MINNESOTA JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES

CRIMJIG	12.116	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third Degree— Special Transportation Employee Misconduct— Defined
CRIMJIG	12.117	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third Degree— Special Transportation Employee Misconduct— Elements
CRIMJIG	12.118	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree— Special Transportation Employee Misconduct— Defined
CRIMJIG	12.119	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree— Special Transportation Employee Misconduct— Elements
CRIMJIG	12.120	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third Degree— Massage or Other Bodywork—Defined
CRIMJIG	12.121	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Third Degree— Massage or Other Bodywork—Elements
CRIMJIG	12.122	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree— Massage or Other Bodywork—Defined
CRIMJIG	12.123	Criminal Sexual Conduct in the Fourth Degree— Massage or Other Bodywork—Elements
CITT A TOM	TID 40	ACCALLER AND DEL AMED CENTRES ACCALLING
CHAPT	EK 13	ASSAULT AND RELATED CRIMES AGAINST THE PERSON
CRIMJIG	13 01	Assault—Intent to Cause Fear
CRIMJIG		Assault—Infliction of Bodily Harm
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CRIMJIG	13.04	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements
CRIMJIG CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Elements
CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous
CRIMJIG CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10 13.12	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous
CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10 13.12 13.21	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Substantial Bodily Harm—Elements Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace
CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10 13.12 13.21 13.22	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Substantial Bodily Harm—Elements Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Defined Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace
CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10 13.12 13.21 13.22 13.47	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Substantial Bodily Harm—Elements Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Defined Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Elements Domestic Assault—Intent to Cause Fear—
CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10 13.12 13.21 13.22 13.47	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Substantial Bodily Harm—Elements Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Defined Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Elements Domestic Assault—Intent to Cause Fear— Elements Domestic Assault—Intent to Inflict Bodily Harm—
CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10 13.12 13.21 13.22 13.47 13.48 13.49	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Substantial Bodily Harm—Elements Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Defined Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Elements Domestic Assault—Intent to Cause Fear— Elements Domestic Assault—Intent to Inflict Bodily Harm—Elements Domestic Assault—Prior Conviction Within Ten Years—Felony—Intent to Cause Fear or Inflict Bodily Harm—Defined Domestic Assault—Prior Conviction or Adjudication Within Ten Years—Gross Misdemeanor—Intent to Cause Fear or Inflict Bodily Harm—Defined
CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10 13.12 13.21 13.22 13.47 13.48 13.49 13.52	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Substantial Bodily Harm—Elements Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Defined Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Elements Domestic Assault—Intent to Cause Fear— Elements Domestic Assault—Intent to Inflict Bodily Harm—Elements Domestic Assault—Prior Conviction Within Ten Years—Felony—Intent to Cause Fear or Inflict Bodily Harm—Defined Domestic Assault—Prior Conviction or Adjudication Within Ten Years—Gross Misdemeanor—Intent to Cause Fear or Inflict Bodily Harm—Defined Harassment—Defined (OLD)
CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG CRIMJIG	13.04 13.10 13.12 13.21 13.22 13.47 13.48 13.49 13.52	Assault in the First Degree (Assault with Great Bodily Harm)—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Elements Assault in the Second Degree—Dangerous Weapon—Substantial Bodily Harm—Elements Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Defined Assault in the Fourth Degree—On a Peace Officer—Elements Domestic Assault—Intent to Cause Fear— Elements Domestic Assault—Intent to Inflict Bodily Harm—Elements Domestic Assault—Prior Conviction Within Ten Years—Felony—Intent to Cause Fear or Inflict Bodily Harm—Defined Domestic Assault—Prior Conviction or Adjudication Within Ten Years—Gross Misdemeanor—Intent to Cause Fear or Inflict Bodily Harm—Defined

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

CRIMJIG 13.58.1	Harassment—Elements (NEW)
CRIMJIG 13.59	Stalking—Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 13.60	Stalking—Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 13.66	Defense to Harassment and Stalking [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 13.68	Mistreatment of Persons Confined—Child— Elements
CRIMJIG 13.71	Sexual Abuse—(Resident) (Patient) (Client)— Defined
CRIMJIG 13.72	Sexual Abuse—(Resident) (Patient) (Client)— Elements
CRIMJIG 13.85	Malicious Punishment of a Child—Elements
CRIMJIG 13.93	Child Endangerment—Exposure to Controlled Substances—Defined
CRIMJIG 13.94	Child Endangerment—Exposure to Controlled Substances—Elements
CRIMJIG 13.103	Coercion—Defined
CRIMJIG 13.104	Coercion—Elements
CRIMJIG 13.106	Threat of Violence—Threat to Commit a Crime of Violence—Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 13.107	Threat of Violence—Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 13.110	Threat of Violence—(Replica Firearms) (BB Guns)—Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 13.111	Threat of Violence—(Replica Firearms) (BB Guns)—Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 13.120	Disorderly Conduct—Defined
CRIMJIG 13.121	Disorderly Conduct—Elements

#### B. PROPERTY CRIMES

#### CHAPTER 16. THEFT AND RELATED OFFENSES

CDIMITIC	10.00	mi e mi: p . c. a ii bii
CRIMJIG		Theft—Taking Property of Another—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.06	Theft—Deception by False Representation
		(Including Theft by Check)—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.07	Theft—Issuance of Worthless Check—Defined
CRIMJIG	16.08	Theft—Issuance of Worthless Check—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.12	Theft—Lost Property—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.14	Theft—Vending Machine—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.22	Theft—Motor Vehicle—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.32	Theft of Corporate Property—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.34	Unauthorized Distribution of Corporate Property-
		Elements
CRIMJIG	16.60	Financial Transaction Card Fraud—Provider
		Fraud—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.64	Financial Transaction Card Fraud—False Theft
		Report—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.71	Insurance Fraud—Elements
CRIMJIG	16.75	Fraud of Hotel or Restaurant Owner (Defrauding
		an Innkeeper)—Elements

#### MINNESOTA JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES

CRIMJIG 16.104 Financial Transaction Card Fraud—Trafficking of snap benefits—Defined [New]

CRIMJIG 16.105 Financial Transaction Card Fraud—Trafficking of snap benefits—Elements [New]

## Volume 10A

#### CHAPTER 17 BURGLARY Burglary in the First Degree—Occupied Dwelling-**CRIMJIG 17.02** Elements Burglary in the First Degree—Weapon—Elements CRIMJIG 17 03 Burglary in the First Degree—Assault—Elements **CRIMJIG 17.04** CRIMJIG 17.06 Burglary in the Second Degree—Dwelling— Elements Burglary in the Second Degree—Bank—Elements CRIMJIG 17.07 **CRIMJIG 17 08** Burglary in the Second Degree—Controlled Substances Stored—Elements CRIMJIG 17.09 Burglary in the Second Degree—Tool—Elements Burglary in the Third Degree—Elements CRIMJIG 17.11 CRIMJIG 17.13 Burglary in the Fourth Degree—Elements CRIMJIG 17.31 Surreptitious Intrusion—House or Dwelling Place Defined [Retitled] CRIMJIG 17.32 Surreptitious Intrusion—House or Dwelling Place Elements [Retitled] **CRIMJIG 17 33** Surreptitious Intrusion—Expectation of Privacy-Defined Surreptitious Intrusion—Expectation of Privacy— CRIMJIG 17.34 Elements CRIMJIG 17.42 Surreptitious Intrusion—With Sexual Intent Regarding a Minor—Defined [New] Surreptitious Intrusion by Device-With Sexual CRIMJIG 17.43 Intent Regarding a Minor—Elements [New]

#### CHAPTER 18. ARSON, AND OTHER CRIMES OF DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY

	DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY		
(	CRIMJIG 18.02	Arson in the First Degree—Dwelling—Elements	
(	CRIMJIG 18.04	Arson in the First Degree—Buildings Other Than Dwellings—Elements	
(	CRIMJIG 18.06	Arson in the First Degree—Flammable or Combustible Liquids—Elements	
(	CRIMJIG 18.08	Arson in the Second Degree—Elements	
(	CRIMJIG 18.10	Arson in the Third Degree—Elements	
(	CRIMJIG 18.12	Arson in the Fourth Degree—Elements	
(	CRIMJIG 18.14	Arson in the Fifth Degree—Elements	
(	CRIMJIG 18.28	Criminal Damage to Property—Elements	
(	CRIMJIG 18.30	Criminal Damage to Property—Second Degree and	
		Third Degree—Elements	

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### C. DRUG CRIMES

	CHAPTER 20. DRUG CRIMES
CRIMJIG 20.01	Controlled Substance Crime in the First Degree—Sale—Defined
CRIMJIG 20.02	Controlled Substance Crime in the First Degree—Sale—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.03	Controlled Substance Crime in the First Degree— Possession—Defined
CRIMJIG 20.04	Controlled Substance Crime in the First Degree— Possession—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.07	Controlled Substance Crime in the Second Degree—Sale—Defined
CRIMJIG 20.08	Controlled Substance Crime in the Second Degree—Sale—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.12	Controlled Substance Crime in the Second Degree—Sale/Schools/Parks/Public Housing/Drug Treatment Facility—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.13	Controlled Substance Crime in Second Degree— Possession—Defined
CRIMJIG 20.14	Controlled Substance Crime in the Second Degree— Possession—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.15	Controlled Substance Crime in the Third Degree—Sale—Defined
CRIMJIG 20.19	Controlled Substance Crime in the Third Degree— Possession—Defined
CRIMJIG 20.20	Controlled Substance Crime in the Third Degree— Possession—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.22	Controlled Substance Crime in the Third Degree— Possession in School/Park/Public Housing Zone/ Drug Treatment Facility—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.27	Controlled Substance Crime in the Fourth Degree—Sale—School/Park/Public Housing Zone/Drug Treatment Facility—Defined
CRIMJIG 20.28	Controlled Substance Crime in the Fourth Degree—Sale—School/Park/Public Housing Zone—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.32	Controlled Substance Crime in the Fourth Degree— Possession—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.36	Controlled Substance Crime in the Fifth Degree— Possession—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.42	Other Controlled Substance Offense Including Salvia Divinorum and Synthetic Cannabinoids— Possession—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.44	Possession of a Small Amount of Marijuana in a Motor Vehicle—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.46	(Delivery) (Possession for Delivery) (Manufacture for Delivery) of Drug Paraphernalia—Elements
CRIMJIG 20.62	Great Bodily Harm Caused by Distribution of Drugs—Elements

#### MINNESOTA JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES

CRIMJIG 20.64 Controlled Substance Crime—Methamphetamine
Manufacturer—Possession of Precursors—
Elements

# D. CRIMES AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

#### CHAPTER 22. PERJURY

CRIMJIG 22.02 Perjury—Action, Hearing, or Proceeding—Elements

#### E. OTHER CRIMES

CHAP'	TER 28. GAME AND FISH VIOLATIONS
CRIMJIG 28.11	Taking Wild Animals While under the Influence— Defined
CRIMJIG 28.12	Taking Wild Animals While under the Influence— Elements
	CHAPTER 29. TRAFFIC
CRIMJIG 29.07	Driving While under the Influence of a Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled Substance and/or an Intoxicating Substance— Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.08	Driving While under the Influence of a Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled Substance and/or an Intoxicating Substance— Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.13	Driving While under the Influence of an Intoxicating Substance—Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.14	Driving While under the Influence of an Intoxicating Substance—Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.15	Driving with an Alcohol Concentration of 0.08 or More as Measured Within Two Hours of the Time of Driving—Affirmative Defense
CRIMJIG 29.20	Driving under the Influence—Aggravating Factors
CRIMJIG 29.21	Refusal to Submit to Testing—Defined
CRIMJIG 29.22	Refusal to Submit to Testing—Elements
CRIMJIG 29.25	Reckless Driving—Defined
CRIMJIG 29.26	Reckless Driving—Elements
CRIMJIG 29.43	Failure to Stop and Give Information—Unattended Vehicle—Defined
CRIMJIG 29.44	Failure to Stop and Give Information—Unattended Vehicle—Elements
CRIMJIG 29.57	Failure to Yield—Pedestrians—Absence of Signals—Defined
CRIMJIG 29.58	Failure to Yield—Pedestrians—Absence of Signals—Elements
CRIMJIG 29 63	Operating Aircraft While under the Influence of a

Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Substance and/or an Intoxicating Substance— Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.64	Operating Aircraft While under the Influence of a Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled Substance and/or a Hazardous Substance— Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.70	Operating Aircraft While under the Influence of an Intoxicating Substance—Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.71	Operating Aircraft While under the Influence of an Intoxicating Substance—Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.84	Operating a Snowmobile/All-Terrain Vehicle While under the Influence of a Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled Substance and/or an Intoxicating Substance—Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.85	Operating a Snowmobile/All-Terrain Vehicle While under the Influence of a Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled Substance and/or an Intoxicating Substance—Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.90	Operating a Snowmobile/All-Terrain Vehicle While under the Influence of an Intoxicating Substance—Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.91	Operating a Snowmobile/All-Terrain Vehicle While under the Influence of an Intoxicating Substance—Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.96	Operating a Motorboat While under the Influence of a Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled Substance and/or an Intoxicating Substance—Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.97	Operating a Motorboat While under the Influence of a Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled Substance and/or an Intoxicating Substance—Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.102	Operating a Motorboat While under the Influence of an Intoxicating Substance—Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.103	Operating a Motorboat While under the Influence of an Intoxicating Substance—Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.110	Operating an Off-Highway Motorcycle/Off-Road Vehicle While under the Influence of a Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled Substance and/or an Intoxicating Substance— Defined [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.111	Operating an Off-Highway Motorcycle/Off-Road Vehicle While under the Influence of a Combination of Alcohol and/or a Controlled Substance and/or an Intoxicating Substance— Elements [Retitled]
CRIMJIG 29.116	Operating an Off-Highway Motorcycle/Off-Road Vehicle While under the Influence of an Intoxicating Substance—Defined [Retitled]
CRIM.IIG 29 117	Operating an Off-Highway Motorcycle/Off-Road

#### MINNESOTA JURY INSTRUCTION GUIDES

Vehicle While under the Influence of an

	Intoxicating Substance—Elements [Retitled]	
CRIMJIG 29.124	0	
	Crosswalk to Permit a Pedestrian to Cross a	
	Roadway—Defined [New]	
CRIMJIG 29.125	Overtaking and Passing a Vehicle Stopped at a	
	Crosswalk to Permit a Pedestrian to Cross a	
	Roadway—Elements [New]	
CHAPTER 32. WEAPONS CRIMES		
CRIMJIG 32.02	Use/Possession of Dangerous Weapon—Elements	
CRIMJIG 32.08	Intentional Discharge of a Firearm—Elements	
CRIMJIG 32.10	Reckless Discharge of a Firearm Within a	
	Municipality—Elements	
CRIMJIG 32.16	Ineligible Person in Possession of (a Firearm)	
	(Ammunition)—Defined [Retitled]	
CRIMJIG 32.17	Ineligible Person in Possession of (a Firearm)	
	(Ammunition)—Elements [Retitled]	
CRIMJIG 32.20	Possession of (Firearm) (Pistol) (Semi-Automatic	
	Military-Style Assault Weapon) (Ammunition) by	
CRIMJIG 32.21	an Ineligible Person—Defined [Retitled]	
CRIMITG 32.21	Possession of (Firearm) (Pistol) (Semi-Automatic Military-Style Assault Weapon) (Ammunition) by	
	an Ineligible Person—Elements [Retitled]	
CRIMJIG 32.24	Sale/Possession of a Firearm Silencer—Defined	
CRIMJIG 32.25	Sale/Possession of a Firearm Silencer—Elements	
CRIMJIG 32.36	Possession of a Pistol Without a Permit—Defined	
CRIMJIG 32.37	Possession of a Pistol Without a Permit—Elements	
CRIMJIG 32.45	Carrying a Pistol in a Public Place While (Under the	
	Influence of a Controlled Substance) (Under the	
	Influence of Alcohol)(Has a Blood Alcohol	
	Concentration of 0.10 or More)(Has a Blood Alcohol	
	Concentration of Less Than 0.10 But More Than	
CDIMING OO 40	0.04)—Defined	
CRIMJIG 32.46	Carrying a Pistol in a Public Place While (Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance) (Under the	
	Influence of Alcohol)(Has a Blood Alcohol	
	Concentration of 0.10 or More)(Has a Blood Alcohol	
	Concentration of Less Than 0.10 But More Than	
	0.04)—Elements	

**Table of Laws and Rules** 

Table of Cases

Index

#### PART II. SPECIFIC CRIMES

#### B. PROPERTY CRIMES

# CHAPTER 17

#### BURGLARY

#### **CRIMJIG 17.02**

# BURGLARY IN THE FIRST DEGREE—OCCUPIED DWELLING—ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

A "building" is a structure suitable for affording shelter for human beings, including any adjacent, appurtenant, or connected structure. Minn. Stat. § 609.581, subds. 2 and 3. See also State

v. Lopez, 908 N.W.2d 334 (Minn. 2018) (holding a hotel guest commits a burglary when he or she enters another guest's room without consent and commits a theft in that room).

#### BURGLARY IN THE FIRST DEGREE—WEAPON— ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction and Comments with the following text and Comments:

#### The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant (entered a building without the consent of the person in lawful possession) (entered a building by using artifice, trick, or misrepresentation to obtain consent to enter from the person in lawful possession) (remained within a building without consent of the person in lawful possession). [The entry does not have to have been made by force or by breaking in. Entry through an open or unlocked door or window is sufficient.] [Whoever enters a building while open to the general public does so with consent, except when consent was expressly withdrawn before entry.] [The defendant need not have entered the building without the consent of the person in lawful possession, nor does it matter whether the person knows of the defendant's remaining, so long as that person does not consent to the defendant's remaining in the building.]

"Building" means a structure suitable for affording shelter for human beings including any appurtenant or connected structure.

A person is in lawful possession when the person owns the building or has been given the right to control or occupy the building by the owner. Such a person may be in lawful possession of the building, although the person is not physically present at the time of the entry.<sup>2</sup>

[1] **Second**, the defendant possessed a dangerous weapon or an explosive when entering or at any time while in the building.<sup>3</sup>

"A dangerous weapon" means any firearm, whether loaded or unloaded, or any device designed as a

weapon and capable of producing death or great bodily harm, any combustible or flammable liquid or other device or instrumentality that, in the manner it is used or intended to be used, is calculated or likely to produce death or great bodily harm, or any fire that is used to produce death or great bodily harm.<sup>4</sup>

"Great bodily harm" means bodily harm that creates a high probability of death, causes serious permanent disfigurement, or causes a permanent or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any part of the body, or other serious bodily harm.<sup>5</sup>

[2] **Second**, the defendant possessed an article used or fashioned in a manner to lead (another person) (\_\_\_\_\_) to reasonably believe it to be a dangerous weapon when entering or at any time while in the building.

"A dangerous weapon" means any firearm, whether loaded or unloaded, or any device designed as a weapon and capable of producing death or great bodily harm, any combustible or flammable liquid or other device or instrumentality that, in the manner it is used or intended to be used, is calculated or likely to produce death or great bodily harm, or any fire that is used to produce death or great bodily harm.

"Great bodily harm" means bodily harm that creates a high probability of death, causes serious permanent disfigurement, or causes a permanent or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any part of the body, or other serious bodily harm.<sup>7</sup>

**Third,** (the alleged victim) (\_\_\_\_\_) was physically present at any time while the defendant was in the building.<sup>8</sup>

[1] (Third) (Fourth), the defendant entered or remained in the building with the intent to commit [Insert name of intended crime alleged].

The elements of \_\_\_\_\_ are:

[INSERT elements of the intended crime without date or venue.]

It is not necessary that the intended crime was actually completed or attempted, but it is necessary that the defendant had the intent to commit that crime at the time the defendant entered or remained in the building. Whether the defendant intended to commit the crime must be determined from all the circumstances, including the manner and time of entry or remaining in the building, the nature of the building and its contents, any things the defendant may have had with the defendant, and all the other evidence in the case.

[2] (Third) (Fourth), the defendant committed the crime of \_\_\_\_\_ [Insert name of crime alleged] while in the building.

The elements of \_\_\_\_\_ are:

[INSERT elements of the alleged crime without date or venue.]

(Fourth) (Fifth), the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_, in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.582, subd. 1(b).

owner can divest himself or herself of the right to lawful possession of the building through an agreement with a co-owner. *State v. Spence*, 768 N.W.2d 104 (Minn. 2009).

<sup>3</sup>The statute does not impose an additional *mens rea* requirement with respect to the element of possession of a dangerous weapon, *i.e.*, the state

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.581, subd. 2. See also State v. Lopez, 908 N.W.2d 334 (Minn. 2018) (holding a hotel guest committed a burglary when he entered another guest's room without consent and committed a theft in that room).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lawful possession defined, see CRIMJIGs 17.15, 17.16. Note that an

need not prove the defendant knowingly possessed a dangerous weapon. See State v. Garcia-Gutierrez, 844 N.W.2d 519, 522 (Minn. 2014).

<sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 6. <sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 8.

<sup>6</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 6.

<sup>7</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 8.

<sup>8</sup>This element is required when the state alleges the defendant possessed an "article used or fashioned in a manner to lead the victim to reasonably believe it to be a dangerous weapon." See State v. Rogers, 925 N.W.2d 1, 4 (Minn. 2019).

# BURGLARY IN THE FIRST DEGREE—ASSAULT—ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

A "building" is a structure suitable for affording shelter for human beings, including any adjacent, appurtenant, or connected structure. Minn. Stat. § 609.581, subds. 2 and 3. See also State v. Lopez, 908 N.W.2d 334 (Minn. 2018) (holding a hotel guest commits a burglary when he or she enters another guest's room without consent and commits a theft in that room).

#### BURGLARY IN THE SECOND DEGREE— DWELLING—ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

A "building" is a structure suitable for affording shelter for human beings, including any adjacent, appurtenant, or connected structure. Minn. Stat. § 609.581, subds. 2 and 3. See also State

v. Lopez, 908 N.W.2d 334 (Minn. 2018) (holding a hotel guest commits a burglary when he or she enters another guest's room without consent and commits a theft in that room).

#### COMMENT

Add the following text as the second paragraph of the Comment:

In *State v. Rodriguez*, 863 N.W.2d 424 (Minn. App. 2015) the Court of Appeals held that when the predicate offense for second-degree burglary is criminal damage to property, the entry of any part of the offender's body into the premises satisfies the statutory requirement that the offender committed a crime while in the building. *See also Munger v. State*, 749 N.W.2d 335 (Minn. 2008).

#### BURGLARY IN THE SECOND DEGREE—BANK— ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

A "building" is a structure suitable for affording shelter for human beings, including any adjacent, appurtenant, or connected structure. Minn. Stat. § 609.581, subds. 2 and 3. See also State v. Lopez, 908 N.W.2d 334 (Minn. 2018) (holding a hotel guest commits a burglary when he or she enters another guest's room without consent and commits a theft in that room).

### BURGLARY IN THE SECOND DEGREE— CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES STORED—ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

A "building" is a structure suitable for affording shelter for human beings, including any adjacent, appurtenant, or connected structure. Minn. Stat. § 609.581, subds. 2 and 3. See also State

### BURGLARY IN THE SECOND DEGREE—TOOL— ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

A "building" is a structure suitable for affording shelter for human beings, including any adjacent, appurtenant, or connected structure. Minn. Stat. § 609.581, subds. 2 and 3. See also State

#### BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE—ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

A "building" is a structure suitable for affording shelter for human beings, including any adjacent, appurtenant, or connected structure. Minn. Stat. § 609.581, subds. 2 and 3. See also State

### BURGLARY IN THE FOURTH DEGREE—ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

A "building" is a structure suitable for affording shelter for human beings, including any adjacent, appurtenant, or connected structure. Minn. Stat. § 609.581, subds. 2 and 3. See also State

# SURREPTITIOUS INTRUSION—HOUSE OR DWELLING PLACE—DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace the current Jury Instruction and Comments with the following text and Comments:

Under Minnesota law, whoever, with intent to intrude upon or interfere with the privacy of a member of the household, enters upon another's property and

- [1] surreptitiously gazes, stares, or peeps in the window or any other aperture of the house or dwelling place of another
- [2] surreptitiously installs or uses any device for observing, photographing, recording, amplifying, or broadcasting sounds or events through the window or any other aperture of the house or dwelling place of another

is guilty of a crime.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.746, subd. 1(a), (b), (e).

# SURREPTITIOUS INTRUSION—HOUSE OR DWELLING PLACE—ELEMENTS [Retitled]

Replace the current Jury Instruction and Comments with the following text and Comments:

#### The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant entered upon the property of (\_\_\_\_\_) (another person).

- [1] **Second**, the defendant surreptitiously gazed, stared, or peeped in the window or any other aperture<sup>1</sup> of the house or dwelling place of (\_\_\_\_\_) (another person).
- [2] **Second**, the defendant surreptitiously installed or used any device for observing, photographing, recording, amplifying, or broadcasting sounds or events through the window or any other aperture<sup>2</sup> of the house or dwelling place of (\_\_\_\_) (another person).

**Third**, the defendant (gazed, stared, or peeped) (installed the device) with intent to intrude upon or interfere with the privacy of a member of the household<sup>3</sup> of (\_\_\_\_\_) (another person).

"With intent to" means that the actor either had a purpose to do the thing or cause the result specified, or believed that the act, if successful, would cause that result.4

[Fourth, the defendant's act was against a person under the age of 18.]

[Fifth, the defendant knew or had reason to know a person under the age of 18 was present.

"To know" requires only that the defendant believed that a person under the age of 18 was present.<sup>5</sup>]

(Fourth)(Sixth), the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_, in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

[If you find the defendant guilty, you have an additional issue to determine, and it will be put to you in the form of a question on the verdict form. The question is: Has the defendant previously been convicted of the crime of ——?<sup>6</sup> If you find the fact has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, you should answer "yes." If you find the fact has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, you should answer "no."]<sup>7</sup>

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.746, subd. 1(a), (b), (e).

1"Aperture" has been defined as a space through which an offender obtains a view into a place where a reasonable person has an expectation of privacy. See State v. Ulmer, 719 N.W.2d 213 (Minn. Ct. App. 2006).

<sup>2</sup>"Aperture" has been defined as a space through which an offender obtains a view into a place where a reasonable person has an expectation of privacy. See State v. Ulmer, 719 N.W.2d 213 (Minn. Ct. App. 2006).

<sup>3</sup>The statute does not define "member of the household." In the absence of statutory inclusion by reference or governing case law, the committee does not recommend using the definition of a similar statutory phrase found in chapter 518B. The chapter 518B definition is likely overbroad because it includes persons who do not currently live in the household. See

Minn. Stat. § 518B.01, subd. 2(b).

<sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 9(4). <sup>5</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd.

<sup>6</sup>A violation of Minn. Stat. § 609. 746 or 609.749.

<sup>7</sup>The special interrogatory should be used only if the defendant is charged with an offense enhanced by prior conviction. The defendant is entitled to stipulate to the existence of a prior conviction. State v. Davidson, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984). Such a stipulation constitutes a waiver of jury trial on this element, and the defendant's waiver must be made personally and on the record. If the defendant has stipulated to the prior conviction, that information should not be presented to the jury, and the special interrogatory should not be used.

### SURREPTITIOUS INTRUSION—EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY—DEFINED

Replace the current Jury Instruction and Comments with the following text and Comments:

Under Minnesota law, whoever, with intent to intrude upon or interfere with the privacy of the occupant,

- [1] surreptitiously gazes, stares, or peeps in the window or any other aperture of (a sleeping room in a hotel) (a tanning booth) (a place where a reasonable person would have an expectation of privacy and has exposed or is likely to expose his or her intimate parts or the clothing covering the immediate area of the intimate parts)
- [2] surreptitiously installs or uses any device for observing, photographing, recording, amplifying, or broadcasting sounds or events through the window or any other aperture of (a sleeping room in a hotel) (a tanning booth) (a place where a reasonable person would have an expectation of privacy and has exposed or is likely to expose his or her intimate parts or the clothing covering the immediate area of the intimate parts)

is guilty of a crime.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.746, subd. 1(c), (d), (e).

### SURREPTITIOUS INTRUSION—EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction and Comments with the following text and Comments:

#### The elements of this crime are:

[1] First, the defendant surreptitiously gazed, stared, or peeped in the window or any other aperture¹ of (a sleeping room in a hotel) (a tanning booth) (a place where a reasonable person would have an expectation of privacy and has exposed or is likely to expose his or her intimate parts or the clothing covering the immediate area of the intimate parts).

["Hotel" means a hotel, motel, resort, boarding house, bed and breakfast, furnished apartment house or other building, which is kept, used, or advertised as, or held out to the public to be, a place where sleeping or housekeeping accommodations are supplied for pay to guests for transient occupancy.]<sup>2</sup>

["Intimate parts" includes the primary genital area, groin, inner thigh, buttocks, or breast.]

[2] First, the defendant surreptitiously installed or used any device for observing, photographing, recording, amplifying, or broadcasting sounds or events through the window or any other aperture<sup>4</sup> of (a sleeping room in a hotel) (a tanning booth) (a place where a reasonable person would have an expectation of privacy and has exposed or is likely to expose his or her intimate parts or the clothing covering the immediate area of the intimate parts).

["Hotel" means a hotel, motel, resort, boarding house, bed and breakfast, furnished apartment house or other building, which is kept, used, or advertised as, or held out to the public to be, a place where sleeping or housekeeping accommodations are supplied for pay to guests for transient occupancy.]<sup>5</sup>

[Intimate parts includes the primary genital area, groin, inner thigh, buttocks, or breast.].6

**Second**, the defendant acted with intent to intrude upon or interfere with the privacy of (\_\_\_\_\_) (the occupant).

"With intent to" means that the actor either had a purpose to do the thing or cause the result specified, or believed that the act, if successful, would cause that result.

[Third, the defendant's act was against a person under the age of 18.]

[Fourth, the defendant knew or had reason to know a person under the age of 18 was present.

"To know" requires only that the defendant believed that a person under the age of 18 was present.<sup>8</sup>]

(Third)(Fifth), the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_, in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

[If you find the defendant guilty, you have an additional issue to determine, and it will be put to you in the form of a question on the verdict form. The question is: Has the defendant previously been convicted of the crime of ——? If you find the fact has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, you should answer "yes." If you find the fact has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, you should answer "no." If you should answer "no."

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.746. subd. 1(c), (d), (e).

1"Aperture" has been defined as a space through which an offender obtains a view into a place where a reasonable person has an expectation of privacy. See State v. Ulmer, 719 N.W.2d 213 (Minn. Ct. App. 2006) (gazing over partition in public restroom).

<sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 327.70, subd. 3. <sup>3</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.341, subd. 5.

<sup>4</sup>"Aperture" is a space through which an offender obtains a view into a place where a reasonable person has an expectation of privacy. *State v. Ulmer*, 719 N.W.2d 213 (Minn. Ct. App. 2006) (gazing over partition in public restroom).

Minn. Stat. § 327.70, subd. 3.
 Minn. Stat. § 609.341, subd. 5.
 Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 9(4).

<sup>8</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 9(2).

<sup>9</sup>A violation of Minn. Stat. § 609. 746 or 609.749.

<sup>10</sup>The special interrogatory should be used only if the defendant is charged with an offense enhanced by prior conviction. The defendant is entitled to stipulate to the existence of a prior conviction. State v. Davidson, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984). Such a stipulation constitutes a waiver of jury trial on this element, and the defendant's waiver must be made personally and on the record. If the defendant has stipulated to the prior conviction, that information should not be presented to the jury, and the special interrogatory should not be used.

# SURREPTITIOUS INTRUSION—WITH SEXUAL INTENT REGARDING A MINOR—DEFINED [New]

Under Minnesota law,

- [1] a person more than 36 months older than the minor, who, with sexual intent to intrude upon or interfere with the privacy of a minor member of the household, and who knows or has reason to know the minor is present, enters upon another's property and surreptitiously installs or uses any device for observing, photographing, recording, amplifying, or broadcasting sounds or events through the window or any other aperture of the house or dwelling place of another
- [2] a person more than 36 months older than the minor, who, with sexual intent to intrude upon or interfere with the privacy of a minor, and who knows or has reason to know the minor is present, surreptitiously installs or uses any device for observing, photographing, recording, amplifying, or broadcasting sounds or events through the window or any other aperture of a place where a reasonable person would have an expectation of privacy and has exposed or is likely to expose his or her intimate parts or the clothing covering the immediate area of the intimate parts

is guilty of a crime.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.746, subd. 1(f).

# SURREPTITIOUS INTRUSION BY DEVICE—WITH SEXUAL INTENT REGARDING A MINOR—ELEMENTS [New]

The elements of this crime are:

[1] First, the defendant entered upon the property of (\_\_\_\_\_) (another person).

**Second**, the defendant surreptitiously installed or used any device for observing, photographing, recording, amplifying, or broadcasting sounds or events through the window or any other aperture<sup>1</sup> of the house or dwelling place of (\_\_\_\_\_) (another person).

[2] First, the defendant surreptitiously installed or used any device for observing, photographing, recording, amplifying, or broadcasting sounds or events through the window or any other aperture<sup>2</sup> of (a sleeping room in a hotel) (a tanning booth) (a place where a reasonable person would have an expectation of privacy and has exposed or is likely to expose his or her intimate parts or the clothing covering the immediate area of the intimate parts).

["Hotel" means a hotel, motel, resort, boarding house, bed and breakfast, furnished apartment house or other building, which is kept, used, or advertised as, or held out to the public to be, a place where sleeping or housekeeping accommodations are supplied for pay to guests for transient occupancy.]<sup>3</sup>

["Intimate parts" includes the primary genital area, groin, inner thigh, buttocks, or breast.]

(Second) (Third), the defendant installed or used the device with intent to intrude upon or interfere with the privacy of (a member of the household⁵ of (\_\_\_\_) (another person)) ((\_\_\_\_) (the occupant)).

"With intent to" means that the actor either had a

purpose to do the thing or cause the result specified, or believed that the act, if successful, would cause that result.<sup>6</sup>

(Third) (Fourth), the defendant's act was against a person under the age of 18.

(Fourth) (Fifth), the defendant knew or had reason to know a person under the age of 18 was present.

"To know" requires only that the defendant believed that a person under the age of 18 was present.

(Fifth) (Sixth), the defendant is more than 36 months older than the person under the age of 18.

(Sixth) (Seventh), the defendant acted with sexual intent.

(Seventh) (Eighth), the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_, in \_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.746, subd. 1(f).

<sup>3</sup>Minn. Stat. § 327.70, subd. 3.

<sup>6</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 9(4).

<sup>1&</sup>quot;Aperture" has been defined as a space through which an offender obtains a view into a place where a reasonable person has an expectation of privacy. See State v. Ulmer, 719 N.W.2d 213 (Minn. Ct. App. 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>"Aperture" has been defined as a space through which an offender obtains a view into a place where a reasonable person has an expectation of privacy. *See State v. Ulmer*, 719 N.W.2d 213 (Minn. Ct. App. 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.341, subd. 5.

<sup>5</sup>The statute does not define "member of the household." In the absence of statutory inclusion by reference or governing case law, the committee does not recommend using the definition of a similar statutory phrase found in chapter 518B. The chapter 518B definition is likely overbroad because it includes persons who do not currently live in the household. See Minn. Stat. § 518B.01, subd. 2(b).

### CHAPTER 18

# ARSON, AND OTHER CRIMES OF DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY

#### **CRIMJIG 18.02**

### ARSON IN THE FIRST DEGREE—DWELLING— ELEMENTS

### ARSON IN THE FIRST DEGREE—BUILDINGS OTHER THAN DWELLINGS—ELEMENTS

# ARSON IN THE FIRST DEGREE—FLAMMABLE OR COMBUSTIBLE LIQUIDS—ELEMENTS

#### ARSON IN THE SECOND DEGREE—ELEMENTS

#### ARSON IN THE THIRD DEGREE—ELEMENTS

#### ARSON IN THE FOURTH DEGREE—ELEMENTS

#### ARSON IN THE FIFTH DEGREE—ELEMENTS

### CRIMINAL DAMAGE TO PROPERTY—ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text, Footnotes, and Comments:

First, the defendant intentionally caused damage to

The elements of damage to property are:

Second, the was the property of () (another).
Third, (the other person) did not consent to the damaging of the property.
[1] Fourth, the damage to created a reasonably foreseeable risk of bodily harm. "Bodily harm" means physical pain or injury, illness, or any impairment of physical condition. It is not necessary that bodily harm resulted, only that a reasonably foreseeable risk of such harm was created.
[2] Fourth, the vehicle was a public safety motor

Fifth, the defendant knew the vehicle was a public safety motor vehicle. "To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

vehicle. A "public safety motor vehicle" is defined

Sixth, the damage to the vehicle caused a (substantial interruption or impairment of public service) (reasonably foreseeable risk of bodily harm). ["Bodily harm" means physical pain or injury, illness, or any impairment of physical condition. It is not necessary that bodily harm resulted, only that a reasonably foreseeable risk of such harm was created.]

[3] Fourth, —— belonged to a (public utility) (common carrier). —— is a (public utility) (common carrier).

Fifth, the damage impaired \_\_\_\_'s service to the public.

- [4] Fourth, the damage reduced the value of the property by more than \$1,000 as measured by the cost of repair and replacement.
- [5] Fourth, the damage reduced the value of the property by more than \$500 as measured by the cost of repair and replacement.

Fifth, the defendant has been convicted<sup>2</sup> within the preceding three years of an offense [one of the offenses under M.S.A. 609.595, subd. 1 or subd. 2].

- [6] Fourth, the value of the property damaged within any six-month period was reduced by more than \$1,000 as measured by the cost of repair and replacement.
- [7] Fourth, the value of the property damaged within any six-month period was reduced by more than \$500 as measured by the cost of repair and replacement.

Fifth, the defendant has been convicted<sup>3</sup> within the preceding three years of an offense [one of the offenses under M.S.A. 609.595, subd. 1 or subd. 2].

[Fifth] [Sixth][Seventh], the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.595.

See State v. Davidson, 351 N.W.2d 8 (1984), which permits the defendant to stipulate to a prior conviction, preventing the issue from going to the jury.

See also State v. Pratt. 277 Minn. 363, 152 N.W.2d 510 (1967).

<sup>1</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 609.595, subd. 4 for the definition of "public safety motor vehicle"

motor vehicle."

<sup>2</sup>Under State v. Davidson, 351

N.W.2d 8 (1984), the defendant may stipulate to a prior conviction, preventing the issue from going to the jury. In such case, this element would not be

given.

<sup>3</sup>Under State v. Davidson, 351 N.W.2d 8 (1984), the defendant may stipulate to a prior conviction, preventing the issue from going to the jury. In such case, this element would not be given. (another).

the damaging of the property.

ity) (age) (national origin).1

### CRIMJIG 18.30

### CRIMINAL DAMAGE TO PROPERTY—SECOND DEGREE AND THIRD DEGREE—ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text, Footnotes, and Comment:

First, the defendant intentionally caused damage to

Second, the \_\_\_\_\_ was the property of (\_\_\_\_\_)

Third, \_\_\_\_ (the other person) did not consent to

[1] Fourth, the damage reduced the value of the property by more than \$500 but not more than \$1.000 as

Fifth the defendant caused the damage because of

The elements of damage to property are:

measured by the cost of repair and replacement.

tor believes that the specified fact exists.

on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

the property owner's or another's actual or perceived (race) (color) (religion) (sex) (sexual orientation) (disability) (age) (national origin).]
[2] Fourth, the vehicle was a public safety motor vehicle. A "public safety motor vehicle" is defined as
Fifth, the defendant knew the vehicle was a public safety motor vehicle. "To know" requires only that the ac-

[Sixth, the defendant caused the damage because of the property owner's or another's actual or perceived (race) (color) (religion) (sex) (sexual orientation) (disabil-

[Fifth][Sixth] [Seventh], the defendant's act took place

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.595, subd. 1a and subd. 2.

See State v. Davidson, 351 N.W.2d 8 (1984), which permits the defendant to stipulate to a prior conviction, preventing the issue from going to the jury.

See also State v. Pratt, 277 Minn. 363, 152 N.W.2d 510 (1967).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 609.595, subd. motor vehicle."

4 for the definition of "public safety

### C. DRUG CRIMES

### CHAPTER 20

### DRUG CRIMES

### **CRIMJIG 20.01**

## CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE FIRST DEGREE—SALE—DEFINED

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

Under Minnesota law, whoever, on one or more occasions within a ninety-day period, unlawfully sells

- [1] one or more mixtures of a total weight of seventeen grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine),
- [2] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine) and [choose one:]
  - [A] that person or an accomplice [possessed a firearm on their person or within immediate reach] [(brandished) (displayed) (threatened a person with) (otherwise employed) a firearm]
  - [B] the offense involves [at least two of the following aggravating factors:] [the following two aggravating factors:]
  - [1] the defendant, within the previous ten years, has been convicted of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_1 [; and]

- [2] the offense was committed for the benefit of a criminal gang [; and]
- [3] the offense involved separate acts of sale or possession of (cocaine)(methamphetamine) in three or more counties [; and]
- [4] the offense involved the transfer of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) across the (state) (international) border and into Minnesota [; and]
- [5] the offense involved at least three separate transactions in which (cocaine) (methamphetamine) (was)(were) (sold)(transferred)(possessed with intent to (sell) (transfer)) [; and]
- [6] the circumstances of the offense reveal the defendant to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy [; and]
- [7] the defendant used a position or status to facilitate the commission of the offense, including a position of (trust) (confidence) (fiduciary relationship) [; and]
- [8] the offense involved the sale of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) to (a person under the age of eighteen)(a vulnerable adult) [; and]
- [9] the defendant or an accomplice manufactured, possessed, or sold (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in a school zone, park zone, correctional facility, or drug treatment facility [; and]
- [10] the defendant or an accomplice possessed (equipment) (drug paraphernalia) (documents) (money) evidencing that the offense involved the (cultivation) (manufacture) (distribution) (possession) of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in quantities substantially larger than ten grams.

- one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams [3] or more containing heroin
- one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams [4] or more containing \_\_\_\_\_
- one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams [5] or more containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen)
- two hundred or more dosage units of a mixture [6] containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen)
- one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five [7] containing (marijuana) kilograms or more (tetrahydrocannabinols)

is quilty of a crime.

<sup>1</sup>List violent crime as identified caine, heroin, or methamphetamine as

in the complaint. See M.S.A. § 152.01, charged in the complaint. subd. 24(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A narcotic drug other than co-

### CRIMJIG 20.02

### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE FIRST DEGREE—SALE—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text and Footnotes:

The elements of a controlled substance violation in the first degree are:

First, the defendant, on one or more occasions within a ninety-day period, sold

- [1] one or more mixtures of a total weight of seventeen grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine).
- [2] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine) and [choose one:]
  - [A] (the defendant)(an accomplice) [possessed a firearm on their person or within immediate reach] [(brandished)(displayed)(threatened a person with)(otherwise employed) a firearm]. [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice][A "firearm" means a device designed to be used as a weapon, from which is expelled a projectile by the force of any explosion or force of combustion.]<sup>1</sup>
  - [B] the offense involved [at least two of the following aggravating factors:][the following two aggravating factors:]
    - [1] the defendant, within the previous ten years, has been convicted of \_\_\_\_\_\_2[; and]
    - [2] the offense was committed for the benefit of a criminal gang. A "criminal gang" means any

ongoing organization, association, or group of three or more persons, whether formal or
informal, that has as one of its primary activi-
ties the commission of one or more of the
crimes of3, has a common
name or common identifying symbol, and
includes members who individually or collec-
tively engage in a pattern of criminal activity
[; and]

- [4] the offense involved the transfer of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) across the (state)(international) border and into Minnesota [; and]
- [5] the offense involved at least three separate transactions in which (cocaine) (methamphetamine) (was)(were) (sold)(transferred)(possessed with intent to (sell) (transfer)) [; and]
  - [6] the circumstances of the offense reveal the defendant to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy [; and]
- [7] the defendant used a position or status to facilitate the commission of the offense, including a position of (trust) (confidence) (fiduciary relationship) [A fiduciary relationship exists when a person, referred to as the fiduciary, holds a superior position of authority and control over the property of another person referred to as the principal, and in whom the principal places a high level of trust and confidence.] [; and]
- [8] the offense involved the sale of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) to \_\_\_\_\_\_,

who is funder the age of eighteen] [a vulnerable adult] [A "vulnerable adult" is a person who is 18 years of age or older who (is a resident inpatient of a facility) (receives services at or from a facility required to be licensed by the Commissioner of Human Services to serve adults, except that a person who receives outpatient services for treatment of chemical dependency or mental illness or who has been committed as a sexual psychopathic personality or sexually dangerous person is not considered a vulnerable adult unless that person possesses a physical or mental infirmity or there is physical or mental emotional dysfunction that impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for his or her own care without assistance, including the provision of food, shelter, clothing, health care, or supervision, and, because of the dysfunction or infirmity and the need for assistance, has an impaired ability to protect self from maltreatment) (receives services from a home care provider licensed by the Commissioner of Human Services or from a person or organization that exclusively offers, provides, or arranges for personal care assistance services) (regardless of residence or whether any type of service is received, possesses a physical or mental infirmity or other physical, mental, or emotional dysfunction that impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for his or her care without assistance, including the provision of food, shelter, clothing, health care, or supervision, and, because of the dysfunction or infirmity and the need for assistance, has an impaired ability to protect self from maltreatment)] [; and1

[9] the defendant or an accomplice (manufactured)(possessed)(sold) (cocaine)(metham-

phetamine) in a (school zone)(park zone)(correctional facility)(drug treatment facility) [A "school zone" is any property owned, leased. or controlled by a school district or an organization operating a nonpublic school4 where an elementary, middle, or secondary school. secondary vocational center, or other school providing educational services for grades one through twelve is located or used for educational purposes, or where extracurricular or co-curricular activities are regularly provided.] [A school zone also includes the area surrounding the school property to a distance of 300 feet or one city block, whichever is greater, beyond the school property.] IA school zone also includes the area within a school bus when the school bus is being used to transport one or more elementary or secondary school students.] [A "park zone" is an area designated as a public park by the federal government, the state, a local unit of government, a park district board, or a park and recreation board in a city of the first class. Park zone includes the area (within three hundred feet) (or) (one city block,) (whichever distance is greater,) of the park boundary.] [A "correctional facility" means any facility, including a group home, having a residential component, the primary purpose of which is to serve persons placed therein by a court, court services department, parole authority, or other correctional agency having dispositional power over persons charged with, convicted, or adjudicated to be guilty or delinquent.<sup>5</sup>] [A "drug treatment facility" means a residential treatment facility, and includes any property owned, leased, or controlled by the facility.] [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice [: and].

- [10] the defendant or an accomplice possessed (equipment) (drug paraphernalia) (documents) (money) evidencing that the offense involved the (cultivation) (manufacture) (distribution) (possession) of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in quantities substantially larger than ten grams.[refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice].
- [3] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing heroin.
- [4] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams or more containing \_\_\_\_\_\_.6
- [5] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams or more containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen).
- [6] two hundred or more dosage units of a mixture containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen).
- [7] one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five kilograms or more containing (marijuana) (tetrahydrocannabinols).

"To sell" means to sell, give away, barter, deliver, exchange, distribute, or dispose of to another, or to offer or agree to do the same, or possess with intent to do the same, or to manufacture. ["To manufacture" means and includes the production, cultivation, quality control, and standardization of drugs by mechanical, physical, chemical, or pharmaceutical means, and their packing, repacking, tableting, encapsulating, labeling, relabeling, filling, or other processing.]

A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity.<sup>7</sup>

Second, defendant knew or believed that the substance sold was a controlled substance.8

Third, the defendant's sale of \_\_\_\_\_ was without lawful authority.

Fourth, one or more sales took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.9

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See M.S.A. § 609.666, subd. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>List violent crime as identified in the complaint. See M.S.A. § 152.01, subd. 24(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>List offense from M.S.A. § 609. 11, subd. 9, as identified in the complaint. See M.S.A. § 609.229, subd. 1(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 123B.41, subd. 9 for the definition of a "nonpublic school."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>"Correctional facility" is not defined in Chapter 152, so the committee has incorporated the definition found in M.S.A. § 241.021, subd. 1(f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A narcotic drug other than cocaine, heroin, or methamphetamine as charged in the complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Note the exceptions listed under M.S.A. § 152.021, subd. 2(b); 152.022, subd. 2(b); and 152.023, subd. 2(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>The defendant need only know that it was a controlled substance and not what the exact scientific description of the controlled substance was. See State v. Ali, 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. App. 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>See M.S.A. 152.021, subd. 3(c).

### **CRIMJIG 20.03**

### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE FIRST DEGREE—POSSESSION—DEFINED

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

Under Minnesota law, whoever unlawfully possesses

- [1] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine),
- [2] one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine) and [choose one]
  - [A] that person or an accomplice [possessed a firearm on their person or within immediate reach] [(brandished)(displayed)(threatened a person with)(otherwise employed) a firearm]
  - [B] the offense involves [at least two of the following aggravating factors:] [the following two aggravating factors:]
    - [1] the defendant, within the previous ten years, has been convicted of \_\_\_\_\_\_,¹ [; and]
    - [2] the offense was committed for the benefit of a criminal gang [; and]
    - [3] the offense involved separate acts of sale or possession of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in three or more counties [; and]
    - [4] the offense involved the transfer of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) across the (state)(international) border and into Minnesota [; and]
    - [5] the offense involved at least three separate transactions in which (cocaine) (methamphet-

- amine) (was)(were) (sold)(transferred)(possessed with intent to (sell) (transfer)) [: and]
- [6] the circumstances of the offense reveal the defendant to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy [; and]
  - [7] the defendant used a position or status to facilitate the commission of the offense, including a position of (trust) (confidence) (fiduciary relationship) [; and]
  - [8] the offense involved the sale of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, who [is under the age of eighteen] [is a vulnerable adult] [; and]
  - [9] the defendant or an accomplice manufactured, possessed, or sold (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in a school zone, park zone, correctional facility, or drug treatment facility [; and]
  - [10] the defendant or an accomplice possessed (equipment) (drug paraphernalia) (documents) (money) evidencing that the offense involved the (cultivation) (manufacture) (distribution) (possession) of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in quantities substantially larger than twenty-five grams.
- [3] one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five grams or more containing heroin
- [4] one or more mixtures of a total weight of five hundred grams or more containing \_\_\_\_\_\_²
- [5] one or more mixtures of a total weight of five hundred grams or more containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine)(hallucinogen)
- [6] five hundred or more dosage units of a mixture

containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen)

- [7] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty kilograms or more containing marijuana or tetrahydrocannabinols
- [8] five hundred or more marijuana plants is quilty of a crime.

caine, heroin, or methamphetamine as charged in the complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>List violent crime as identified in the complaint. See M.S.A. § 152.01, subd. 24(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A narcotic drug other than co-

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE FIRST DEGREE—POSSESSION—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of a controlled substance crime in the first degree are:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed

- [1] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine).
- [2] one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphet-amine) and [choose one]
  - [A] (the defendant)(an accomplice) [possessed a firearm on their person or within immediate reach] [(brandished)(displayed)(threatened a person with)(otherwise employed) a firearm]. [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice][A "firearm" means a device designed to be used as a weapon, from which is expelled a projectile by the force of any explosion or force of combustion.]
  - [B] the offense involved [at least two of the following aggravating factors:][the following two aggravating factors:]
    - [1] the defendant, within the previous ten years, has been convicted of \_\_\_\_\_\_^2[; and]
    - [2] the offense was committed for the benefit of a criminal gang. A "criminal gang" means any ongoing organization, association, or group of three or more persons, whether formal or informal, that has as one of its primary activi-

ties the commission of one or more of the
crimes of,³ has a common
name or common identifying symbol, and
includes members who individually or collec-
tively engage in a pattern of criminal activity
[; and]

- [4] the offense involved the transfer of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) across the (state)(international) border and into Minnesota [; and]
- [5] the offense involved at least three separate transactions in which (cocaine) (methamphetamine) (was)(were) (sold)(transferred)(possessed with intent to (sell) (transfer)) [; and]
- [6] the circumstances of the offense reveal the defendant to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy [; and]
- [7] the defendant used a position or status to facilitate the commission of the offense, including a position of (trust) (confidence) (fiduciary relationship) [A fiduciary relationship exists when a person, referred to as the fiduciary, holds a superior position of authority and control over the property of another person referred to as the principal, and in whom the principal places a high level of trust and confidence.] [; and]
- [8] the offense involved the sale of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, who is [under the age of eighteen] [a vulnerable adult] [A "vulnerable adult" is a person who is 18 years of age or older who (is a res-

ident inpatient of a facility) (receives services at or from a facility required to be licensed by the Commissioner of Human Services to serve adults, except that a person who receives outpatient services for treatment of chemical dependency or mental illness or who has been committed as a sexual psychopathic personality or sexually dangerous person is not considered a vulnerable adult unless that person possesses a physical or mental infirmity or there is physical or mental emotional dysfunction that impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for his or her own care without assistance, including the provision of food, shelter, clothing, health care, or supervision, and, because of the dysfunction or infirmity and the need for assistance, has an impaired ability to protect self from maltreatment) (receives services from a home care provider licensed by the Commissioner of Human Services or from a person or organization that exclusively offers, provides, or arranges for personal care assistance services) (regardless of residence or whether any type of service is received, possesses a physical or mental infirmity or other physical, mental, or emotional dysfunction that impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for his or her care without assistance, including the provision of food, shelter, clothing, health care, or supervision, and, because of the dysfunction or infirmity and the need for assistance, has an impaired ability to protect self from maltreatment)] [; and1

[9] the defendant or an accomplice (manufactured)(possessed)(sold) (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in a (school zone)(park zone)(correctional facility)(drug treatment facility) [A "school zone" is any property owned, leased,

or controlled by a school district or an organization operating a nonpublic school where an elementary, middle, or secondary school, secondary vocational center, or other school providing educational services for grades one through twelve is located or used for educational purposes, or where extracurricular or co-curricular activities are regularly provided.1 [A school zone also includes the area surrounding the school property to a distance of 300 feet or one city block, whichever is greater, beyond the school property. [A school zone also includes the area within a school bus when the school bus is being used to transport one or more elementary or secondary school students.] [A "park zone" is an area designated as a public park by the federal government, the state, a local unit of government, a park district board, or a park and recreation board in a city of the first class. Park zone includes the area (within three hundred feet) (or) (one city block,) (whichever distance is greater,) of the park boundary.] [A "correctional facility" means any facility, including a group home, having a residential component, the primary purpose of which is to serve persons placed therein by a court, court services department, parole authority, or other correctional agency having dispositional power over persons charged with, convicted, or adjudicated to be guilty or delinquent.<sup>4</sup>] [A "drug treatment facility" means a residential treatment facility, and includes any property owned, leased, or controlled by the facility.] [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice 1: and]

[10] the defendant or an accomplice possessed (equipment) (drug paraphernalia) (documents) (money) evidencing that the offense

involved the (cultivation) (manufacture) (distribution) (possession) of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in quantities substantially larger than twenty-five grams. [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice]

- [3] one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five grams or more containing heroin.
- [4] one or more mixtures of a total weight of five hundred grams or more containing \_\_\_\_\_\_.<sup>5</sup>
- [5] one or more mixtures of a total weight of five hundred grams or more containing (amphetamine)(phencyclidine)(hallucinogen).
- [6] five hundred or more dosage units of a mixture containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen).
- [7] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty kilograms or more containing marijuana or tetrahydrocannabinols.
- [8] five-hundred or more marijuana plants.

"To know" requires only that the defendant believes that the specified fact exists.

A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity. [The weight of a mixture includes the fluid in a water pipe ("bong") only if the mixture contains four or more fluid ounces of fluid.<sup>6</sup>]

[The law recognizes two kinds of possession: "actual possession" and "constructive possession." A person is in actual possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if (he) (she) has it on (his) (her) person or is exercising direct physical control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) at a given time. A person is in constructive

possession of (the controlled substance) if
(the controlled substance) was in a place under
(his) (her) exclusive control to which other people did not
normally have access, or if found in a place to which oth-
ers had access, the person knowingly exercised dominion
and control over (the controlled substance). You
may find that the element of possession, as that term is
used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a
reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or constructive possession.]

[The law also recognizes that possession may be either exclusive or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance), possession is exclusive. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (controlled substance), possession is joint. You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant had actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance), whether exclusively or jointly with others.]

Second, the defendant knew or believed that the substance possessed was a controlled substance.<sup>7</sup>

Third, the defendant's possession of \_\_\_\_\_ was without lawful authority.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See M.S.A. § 609.666, subd. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>List violent crime as identified in the complaint. See M.S.A. § 152.01, subd. 24(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>List offense from M.S.A. § 609. 11, subd. 9, as identified in the complaint. See M.S.A. § 609.229, subd. 1(1).

<sup>4</sup>"Correctional facility" is not defined in Chapter 152, so the committee has incorporated the definition found in M.S.A § 241.021, subd. 1(f).

<sup>5</sup>Insert narcotic drug other than cocaine, heroin or methamphetamine. *See* M.S.A. § 152.021, subd. 2(4).

<sup>6</sup>See State v. Peck, 773 N.W.2d

768 (Minn. 2009) and M.S.A. § 152. 021, subd. 2(b), modifying *Peck*.

<sup>7</sup>The defendant need only know that it was a controlled substance and not what the exact scientific description of the controlled substance was. *See State v. Ali*, 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. App. 2009).

#### DRUG CRIMES

**CRIMJIG 20.07** 

### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN TH SECOND DEGREE—SALE—DEFINED

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

Under Minnesota law, whoever, on one or more occasions within a ninety day period, unlawfully sells

- one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams [1] or more containing \_\_\_\_\_
- one or more mixtures of a total weight of three grams [2] or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine) and [choose one]
  - [A] that person or an accomplice [possessed a firearm on their person or within immediate reach] [(brandished)(displayed)(threatened a person with)(otherwise employed) a firearm]
  - the offense involved [at least three of the following aggravating factors:][the following three aggravating factors:1
    - the defendant, within the previous ten years, [1] has been convicted of \_\_\_\_\_\_2[: and]
    - the offense was committed for the benefit of [2] a criminal gang [; and]
    - the offense involved separate acts of sale or [3] possession of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in three or more counties [: and]
    - the offense involved the transfer of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) across the (state)(international) border and into Minnesota [; and]
    - the offense involved at least three separate [5] transactions in which (cocaine) (methamphet-

- amine) (was)(were) (sold)(transferred)(possessed with intent to (sell) (transfer)) [; and]
  - [6] the circumstances of the offense reveal the defendant to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy [; and]
  - [7] the defendant used a position or status to facilitate the commission of the offense, including a position of (trust) (confidence) (fiduciary relationship) [; and]
  - [8] the offense involved the sale of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) to (a person under the age of eighteen)(a vulnerable adult) [; and]
  - [9] the defendant or an accomplice manufactured, possessed, or sold (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in a school zone, park zone, correctional facility, or drug treatment facility [; and]
  - [10] the defendant or an accomplice possessed (equipment) (drug paraphernalia) (documents) (money) evidencing that the offense involved the (cultivation) (manufacture) (distribution) (possession) of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in quantities substantially larger than three grams.
- [3] one or more mixtures of a total weight of three grams or more containing heroin
- [4] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen)
- [5] fifty or more dosage units of a mixture containing (amphetamine)(phencyclidine)(hallucinogen)

[6] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten kilograms or more containing (marijuana) (tetrahydrocannabinols)

is guilty of a crime.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>List narcotic drug other than heroin. See M.S.A. § 152.022, subd. 1(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>List violent crime as identified in the complaint. See M.S.A. § 152.01, subd. 24(1).

### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE SECOND DEGREE—SALE—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of a controlled substance crime in the second degree are:

First, the defendant on one or more occasions within a ninety-day period sold

- [1] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing \_\_\_\_\_\_.1
- [2] one or more mixtures of a total weight of three grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine) and [choose one]
  - [A] (the defendant)(an accomplice) [possessed a firearm on their person or within immediate reach] [(brandished)(displayed)(threatened a person with)(otherwise employed) a firearm]. [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice]. [A "firearm" means a device designed to be used as a weapon, from which is expelled a projectile by the force of any explosion or force of combustion.]<sup>2</sup>
  - [B] The offense involved [at least three of the following aggravating factors:][the following three aggravating factors:]
    - [1] the defendant, within the previous ten years, has been convicted of \_\_\_\_\_\_3[; and]
    - [2] the offense was committed for the benefit of a criminal gang. A "criminal gang" means any ongoing organization, association, or group of three or more persons, whether formal or informal, that has as one of its primary activi-

ties the commission of one or more of the
crimes of, <sup>4</sup> has a common
name or common identifying symbol, and
includes members who individually or collec
tively engage in a pattern of criminal activity
[; and]

- [4] the offense involved the transfer of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) across the (state)(international) border and into Minnesota [; and]
- [5] the offense involved at least three separate transactions in which (cocaine) (methamphetamine) (was)(were) (sold)(transferred)(possessed with intent to (sell) (transfer)) [; and]
- [6] the circumstances of the offense reveal the defendant to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy [; and]
- [7] the defendant used a position or status to facilitate the commission of the offense, including a position of (trust) (confidence) (fiduciary relationship) [A fiduciary relationship exists when a person, referred to as the fiduciary, holds a superior position of authority and control over the property of another person referred to as the principal, and in whom the principal places a high level of trust and confidence.] [; and]
- [8] the offense involved the sale of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) to \_\_\_\_\_\_, who is [under the age of eighteen] [a vulnerable adult" is a person who is 18 years of age or older who (is a res-

ident inpatient of a facility) (receives services at or from a facility required to be licensed by the Commissioner of Human Services to serve adults, except that a person who receives outpatient services for treatment of chemical dependency or mental illness or who has been committed as a sexual psychopathic personality or sexually dangerous person is not considered a vulnerable adult unless that person possesses a physical or mental infirmity or there is physical or mental emotional dysfunction that impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for his or her own care without assistance, including the provision of food, shelter, clothing, health care, or supervision, and, because of the dysfunction or infirmity and the need for assistance, has an impaired ability to protect self from maltreatment) (receives services from a home care provider licensed by the Commissioner of Human Services or from a person or organization that exclusively offers, provides, or arranges for personal care assistance services) (regardless of residence or whether any type of service is received, possesses a physical or mental infirmity or other physical, mental, or emotional dysfunction that impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for his or her care without assistance, including the provision of food, shelter, clothing, health care, or supervision, and, because of the dysfunction or infirmity and the need for assistance, has an impaired ability to protect self from maltreatment)] [; and1

[9] the defendant or an accomplice (manufactured)(possessed)(sold) (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in a (school zone)(park zone)(correctional facility)(drug treatment facility) [A "school zone" is any property owned, leased,

or controlled by a school district or an organization operating a nonpublic school<sup>5</sup> where an elementary, middle, or secondary school, secondary vocational center, or other school providing educational services for grades one through twelve is located or used for educational purposes, or where extracurricular or co-curricular activities are regularly provided.] [A school zone also includes the area surrounding the school property to a distance of 300 feet or one city block, whichever is greater, beyond the school property.] [A school zone also includes the area within a school bus when the school bus is being used to transport one or more elementary or secondary school students.] [A "park zone" is an area designated as a public park by the federal government, the state, a local unit of government, a park district board, or a park and recreation board in a city of the first class. Park zone includes the area (within three hundred feet) (or) (one city block,) (whichever distance is greater,) of the park boundary.] [A "correctional facility" means any facility, including a group home, having a residential component, the primary purpose of which is to serve persons placed therein by a court, court services department, parole authority, or other correctional agency having dispositional power over persons charged with, convicted, or adjudicated to be guilty or delinquent.<sup>6</sup>] [A "drug treatment facility" means a residential treatment facility, and includes any property owned, leased, or controlled by the facility.] [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice 1: and1

[10] the defendant or an accomplice possessed (equipment) (drug paraphernalia) (documents) (money) evidencing that the offense

involved the (cultivation) (manufacture) (distribution) (possession) of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in quantities substantially larger than three grams. [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice]

- [3] one or more mixtures of a total weight of three grams or more containing heroin.
- [4] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing (amphetamine)(phencyclidine)(hallucinogen).
- [5] fifty or more dosage units of a mixture containing (amphetamine)(phencyclidine)(hallucinogen).
- [6] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten kilograms or more containing (marijuana) (tetrahydrocannabinols).

"To sell" means to sell, give away, barter, deliver, exchange, distribute, or dispose of to another, to offer or agree to do the same, to possess with intent to do the same, or to manufacture. ["To manufacture" means and includes the production, cultivation, quality control, and standardization of drugs by mechanical, physical, chemical, or pharmaceutical means, their packing, repacking, tableting, encapsulating, labeling, relabeling, filling, or other processing.] A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity.

Second, the defendant knew or believed that the substance sold was a controlled substance.

Third, the defendant's sale of \_\_\_\_\_ was without lawful authority.

Fourth, one or more sales took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven

beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

<sup>1</sup>List narcotic drug other than heroin. See M.S.A. § 152.022, subd. 1(1).

<sup>2</sup>See M.S.A. § 609.666, subd. 1.

<sup>3</sup>List violent crime as identified in the complaint. See M.S.A. § 152.01, subd. 24(1).

<sup>4</sup>List offense from M.S.A. § 609. 11, subd. 9, as identified in the complaint. *See* M.S.A. § 609.229, subd. 1(1).

<sup>5</sup>See M.S.A. § 123.932, subd. 3.

for the definition of a non-public school.

<sup>6</sup>"Correctional facility" is not defined in Chapter 152, so the committee has incorporated the definition found in M.S.A. § 241.021, subd. 1(f).

<sup>7</sup>The defendant need only know that it was a controlled substance and not what the exact scientific description of the controlled substance was. *See State v. Ali*, 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. App. 2009).

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE SECOND DEGREE—SALE/SCHOOLS/PARKS/PUBLIC HOUSING/DRUG TREATMENT FACILITY—ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text, Footnotes, and Comments:

The elements of a controlled substance crime in the second degree are:

"To sell" means to sell, give away, barter, deliver, exchange, distribute, or dispose of to another, to offer or agree to do the same, to possess with intent to do the same, or to manufacture. ["To manufacture" means and includes the production, cultivation, quality control, and standardization of drugs by mechanical, physical, chemical, or pharmaceutical means, their packing, repacking, tableting, encapsulating, labeling, relabeling, filling, or other processing.] A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity.

Second, the defendant knew or believed that the substance sold was \_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance).2

Third, the sale occurred in a [school zone] [park zone] [public housing zone] [drug treatment facility].

[A "school zone" is any property owned, leased, or controlled by a school district or an organization operating a nonpublic school<sup>3</sup> where an elementary, middle, or secondary school, secondary vocational center, or other school providing educational services for grades one

through twelve is located or used for educational purposes, or where extracurricular or co-curricular activities are regularly provided.] [A "school zone" also includes the area surrounding the school property to a distance of 300 feet or one city block, whichever is greater, beyond the school property. This includes the entire area of a city block located adjacent to or diagonally to the school property.41 [A "school zone" also includes the area within a school bus when the school bus is being used to transport one or more elementary of secondary school students.] [A "park zone" is an area designated as a public park by the federal government, the state, a local unit of government, a park district board, or a park and recreation board in a city of the first class. "Park zone" includes the area within (three hundred feet) (or) (one city block,) (whichever distance is greater,) of the park boundary.] [A "public housing zone" is a public housing project or development administered by a local housing agency, plus the area within (three hundred feet of the property's boundary,) (or) (one city block,) (whichever distance is greater).] [A "drug treatment facility" means a residential drug treatment facility, and includes any property owned, leased, or controlled by the facility.]

Fourth, the defendant's sale was unlawful. (The defendant had no legal authority to sell \_\_\_\_.)

Fifth, defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 152.022, subd. 1(7).

A sale requires more than a temporary surrender of the controlled substance. *Barrow v. State*, 862 N.W.2d 686 (Minn. 2015).

In cities where no grid system is present, the term "one city block" does not apply and the transaction must take place within 300 feet of the park for the statute to apply. *See State v. Estrella*, 700 N.W.2d 496 (Minn. App. 2005).

When land surrounding a public park is divided into rectangular blocks bounded by city streets, the area "within one city block . . . of the park boundary" include the entire area of block adjacent to the park. *State v. Carufel*, 783 N.W.2d 539 (Minn. 2010) (a controlled substance case). This holding would presumably also apply to a school zone or public housing zone.

<sup>1</sup>The Schedule I or II narcotic, LSD, 3,4 methylenedioxy amphetamine, or 3,4 methylenedioxymetamphetamine.

<sup>2</sup>The defendant need only know that it was a controlled substance and not what the exact scientific description of the controlled substance was. See State v. Ali, 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. App. 2009). "To know" only requires

that the defendant believes that the specific fact exists under Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 9.

<sup>3</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 123B.41, subd. 9 for the definition of a "nonpublic school."

<sup>4</sup>LaPenotiere v. State, 916 N.W.2d 351 (Minn. 2018).

<sup>5</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 169.011, subd. 71, for the definition of a school bus.

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN SECOND DEGREE—POSSESSION—DEFINED

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

Under Minnesota law, whoever unlawfully possesses

- [1] one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine),
- [2] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine) and [choose one]
  - [A] that person or an accomplice [possessed a firearm on their person or within immediate reach] [(brandished)(displayed)(threatened a person with)(otherwise employed) a firearm]
  - [B] the offense involves [at least three of the following aggravating factors:] [the following three aggravating factors:]
    - [1] the defendant, within the previous ten years, has been convicted of \_\_\_\_\_\_1.
    - [2] the offense was committed for the benefit of a criminal gang [; and]
    - [3] the offense involved separate acts of sale or possession of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in three or more counties [; and]
    - [4] the offense involved the transfer of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) across the (state)(international) border and into Minnesota [; and]
    - [5] the offense involved at least three separate transactions in which (cocaine) (methamphet-

- amine) (was)(were) (sold) (transferred) (possessed with intent to (sell) (transfer)) [; and]
- [6] the circumstances of the offense reveal the defendant to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy [; and]
  - [7] the defendant used a position or status to facilitate the commission of the offense, including a position of (trust) (confidence) (fiduciary relationship) [; and]
  - [8] the offense involved the sale of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) to (a person under the age of eighteen)(a vulnerable adult) [; and]
  - [9] the defendant or an accomplice manufactured, possessed, or sold (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in a school zone, park zone, correctional facility, or drug treatment facility [; and]
  - [10] the defendant or an accomplice possessed (equipment) (drug paraphernalia) (documents) (money) evidencing that the offense involved the (cultivation) (manufacture) (distribution) (possession) of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in quantities substantially larger than ten grams.
  - [3] one or more mixtures of a total weight of six grams or more containing heroin
  - [4] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams or more containing \_\_\_\_\_\_²
  - [5] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams or more containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen)

- [6] one hundred or more dosage units of a mixture containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen).
- [7] one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five kilograms or more containing (marijuana) (tetrahydrocannabinols)
- [8] one hundred or more marijuana plants is guilty of a crime.

<sup>2</sup>List narcotic drug other than

cocaine, heroin, or methamphetamine. See M.S.A. § 152.022, subd. 2(a)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>List violent crime as identified in the complaint. *See* M.S.A. § 152.01, subd. 24(1).

### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE SECOND DEGREE—POSSESSION—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of a controlled substance crime in the second degree are:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed

- [1] one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine).
- [2] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing (cocaine) (methamphetamine) and [choose one]<sup>1</sup>
- [A] (the defendant)(an accomplice) [possessed a firearm on their person or within immediate reach] [(brandished)(displayed)(threatened a person with)(otherwise employed) a firearm] [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice]. [A "firearm" means a device designed to be used as a weapon, from which is expelled a projectile by the force of any explosion or force of combustion.]
  - [B] the offense involved [at least three of the following aggravating factors:][the following three aggravating factors:]
    - [1] the defendant, within the previous ten years, has been convicted of \_\_\_\_\_\_^2 [; and]
    - [2] the offense was committed for the benefit of a criminal gang. A "criminal gang" means any ongoing organization, association, or group of three or more persons, whether formal or informal, that has as one of its primary activi-

ties the commission of one or more of the
crimes of, <sup>3</sup> has a common
name or common identifying symbol, and
includes members who individually or collec-
tively engage in a pattern of criminal activity
[; and]

- [4] the offense involved the transfer of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) across the (state)(international) border and into Minnesota [; and]
- [5] the offense involved at least three separate transactions in which (cocaine) (methamphetamine) (was)(were) (sold) (transferred) (possessed with intent to (sell) (transfer)) [; and]
- [6] the circumstances of the offense reveal the defendant to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy [; and]
- [7] the defendant used a position or status to facilitate the commission of the offense, including a position of (trust) (confidence) (fiduciary relationship) [A fiduciary relationship exists when a person, referred to as the fiduciary, holds a superior position of authority and control over the property of another person referred to as the principal, and in whom the principal places a high level of trust and confidence.] [; and]
  - [8] the offense involved the sale of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) to \_\_\_\_\_\_, who is[under the age of eighteen] [a vulnerable adult" is a person who is 18 years of age or older who (is a res-

ident inpatient of a facility) (receives services at or from a facility required to be licensed by the Commissioner of Human Services to serve adults, except that a person who receives outpatient services for treatment of chemical dependency or mental illness or who has been committed as a sexual psychopathic personality or sexually dangerous person is not considered a vulnerable adult unless that person possesses a physical or mental infirmity or there is physical or mental emotional dysfunction that impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for his or her own care without assistance, including the provision of food, shelter, clothing, health care, or supervision, and, because of the dysfunction or infirmity and the need for assistance, has an impaired ability to protect self from maltreatment) (receives services from a home care provider licensed by the Commissioner of Human Services or from a person or organization that exclusively offers, provides, or arranges for personal care assistance services) (regardless of residence or whether any type of service is received. possesses a physical or mental infirmity or other physical, mental, or emotional dysfunction that impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for his or her care without assistance, including the provision of food, shelter, clothing, health care, or supervision, and, because of the dysfunction or infirmity and the need for assistance, has an impaired ability to protect self from maltreatment)] [; and1

[9] the defendant or an accomplice (manufactured)(possessed) (sold) (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in a (school zone)(park zone)(correctional facility)(drug treatment facility) [A "school zone" is any property owned, leased,

or controlled by a school district or an organization operating a nonpublic school where an elementary, middle, or secondary school, secondary vocational center, or other school providing educational services for grades one through twelve is located or used for educational purposes, or where extracurricular or co-curricular activities are regularly provided.] [A school zone also includes the area surrounding the school property to a distance of 300 feet or one city block, whichever is greater, beyond the school property.] [A school zone also includes the area within a school bus when the school bus is being used to transport one or more elementary of secondary school students.] [A "park zone" is an area designated as a public park by the federal government, the state, a local unit of government, a park district board, or a park and recreation board in a city of the first class. Park zone includes the area (within three hundred feet) (or) (one city block,)
(whichever distance is greater,) of the park boundary.] [A "correctional facility" means any facility, including a group home, having a residential component, the primary purpose of which is to serve persons placed therein by a court, court services department, parole authority, or other correctional agency having dispositional power over persons charged with, convicted, or adjudicated to be guilty or delinquent.<sup>5</sup>] [A "drug treatment facility" means a residential treatment facility, and includes any property owned, leased, or controlled by the facility.] [refer to and add CRIMJIG 2.09 for definition of accomplice [: and1

[10] the defendant or an accomplice possessed (equipment) (drug paraphernalia) (documents) (money) evidencing that the offense

involved the (cultivation) (manufacture) (distribution) (possession) of (cocaine) (methamphetamine) in quantities substantially larger than twenty-five grams. [refer to and add CRIMJIG. 2.09 for definition of accomplice].

- [3] one or more mixtures of a total weight of six grams or more containing heroin.
- [4] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams or more containing \_\_\_\_\_\_6
- [5] one or more mixtures of a total weight of fifty grams or more containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine)(hallucinogen).
- [6] one hundred or more dosage units of a mixture containing (amphetamine) (phencyclidine) (hallucinogen).
- [7] one or more mixtures of a total weight of twenty-five kilograms or more containing (marijuana) (tetrahydrocannabinols).
- [8] one hundred or more marijuana plants.

"To know" requires only that the defendant believes that the specified fact exists.

A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity. [The weight of a mixture includes the fluid in a water pipe ("bong") only if the mixture contains four or more fluid ounces of fluid.<sup>7</sup>]

[The law recognizes two kinds of possession: "actual possession" and "constructive possession." A person is in actual possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if (he) (she) has it on (his) (her) person or is exercising direct physical control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) at a given time. A person is in constructive

possession of (the controlled substance) if (the controlled substance) was in a place under
(his) (her) exclusive control to which other people did not normally have access, or if found in a place to which oth-
ers had access, the person knowingly exercised dominion and control over (the controlled substance <sup>8</sup> ). You may find that the element of possession, as that term is
used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or con-
structive possession.]

The law also recognizes that possession may be either exclusive or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance), possession is exclusive. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (controlled substance), possession is joint. You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant had actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance), whether exclusively or jointly with others.1

Second, the defendant knew or believed that the substance possessed was a controlled substance.9

Third, the defendant's possession of \_\_\_\_\_ was without lawful authority.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not quilty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See M.S.A. § 609.666, subd. 1. <sup>2</sup>List violent crime as identified in the complaint. See M.S.A. § 152.01, complaint. See M.S.A. § 609.229, subd. subd. 24(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>List offense from M.S.A. § 609. 11, subd. 9, as identified in the 1(1).

<sup>4</sup>See M.S.A. § 123.932, subd. 3, for the definition of a non-public school.

5"Correctional facility" is not defined in Chapter 152, so the committee has incorporated the definition found in M.S.A § 241.021, subd. 1(f).

<sup>6</sup>List parcotic drug other than cocaine, heroin, or methamphetamine. See M.S.A. § 152.022, subd. 2(a)(4).

<sup>7</sup>See State v. Peck, 773 N.W.2d 768 (Minn. 2009) and M.S.A. § 152. 022. subd. 2(b), modifying Peck.

<sup>8</sup>In State v. Hunter, 857 N.W.2d

537 (Minn. App. 2014) the Court of Appeals indicated that the use of the pronoun "it" created an ambiguity in the previous version of this instruction. It is recommended the item possessed be particularly identified throughout the instruction.

<sup>9</sup>The defendant need only know that it was a controlled substance and not what the exact scientific description of the controlled substance was. See State v. Ali. 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. App. 2009).

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE THIRD DEGREE—SALE—DEFINED

#### COMMENT

Add the following text as the third paragraph to the Comment:

The Supreme Court, in *Barrow v. State*, 862 N.W.2d 686 (Minn. 2015), held that defendant's transfer of drugs to his wife to conceal his possession of the drugs did not constitute a "sale" within the meaning of the statute. Defendant did not give up his interest in the cocaine for her use but to hide it, so the "give away" portion of the definition of "sell" was not satisfied. In order to find a "sale," the fact-finder must find a surrender of the defendant's possessory interest.

#### Research References

West's Key Number Digest
Drugs and Narcotics € 68

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Legal\ Encyclopedias \\ C.J.S.,\ Drugs\ and\ Narcotics\ \S\S\ 158,\ 163,\ 178,\ 181\ to\ 182,\ 193 \\ \end{tabular}$ 

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE THIRD DEGREE—POSSESSION—DEFINED

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

Under Minnesota law, whoever, on one or more occasions within a ninety-day period, unlawfully possesses

- [1] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing \_\_\_\_\_\_.¹
- [2] one or more mixtures of a total weight of three grams or more containing heroin.
- [3] fifty or more dosage units of a mixture containing
- [4] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten kilograms or more containing (marijuana) (tetrahydrocannabinols).

is guilty of a crime.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert narcotic drug other than heroin as identified in the complaint. fied See M.S.A. § 152.023, subd. 2(a)(1). 023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Insert narcotic drug as identified in the complaint. *See M.S.A.* § 152. 023, subd. 2(a)(3).

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE THIRD DEGREE—POSSESSION—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of possession of a controlled substance crime in the third degree are:

First, the defendant on one or more occasions within a ninety-day period knowingly possessed

- [1] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten grams or more containing \_\_\_\_\_.¹
- [2] one or more mixtures of a total weight of three grams or more containing heroin.
- [3] fifty or more dosage units of a mixture containing
- [4] one or more mixtures of a total weight of ten kilograms or more containing (marijuana) (tetrahydrocannabinols).

"To know" requires only that the defendant believes that the specified fact exists.

A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity. [The weight of a mixture includes the fluid in a water pipe ("bong") only if the mixture contains four or more fluid ounces of fluid.<sup>3</sup>]

[The law recognizes two kinds of possession: "actual possession" and "constructive possession." A person is in actual possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if (he) (she) has it on (his) (her) person or is exercising direct physical control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) at a given time. A person is in constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if

(the controlled substance) was in a place under
(his) (her) exclusive control to which other people did not
normally have access, or if found in a place to which oth-
ers had access, the person knowingly exercised dominion
and control over (the controlled substance <sup>4</sup> ). You
may find that the element of possession, as that term is
used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a
reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or con-
structive possession.]

[The law also recognizes that possession may be either exclusive or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance), possession is exclusive. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (controlled substance), possession is joint. You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant had actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance), whether exclusively or jointly with others.]

Second, the defendant knew or believed that the substance possessed was a controlled substance.<sup>5</sup>

Third, the defendant's possession of \_\_\_\_\_ was without lawful authority.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert narcotic drug other than heroin as identified in the complaint. *See* M.S.A. § 152.023, subd. 2(a)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Insert narcotic drug as identified in the complaint. *See* M.S.A. § 152. 023, subd. 2(a)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See State v. Peck, 773 N.W.2d 768 (Minn. 2009) and M.S.A. § 152. 023, subd. 2(b), modifying Peck.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In *State v. Hunter*, 857 N.W.2d 537 (Minn. App 2015), the Court of Appeals indicated that the use of the

pronoun "it" created an ambiguity in the previous version of this instruction. It is recommended the item possessed be particularly identified throughout the instruction.

<sup>5</sup>The defendant need only know

that it was a controlled substance and not what the exact scientific description of the controlled substance was. *See State v. Ali*, 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. App. 2009).

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE THIRD DEGREE—POSSESSION IN SCHOOL/PARK/PUBLIC HOUSING ZONE/DRUG TREATMENT FACILITY—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text, Footnotes, and Comments:

The elements of a controlled substance crime in the third degree are:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed ((any amount of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ on one or more occasions within a 90-day period) (five or more dosage units of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ on one or more occasions within a 90-day period) (one or more mixtures containing (amphetamine) (or) (methamphetamine). "To know" requires only that the defendant believes that the specified fact exists.)

[A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity. [The weight of a mixture includes the fluid in a water pipe ("bong") only if the mixture contains four or more fluid ounces of fluid.<sup>3</sup>]]

[The law recognizes two kinds of possession: "actual possession" and "constructive possession." A person is in actual possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if (he) (she) has it on (his) (her) person or is exercising direct physical control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) at a given time. A person is in constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) was in a place under (his) (her) exclusive control to which other people did not normally have access, or if found in a place to which others had access, the person knowingly exercised dominion and control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance<sup>4</sup>). You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a

reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or constructive possession.]

[The law also recognizes that possession may be either exclusive or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance), possession is exclusive. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance), possession is joint. You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant had actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance), whether exclusively or jointly with others.]

Second, the defendant knew or believed that the substance the defendant possessed was \_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance).<sup>5</sup>

Third, the defendant possessed the \_\_\_\_\_ (controlled substance) in a [school zone] [park zone] [public housing zone] [drug treatment facility].

[A "school zone" is any property owned, leased, or controlled by a school district or an organization operating a nonpublic school where an elementary, middle, or secondary school, secondary vocational center, or other school providing educational services for grades one through twelve is located or used for educational purposes, or where extracurricular or co-curricular activities are regularly provided.] [A school zone also includes the area surrounding the school property to a distance of 300 feet or one city block, whichever is greater, beyond the school property.] [A school zone also includes the area within a school bus when the school bus is being used to transport one or more elementary of secondary school students.] [A "park zone" is an area designated as a public park by the federal government, the state, a local unit of government, a park district board, or a park and recreation board in a city of the first class. "Park zone" includes the area within (three hundred feet) (or) (one city block,)

(whichever distance is greater,) of the park boundary.] [A "public housing zone" is a public housing project or development administered by a local housing agency, plus the area within (three hundred feet of the property's boundary,) (or) (one city block,) (whichever distance is greater).] [A "drug treatment facility" is a licensed residential drug treatment facility, and includes property owned, leased, or controlled by the facility.]

Fourth, the defendant's possession of \_\_\_\_ was without lawful authority.

Fifth, the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

M.S.A. § 152.023, subds. 2(4) and 2(6).

The requirements for constructive possession are drawn from *State v. Mollberg*, 310 Minn. 376, 246 N.W.2d 463 (1976), and *State v. Florine*, 303 Minn. 103, 226 N.W.2d 609 (1975). Possession need not be by the defendant alone, but may be shared with others. *State v. LaBarre*, 292 Minn. 228, 195 N.W.2d 435 (1972). *See also State v. Hunter*, 857 N.W.2d 537 (Minn. App. 2014), in which the Court of Appeals held that possession requires dominion and control over the controlled substance and not the place where the controlled substance is found.

In cities where no grid system is present, the term "one city block" does not apply and the transaction must take place within 300 feet of the park for the statute to apply. *See State v. Estrella*, 700 N.W.2d 496 (Minn. App. 2005).

When land surrounding a public park is divided into rectangular blocks bounded by city streets, the area "within one city block . . . of the park boundary" include the entire area of block adjacent to the park. *State v. Carufel*, 783 N.W.2d 539 (Minn. 2010) (a controlled substance case). This holding would presumably also apply to a school zone or public housing zone.

The Supreme Court, in *State v. Benniefield*, 678 N.W.2d 42 (Minn. 2004), held that the State is not required to prove that a defendant knew that he was in a school zone or intended to commit the crime in a school zone.

<sup>1</sup>The Schedule I or II narcotic drug alleged in the complaint.

<sup>2</sup>LSD, 3,4 methylenedioxy amphetamine, or 3,4 methylenedioxyamphetamine.

<sup>3</sup>See State v. Peck, 773 N.W.2d 768 (Minn. 2009) and M.S.A. § 152.

021, subd. 2(b), modifying Peck.

<sup>4</sup>In *State v. Hunter*, 857 N.W.2d 537 (Minn. App 2014), the Court of Appeals indicated that the use of the pronoun "it" created an ambiguity in the previous version of this instruction. It is recommended the item possessed be particularly identi-

<sup>1</sup>The Schedule I or II narcotic fied throughout the instruction.

<sup>5</sup>The defendant need only know that it was a controlled substance and not what the exact scientific description of the controlled substance was. *See State v. Ali*, 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. App. 2009). "To know" only requires that the defendant believes that the specific fact exists under M.S.A. § 609. 02, subd. 9.

<sup>6</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 123B.41, subd. 9 for the definition of a "nonpublic school."

<sup>7</sup>See M.S.A. § 169.011, subd. 71, for the definition of a school bus.

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE FOURTH DEGREE—SALE—SCHOOL/PARK/PUBLIC HOUSING ZONE/DRUG TREATMENT FACILITY—DEFINED

#### COMMENT

Replace Comment two with the following text:

See Minn. Stat. § 123B.41, subd. 9 for the definition of a "nonpublic school."

## CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE FOURTH DEGREE—SALE—SCHOOL/PARK/PUBLIC HOUSING ZONE—ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

See Minn. Stat. § 123B.41, subd. 9 school." for the definition of a "nonpublic

## CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE FOURTH DEGREE—POSSESSION—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of controlled substance crime in the fourth degree are:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed one or more mixtures of (phencyclidine) (or) (a hallucinogen).

[The law recognizes two kinds of possession: "actual possession" and "constructive possession." A person is in actual possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if (he) (she) has it on (his) (her) person or is exercising direct physical control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) at a given time. A person is in constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) was in a place under (his) (her) exclusive control to which other people did not normally have access, or if found in a place to which others had access, the person knowingly exercised dominion and control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance). You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or constructive possession.]

[The law also recognizes that possession may be either exclusive or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance), possession is exclusive. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (controlled substance), possession is joint. You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant had actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance), whether exclusively or jointly with others.]

A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity.

"To know" requires only that the defendant believes that the specified fact exists.

Second, the (phencyclidine) (or) (hallucinogen) was packaged in dosage units and equaled ten or more dosage units of \_\_\_\_\_\_.1

Third, the defendant knew or believed that the substance the defendant possessed was \_\_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance).<sup>2</sup>

Fourth, the defendant's possession of \_\_\_\_\_ was without lawful authority.

Fifth, the defendant's act took place on (or about)

——— in ———— County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

See State v. Ali, 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. App. 2009). "To know" only requires that the defendant believes that the specific fact exists under M.S.A. § 609. 02, subd. 9.

100) \_\_\_\_\_ to discussing and proposed in their winter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Phencyclidine or a hallucinogen.
<sup>2</sup>The defendant need only know that it was a controlled substance and not what the exact scientific description of the controlled substance was.

### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME IN THE FIFTH DEGREE—POSSESSION—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of possession of a controlled substance in the fifth degree are:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed one or more mixtures containing \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_1

[[The law recognizes two kinds of possession: "actual possession" and "constructive possession." A person is in actual possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if (he) (she) has it on (his) (her) person or is exercising direct physical control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) at a given time. A person is in constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) was in a place under (his) (her) exclusive control to which other people did not normally have access, or if found in a place to which others had access, the person knowingly exercised dominion and control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance). You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or constructive possession.]

[The law also recognizes that possession may be either exclusive or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance), possession is exclusive. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (controlled substance), possession is joint. You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant had actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance), whether exclusively or jointly with others.]

A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity.

"To know" requires only that the defendant believes that the specified fact exists.

Second, the defendant knew or believed that the substance the defendant possessed was \_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance).

[Third, the amount of marijuana possessed by the defendant was more than 42.5 grams.]

[Third] [Fourth], the defendant's possession of was without lawful authority.

[Fourth] [Fifth], the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY AND THE PARTY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A Schedule I, II, III or IV controlled substance except a small

#### OTHER CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE OFFENSE INCLUDING SALVIA DIVINORUM AND SYNTHETIC CANNABINOIDS—POSSESSION—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of possession of controlled substance offense are:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed one or more mixtures containing \_\_\_\_\_.<sup>1</sup>

A "mixture" is a preparation, compound, mixture, or substance containing a controlled substance, regardless of its purity.

"To know" requires only that the defendant believes that the specified fact exists.

[The law recognizes two kinds of possession: "actual
possession" and "constructive possession." A person is
in actual possession of (the controlled substance)
if (he) (she) has it on (his) (her) person or is exercising
direct physical control over (the controlled
substance) at a given time. A person is in constructive
possession of (the controlled substance) if (the controlled substance) was in a place under
(his) (her) exclusive control to which other people did not
normally have access, or if found in a place to which oth-
ers had access, the person knowingly exercised dominion
and control over (the controlled substance). You
may find that the element of possession, as that term is
used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a
reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or con-
structive possession.]

[The law also recognizes that possession may be either exclusive or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance), possession is exclusive. If two or more persons

share actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (controlled substance), possession is joint. You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant had actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance), whether exclusively or jointly with others.]

Second, the defendant knew or believed that the substance the defendant possessed was \_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance).<sup>2</sup>

Third, the defendant's possession of \_\_\_\_\_ was without lawful authority.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

tion of the controlled substance was. See State v. Ali, 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. App. 2009). "To know" only requires that the defendant believes that the specific fact exists under M.S.A. § 609. 02, subd. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A Schedule V controlled substance, salvia divinorum or synthetic cannabinoids.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The defendant need only know that it was a controlled substance and not what the exact scientific descrip-

## POSSESSION OF A SMALL AMOUNT OF MARIJUANA IN A MOTOR VEHICLE—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of (possession of) (keeping) (allowing to be kept) a small amount of marijuana in a motor vehicle are:

First, the defendant was (the owner of a private motor vehicle) (the driver of a private motor vehicle while the owner was not present).

[1] Second, the defendant possessed on (his) (her) person more than 1.4 grams of marijuana.

[The law recognizes two kinds of possession: "actual possession" and "constructive possession." A person is in actual possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if (he) (she) has it on (his) (her) person or is exercising direct physical control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) at a given time. A person is in constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance) if (the controlled substance) was in a place under (his) (her) exclusive control to which other people did not normally have access, or if found in a place to which others had access, the person knowingly exercised dominion and control over \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance). You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or constructive possession.]

[The law also recognizes that possession may be either exclusive or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (a controlled substance), possession is exclusive. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (controlled substance), possession is joint. You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these

instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant had actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the controlled substance), whether exclusively or jointly with others.]

[2] Second, the defendant knowingly (kept) (allowed to be kept) more than 1.4 grams of marijuana in the motor vehicle, within the area of the motor vehicle normally occupied by the driver or passengers. "To know" requires only that the defendant believes that the specified fact exists. [This area of the vehicle does not include the trunk of the motor vehicle, when such vehicle is equipped with a trunk or another area of the vehicle not normally occupied by the driver or passengers, if the vehicle is not equipped with a trunk. A utility or glove compartment is within the area occupied by the driver and passengers.]

Third, the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

## (DELIVERY) (POSSESSION FOR DELIVERY) (MANUFACTURE FOR DELIVERY) OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of (delivery)(possession for delivery-)(manufacture for delivery) of drug paraphernalia are:

First, the defendant knowingly or intentionally (delivered drug paraphernalia) (possessed drug paraphernalia for delivery) (manufactured drug paraphernalia for delivery).

"Drug paraphernalia" means equipment, products, and materials of any kind knowingly or intentionally used primarily in (1) manufacturing a controlled substance, (2) injecting, ingesting, inhaling, or otherwise introducing into the human body a controlled substance, (3) testing the strength, effectiveness, or purity of a controlled substance, or (4) enhancing the effect of a controlled substance.

"To know" requires only that the defendant believes that the specified fact exists.

[The law recognizes two kinds of possession: "actual possession" and "constructive possession." A person is in actual possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (an item) if (he) (she) has it on (his) (her) person or is exercising direct physical control over \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the item) at a given time. A person is in constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_\_ (an item) if \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the item) was in a place under (his) (her) exclusive control to which other people did not normally have access, or if found in a place to which others had access, the person knowingly exercised dominion and control over \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the item). You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or constructive possession.]

The law also recognizes that possession may be either exclusive or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (an item), possession is exclusive. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (an item), possession is joint. You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant had actual or constructive possession of \_\_\_\_\_ (the item), whether exclusively or jointly with others.1

Second, the defendant knew or believed that the equipment, products, or materials (was) (were) drug paraphernalia.

Third, the defendant's act took place on (or about) in \_\_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is quilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not quilty.

537 (Minn. App 2014) the Court of Appeals indicated that the use of the pronoun "it" created an ambiguity in

<sup>1</sup>In State v. Hunter, 857 N.W.2d the previous version of this instruction. It is recommended the item possessed be particularly identified throughout the instruction.

#### COMMENT

Replace the second paragraph to the Comment with the following text:

The requirements for constructive possession are drawn from State v. Mollberg, 310 Minn. 376, 246 N.W.2d 463 (1976), and State v. Florine, 303 Minn. 103, 226 N.W.2d 609 (1975). Possession need not be by the defendant alone, but may be shared with others. State v. LaBarre, 292 Minn. 228, 195 N.W.2d 435 (1972). See also State v. Hunter, 857 N.W.2d 537 (Minn. App. 2014), in which the Court of Appeals held that possession requires dominion and control over the controlled substance and not the place where the controlled substance is found. states of both stop I someone accounts

### GREAT BODILY HARM CAUSED BY DISTRIBUTION OF DRUGS—ELEMENTS

Replace the current Jury Instruction with the following text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of causing great bodily harm by distribution of drugs are:

First, it must be proven that \_\_\_\_\_ suffered great bodily harm. "Great bodily harm" means bodily harm that causes a high probability of death, causes serious permanent disfigurement, or causes a permanent or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any part of the body, or other serious bodily harm.

Second, the defendant unlawfully sold, gave away, bartered, delivered, exchanged, distributed, or administered \_\_\_\_\_\_ to (\_\_\_\_\_) (another).

Third, the defendant acted intentionally in selling, giving away, bartering, delivering, exchanging, distributing, or administering \_\_\_\_\_ to (\_\_\_\_\_) (another).

Fourth, the defendant proximately caused<sup>2</sup> great bodily harm to \_\_\_\_\_\_ by, directly or indirectly, selling, giving away, bartering, delivering, exchanging, distributing, or administering \_\_\_\_\_ 3 to (\_\_\_\_\_) (another). "To proximately cause" means to be a substantial causal factor in causing the [death]. The defendant is criminally liable for all the consequences of (his) (her) actions that occur in the ordinary and natural course of events, including those consequences brought about by one or more intervening causes, if such intervening causes were the natural result of the defendant's acts. The fact that other causes contribute to the [death] does not relieve the defendant of criminal liability. However, the defendant is not criminally liable if a "superseding cause" caused the [death]. A "superseding cause" is a cause that comes after the defendant's acts, alters the natural sequence of

events, and produces a result that would not otherwise have occurred.

Fifth, the defendant's acts took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.228.

N.W.2d 530 (Minn. 1989); State v. Smith, 119 N.W.2d 838 (Minn. 1962).

<sup>3</sup>A controlled substance listed as a Schedule I or II substance, other than marijuana or tetrahydrocannabinols.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As this is a felony offense, a mens rea requirement must be read into the statute. *See State v. Ndikum*, 815 N.W.2d 816 (Minn. 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See State v. Smith, 835 N.W.2d 1 (Minn. 2013); State v. Olson, 435

## CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CRIME— METHAMPHETAMINE MANUFACTURER— POSSESSION OF PRECURSORS—ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace footnote one with the following text:

See M.S.A. § 152.0262, subd. 1(b) for the list of reagents and precursors. See State v. Winbush, 912 N.W.2d 678 (Minn. App. 2018) (holding it is plain error to fail to instruct the jury as to

which items in the defendant's possession are chemical reagents or precursors under Minn. Stat. § 152.0262, subd. 1(b)).

## D. CRIMES AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

#### CHAPTER 22

#### **PERJURY**

#### **CRIMJIG 22.02**

## PERJURY—ACTION, HEARING, OR PROCEEDING—ELEMENTS

Replace the current jury instruction with the following text:

The elements of perjury are:

First, the defendant made the alleged statement(s) under oath (or affirmation) in \_\_\_\_\_\_. This (action) (hearing) (proceeding) was one in which the statement was (required) (authorized) to be made under oath or affirmation. (An "affirmation" is a solemn statement made as a substitute for a sworn statement by a person whose conscience will not permit the person to take an oath.)

Second, the statement was false.

Third, the statement was material. A statement is material if the statement has a natural tendency to influence or is capable of influencing the decision of the [decision-making body] [person] to whom it is made.

Fourth, the defendant did not believe the statement(s) to be true. [If you find the defendant made two statements under oath that are inconsistent, and you find the defendant could not have believed each of the statements, when made, to be true, you may find the defendant intentionally

made a false statement. It is not necessary for you to decide which statement was false.]1

Fifth, the defendant knew that (he) (she) was under (oath) (affirmation).

Sixth, the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Add the following language as the third paragraph of the Comment:

The definition of "material" is drawn from *State v. Burnett*, 867 N.W.2d 534 (Minn. App. 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Use the bracketed language tent statements or affirmations. when the case involves two inconsis-

#### E. OTHER CRIMES

#### CHAPTER 28

#### **GAME AND FISH VIOLATIONS**

#### **CRIMJIG 28.11**

## TAKING WILD ANIMALS WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE—DEFINED

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following Text and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever takes wild animals with a firearm or by archery when the person

- [1] is under the influence of alcohol,
- [2] is under the influence of a controlled substance,
- [3] is under the influence of a combination of alcohol and a controlled substance,
  - [4] has an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more,
- [5] has an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more as measured within two hours of the time of hunting,
- [6] is under the influence of an intoxicating substance and knows or has reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment is guilty of a crime.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 97B.065, subd. 1.

### TAKING WILD ANIMALS WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE—ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following Text, Comments, and Footnote:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant took a wild animal with a firearm or by archery.

"To take" includes to pursue, shoot, kill, capture, trap, snare, spear or net or to attempt to do those things or assist another person in taking an animal.

[Insert CRIMJIG 5.02 on Attempt if appropriate.]

Second,

[1] at the time the defendant took the wild animal, the defendant was under the influence of alcohol.

If a person ingests alcohol and is not influenced by it, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the alcohol, the person's ability or capacity to take a wild animal, is impaired, then this element has been proven.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of alcohol a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the alcohol that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

[2] at the time the defendant took the wild animal, the defendant was under the influence of a controlled substance. \_\_\_\_\_ is a controlled substance.

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to take a wild animal, is impaired, then this element has been proven.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."<sup>2</sup>

[3] at the time the defendant took the wild animal, the defendant was under the influence of a combination of alcohol and a controlled substance. (\_\_\_\_\_\_ is a controlled substance).

If a person ingests a combination of alcohol and a controlled substance and is not influenced by it, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the combination of alcohol and controlled substance, the person's ability or capacity to take a wild animal, is impaired, then this element has been proven.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a combination of alcohol and controlled substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the combination of alcohol and controlled substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

[4] at the time the person took the wild animal, the defendant's alcohol concentration was 0.08 or more.

This means (the number of grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood was 0.08 or more) (the number of grams of alcohol per 210 liters of breath was 0.08 or more) (the number of grams of alcohol per 67 milliliters of urine was 0.08 or more).

[5] within two hours of the time the defendant took the wild animal, the defendant's alcohol concentration was 0.08 or more.

An "alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more" means (the number of grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood was 0.08 or more) (the number of grams of alcohol per 210 liters of breath was 0.08 or more) (the number of grams of alcohol per 67 milliliters of urine was 0.08 or more).

[6] at the time the defendant took the wild animal, the defendant was under the influence of an intoxicating substance and defendant knew or had reason to know that the substance had the capacity to cause impairment.

An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>4</sup> [—— is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and preparations, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.<sup>5</sup>]

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_,<sup>6</sup> regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.<sup>7</sup>]

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.<sup>8</sup>]

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to take a wild animal, is impaired, then the person is under the influence of that substance.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

Third, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 97B.065, subd. 1.

The definition of "take" is from Minn. Stat. § 97A.015, subd. 47. See State v. Schmid, 859 N.W.2d 816 (Minn. 2015); State v. O'Heron, 83 N.W.2d 785 (Minn. 1957).

In *State v. Ritter*, 486 N.W.2d 832 (Minn. Ct. App. 1992), the Court of Appeals held that conduct preparatory to hunting did not constitute attempting to take wild animals within the meaning of a statute that prohibited taking wild animals while under the influence of intoxicants.

N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd.

11a.

<sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>6</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn. Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>7</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>8</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>9</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

#### CHAPTER 29

#### **TRAFFIC**

#### **CRIMJIG 29.07**

# DRIVING WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever (drives) (operates) (is in physical control of) a motor vehicle, when the person is under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance, knowing or having reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment), is guilty of a crime.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1(4).

# DRIVING WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE— ELEMENTS /Retitled/

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, Footnotes, and Comment:

#### The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant (drove) (operated) (was in physical control of) a motor vehicle [upon the ice of any lake, stream, or river, including, but not limited to, the ice of any boundary water of this state].

["To drive" means to exercise physical control over the speed and direction of a motor vehicle while it is in motion.]

["To operate" means to perform any act that causes a motor vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a motor vehicle.]

[A person is in "physical control" of a motor vehicle when the person is present in a vehicle and is in a position to either direct the movement of the vehicle or keep the vehicle in restraint. It is not necessary for the engine to be running in order for a person to be in physical control of a motor vehicle.]

["Motor vehicle" means any vehicle that is self-propelled.] ["Motor vehicle" also includes any vehicle that is propelled by electric power obtained from overhead trolley wires.] ["Motor vehicle" also includes motorboats in operation and off-road recreational vehicles.] ["Motor vehicle" does not include any vehicle moved solely by human power.]<sup>2</sup>

Second, at the time the defendant was (driving)

(operating) (in physical control of) a motor vehicle, the defendant was under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance, which the defendant knew or had reason to know had the capacity to cause impairment).

[An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>3</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and preparations, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>4</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_,<sup>5</sup> regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]<sup>6</sup>

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>7</sup>

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to operate a motor vehicle is impaired, then the person is under the influence of that substance. There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

Third, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

[Insert appropriate elements from CRIMJIG 29.20 Aggravating Factors if applicable. Note that under *State v. Davidson*, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant may stipulate to any prior convictions and remove that element from the jury's consideration.]

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>State v. Henderson, 907 N.W.2d 623 (Minn. 2018) (holding term "operating" in Minn. Stat. § 609.2113, subd. 1, refers to any act that causes a motor vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a motor vehicle, including the act of a passenger grabbing the steering wheel of a moving vehicle).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd.

<sup>11</sup>a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>5</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn. Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>7</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

## DRIVING WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever (drives) (operates) (is in physical control of) a motor vehicle, when the person is under the influence of an intoxicating substance and knows or has reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment, is guilty of a crime.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1(3).

## DRIVING WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE— ELEMENTS [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant (drove) (operated) (was in physical control of) a motor vehicle [upon the ice of any lake, stream, or river, including, but not limited to, the ice of any boundary water of this state].

["To drive" means to exercise physical control over the speed and direction of a motor vehicle while it is in motion.]

["To operate" means to perform any act that causes a motor vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a motor vehicle.]

[A person is in "physical control" of a motor vehicle when the person is present in a vehicle and is in a position to either direct the movement of the vehicle or keep the vehicle in restraint. It is not necessary for the engine to be running in order for a person to be in physical control of a motor vehicle.]

["Motor vehicle" means any vehicle that is self-propelled.] ["Motor vehicle" also includes any vehicle that is propelled by electric power obtained from overhead trolley wires.] ["Motor vehicle" also includes motorboats in operation and off-road recreational vehicles.] ["Motor vehicle" does not include any vehicle moved solely by human power.]<sup>2</sup>

Second, at the time the defendant was (driving) (operating) (in physical control of) a motor vehicle, the defendant was under the influence of an intoxicating substance.

An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>3</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and preparations, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>4</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_,<sup>5</sup> regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]<sup>6</sup>

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>7</sup>

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to operate a motor vehicle is impaired, then this element has been proven.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

Third, the defendant knew or had reason to know that the substance had the capacity to cause impairment.

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

[Insert appropriate elements from CRIMJIG 29.20—Aggravating Factors if applicable. Note that under *State v. Davidson*, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant may stipulate to any prior convictions and remove that element from the jury's consideration.]

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>State v. Henderson, 907 N.W.2d 623 (Minn. 2018) (holding term "operating" in Minn. Stat. § 609.2113, subd. 1, refers to any act that causes a motor vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a motor vehicle, including the act of a passenger grabbing the steering wheel of a moving vehicle).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd.
 11a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn. Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

#### DRIVING WITH AN ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION OF 0.08 OR MORE AS MEASURED WITHIN TWO HOURS OF THE TIME OF DRIVING—AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Replace the current jury instruction with the following text:

The defendant is not guilty of violating this statute if you are convinced, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the defendant consumed a sufficient quantity of alcohol after the time of actual (driving) (operating) (physical control) of a motor vehicle and before the administration of the evidentiary test to cause the alcohol concentration to exceed (0.08) (0.16).

By the term "preponderance of the evidence," it is meant that all of the evidence produced must lead you to believe it is more likely that the claim is true than not true. Therefore, if you find it is more likely true than not true that the defendant's alcohol concentration exceeded (0.08) (0.16) as a result of alcohol consumed after the time of (driving) (operating) (being in physical control of) a motor vehicle, the defendant (is not guilty of this offense) (has not aggravated this offense). If you are not so convinced, the defense has not been proven, and if the State has proven each element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty.

### DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE— AGGRAVATING FACTORS

Replace the current instruction with the following text:

If you find the defendant guilty of \_\_\_\_\_, there (is) (are) (an) additional issue(s) you must determine, and (it) (they) will be put to you in the form of questions that will appear on the verdict form. The question(s) (is) (are):

- [1] Did the defendant have (a) qualified prior impaired driving incident(s) within ten years immediately preceding the current offense? [A "qualified prior impaired driving incident" includes prior driving impaired convictions and prior impaired driving-related loss of license.]¹ [If you answer "yes" to this question, you must also answer the following question: How many such offenses did the defendant have? If you have a reasonable doubt about how many such offenses the defendant had, you should choose the smaller number.]
- [2] Did the defendant have an alcohol concentration of 0.16 or more as measured at the time, or within two hours of the time, of the offense?
- [3] Was a child under 16 years of age in the vehicle at the time of the violation? Was the child more than 36 months younger than the defendant?

You will answer (this) (each) question "yes" or "no." If you have a reasonable doubt as to the answer, you should answer the question(s) "no."

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>See$  M.S.A.  $\S$  169A.03, subds. 3; definitions, if necessary. 20; 21; and 22, for more complete

#### COMMENT

Add the following text as the second paragraph to the Comment:

In *State v. Fichtner*, 867 N.W.2d 242, (Minn. App. 2015) the Court of Appeals found that the aggravating factor of having a child under the age of 16 in the vehicle at the time of impaired driving may be used only once to make an aggravating factor. The statute makes no provision permitting the presence of additional children to represent separate aggravating factors.

### REFUSAL TO SUBMIT TO TESTING—DEFINED

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text and Comments:

Under Minnesota law, whoever refuses to submit to a chemical test

- [1] of the person's breath
- [2] of the person's blood or urine as required by a search warrant

under the implied consent law is quilty of a crime.

### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 2; Minn. Stat. § 169A.51; Minn. Stat. § 169A.52.

Minn. Stat. § 171.177; Minn. Stat. §§ 626.04–626.18.

### REFUSAL TO SUBMIT TO TESTING—ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text and Comments:

The elements of refusals to submit to testing are:

First, a peace officer had probable cause to believe that the defendant drove, operated, or was in physical control of a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol. In order to find that the officer had "probable cause," you must first look at the totality of the circumstances leading to the arrest, based upon the objective facts and circumstances testified to by the arresting officer, as well as the officer's training and experience. If you find those circumstances would lead a reasonable officer to have an honest and strong suspicion that the defendant was driving, operating, or in physical control of a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol, then the arresting officer had probable cause.

- [1] Second, a peace officer placed the defendant under lawful arrest for driving while impaired. An arrest is "lawful" when an officer has reason to believe the defendant is in violation of the law and an officer can explain the reason.
- [2] Second, the defendant was involved in a motor vehicle accident or collision resulting in (property damage) (personal injury to the defendant) (personal injury to another) (death).
- [3] Second, the defendant refused to take a screening test (also called a preliminary screening or preliminary breath test). This test is administered to aid the officer in deciding whether an arrest should be made.
- [4] Second, the defendant took a screening test (also called a preliminary screening or preliminary breath test) and the results indicated an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more.

Third, the defendant was given the implied consent advisory by the peace officer.

- [1] Fourth, the defendant was requested by a peace officer to submit to a chemical test of the defendant's breath.
- [2] Fourth, the defendant was requested by a peace officer to submit to a chemical test of the defendant's (blood) (urine) as required by a search warrant.

Fifth, the defendant refused to submit to the test. [A failure to complete the entire test is a refusal. (In the case of a breath test, the entire test must consist of one adequate breath sample analysis, one calibration standard analysis, and a second adequate breath sample analysis.)]

[Insert appropriate elements from CRIMJIG 29.20 (Aggravating Factors), if applicable. Note that under *State v. Davidson*, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant may stipulate to any prior conviction(s) and remove that element from the jury's consideration.]

Sixth, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat.  $169A.20, \ subd.\ 2; \ Minn. Stat. <math display="inline"> 169A.51; \ Minn. \ Stat.$  169A.52.

Minn. Stat. § 171.177; Minn. Stat. §§ 626.04-626.18.

### RECKLESS DRIVING—DEFINED

#### COMMENT

Replace the current first paragraph of the Comment with the following text:

a place of the part of the par

M.S.A. § 169.13, subd. 1(a) and subd. 3.

### RECKLESS DRIVING—ELEMENTS

COMMENT

Delete the first paragraph of the Comment.

### FAILURE TO STOP AND GIVE INFORMATION— UNATTENDED VEHICLE—DEFINED

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text:

Under Minnesota law, whoever is the driver of any vehicle involved in a collision that results in damage to any unattended vehicle and fails to stop immediately, locate and notify the driver or owner of the vehicle of the name and address of the driver and owner of the vehicle striking the unattended vehicle, report the same to a peace officer, or leave in a conspicuous place in or secured to the unattended vehicle a written notice giving the name and address of the driver and owner of the vehicle doing the striking, is guilty of a crime.

med and training that part and the training the said

### FAILURE TO STOP AND GIVE INFORMATION— UNATTENDED VEHICLE—ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text:

The elements of failing to stop and give information after a collision involving an unattended vehicle are:

First, the defendant was the driver of a vehicle that collided with or caused a collision with an unattended vehicle. ["Unattended" means the vehicle was not occupied, and there was no person in the immediate vicinity looking after the vehicle.]

- [1] Second, the defendant failed to stop the vehicle at the scene of the collision, or as close to the scene as possible and reasonably investigate what was struck.
- [2] Second, the defendant knew or had reason to know the collision resulted in damage to the unattended vehicle.

Third, the defendant failed to stop immediately and provide (his) (her) name and address and the name and address of the owner of the vehicle (he) (she) was driving in any of the following ways:

- [a] by locating and notifying the driver or owner of the unattended vehicle.
  - [b] by reporting this information to a police officer.
- [c] by leaving written notice containing this information in a conspicuous place in or secured to the unattended vehicle.

[Third] [Fourth], the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been

proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

processing the common of the contract that print with growing

sa) has a sum dany by d'hadradus and proud? I down

### FAILURE TO YIELD—PEDESTRIANS—ABSENCE OF SIGNALS—DEFINED

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, the driver of a vehicle who fails to stop to yield the right-of-way to a pedestrian crossing the roadway within a marked crosswalk or at an intersection with no marked crosswalk where traffic-control signals are not in place or in operation, is guilty of a crime.

### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169.21, subd. 2.

ment and attendance much be book tack had too to

### FAILURE TO YIELD—PEDESTRIANS—ABSENCE OF SIGNALS—ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text and Footnote:

The elements of failure to yield to a pedestrian are:

First, the defendant drove a vehicle. "Vehicle" means a device in, upon, or by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a highway, excepting devices used exclusively upon stationary rails or tracks.

Second, the defendant approached a pedestrian who was crossing the roadway (within a marked crosswalk) (within any crosswalk at an intersection) where traffic-control signals were not in (place) (operation).

Third, the defendant failed to stop to yield the right-of way. [No pedestrian shall suddenly leave a curb or other place of safety and walk or run into the path of a vehicle which is so close that it is impossible for the driver to yield. The State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that it was not impossible for the defendant to yield.]<sup>1</sup>

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The bracketed language should only be used if the defendant has met his or her burden of production. *See* Minn. Stat. § 169.21, subd. 2(a); *State* 

v. Kramer, 668 N.W.2d 32 (Minn. App. 2003); State v. Auchampach, 540 N.W.2d 808 (Minn. 1995).

## OPERATING AIRCRAFT WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever operates or attempts to operate an aircraft on or over land or water, or over any boundary water, when the person is under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance, knowing or having reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment), is guilty of a crime.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 360.0752, subd. 2(a)(3).

OPERATING AIRCRAFT WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR A HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE—ELEMENTS [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant (operated) (attempted to operate) an aircraft.

An "aircraft" is any contrivance used or designed for navigation of or flight in air [but excludes parachutes].

To "operate" includes the acts of all crew members with responsibility to operate the aircraft.

Second, at the time the defendant was (operating) (attempting to operate) an aircraft, the defendant was under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance.)

[An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>1</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and preparations, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>2</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, sub-

stance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_, regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>5</sup>

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to operate an aircraft is impaired, then this element has been proven.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

[Third, the defendant knew or had reason to know the intoxicating substance had the capacity to cause impairment.]

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

[Third] [Fourth], the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 360.0752, subd. 2(a)(3).

<sup>1</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd.

11a.

<sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>3</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2. 3: Minn. Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>6</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

### OPERATING AIRCRAFT WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever operates or attempts to operate an aircraft on or over land or water, or over any boundary water, when the person is under the influence of an intoxicating substance, knowing or having reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment, is guilty of a crime.

### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 360.0752, subd. 2(a)(6).

### OPERATING AIRCRAFT WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—ELEMENTS [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant (operated) (attempted to operate) an aircraft.

An "aircraft" is any contrivance used or designed for navigation of or flight in air [but excludes parachutes].

To "operate" includes the acts of all crew members with responsibility to operate the aircraft.

Second, at the time the defendant was (operating) (attempting to operate) an aircraft, the defendant was under the influence of an intoxicating substance.

An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>1</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and preparations, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>2</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body,

induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_,<sup>3</sup> regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]<sup>4</sup>

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>5</sup>

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to operate an aircraft is impaired, then the person is under the influence of that substance.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

Third, the defendant knew or had reason to know the intoxicating substance had the capacity to cause impairment.

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 360.0752, subd. 2(a)(6).

<sup>1</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd. Rules 6800.4210, .4220. 11a.

<sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>3</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn.

<sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>6</sup>See State v. Stark. 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

# OPERATING A SNOWMOBILE/ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever ((operates) (is in physical control of)) ((a snowmobile) (an all-terrain vehicle)) within this state or on the ice of any boundary water, when the person is under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance, knowing or having reason to know that the substance has the capacity to cause impairment), is guilty of a crime.

### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. 169A.20, subd. 1b(4); Minn. Stat. § 84.91, subd. 1(c).

# OPERATING A SNOWMOBILE/ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE— ELEMENTS / Retitled!

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant ((operated) (was in physical control of)) ((a snowmobile) (an all-terrain vehicle)).

["To operate" means to perform any act that causes a vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a vehicle.]

[A person is in "physical control" of a vehicle when the person is present in a vehicle and is in a position to either direct the movement of the vehicle or keep the vehicle in restraint. It is not necessary for the engine to be running in order for a person to be in physical control of a vehicle.]

Second, at the time the defendant was ((operating) (in physical control of)) ((a snowmobile) (an all-terrain vehicle)), the defendant was under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance).

[An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>2</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and prepara-

tions, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>3</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_, regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>6</sup>

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to (operate) (be in physical control of) (a snowmobile) (an all-terrain vehicle) is impaired, then the person is under the influence of that substance.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

[Third, the defendant knew or had reason to know the intoxicating substance had the capacity to cause impairment.]

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

OTHER CRIMES

[Third] [Fourth], the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is quilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not quilty.

Insert appropriate elements from CRIMJIG 29.20 Aggravating Factors if applicable. Note that under State v. Davidson, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn, 1984), the defendant may stipulate to any prior convictions and remove that element from the jury's consideration.1

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. 169A.20, subd. 1b(4); Minn. Stat. § 84.91, subd. 1(c).

<sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd.

<sup>3</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>4</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn. Rules 6800,4210, .4220,

<sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>6</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01. subd. 8.

<sup>7</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>State v. Henderson, 907 N.W.2d 623 (Minn. 2018) (holding term "operating" in Minn. Stat. § 609.2113, subd. 1, refers to any act that causes a motor vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a motor vehicle, including the act of a passenger grabbing the steering wheel of a moving vehicle).

### OPERATING A SNOWMOBILE/ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever ((operates) (is in physical control of)) ((a snowmobile) (an all-terrain vehicle)) within this state or on the ice of any boundary water, when the person is under the influence of an intoxicating substance, knowing or having reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment, is guilty of a crime.

### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1b(3); Minn. Stat. § 84.91, subd. 1(3).

## OPERATING A SNOWMOBILE/ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE— ELEMENTS /Retitled!

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant ((operated) (was in physical control of)) ((a snowmobile) (an all-terrain vehicle)).

["To operate" means to perform any act that causes a vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a vehicle.]

[A person is in "physical control" of a vehicle when the person is present in a vehicle and is in a position to either direct the movement of the vehicle or keep the vehicle in restraint. It is not necessary for the engine to be running in order for a person to be in physical control of a vehicle.]

Second, at the time the defendant was ((operating) (in physical control of)) ((a snowmobile) (an all-terrain vehicle)), the defendant was under the influence of a an intoxicating substance.

An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>2</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and preparations, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or

prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>3</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_,<sup>4</sup> regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]<sup>5</sup>

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>6</sup>

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to (operate) (be in physical control of) (a snowmobile) (an all-terrain vehicle) is impaired, then the person is under the influence of that substance.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

Third, the defendant knew or had reason to know the intoxicating substance had the capacity to cause impairment.

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been

proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

[Insert appropriate elements from CRIMJIG 29.20 Aggravating Factors if applicable. Note that under *State v. Davidson*, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant may stipulate to any prior convictions and remove that element from the jury's consideration.]

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1b(3); Minn. Stat. § 84.91, subd. 1(3).

<sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd.

<sup>3</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>4</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn. Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

lles 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>6</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>7</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>State v. Henderson, 907 N.W.2d 623 (Minn. 2018) (holding term "operating" in Minn. Stat. § 609.2113, subd. 1, refers to any act that causes a motor vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a motor vehicle, including the act of a passenger grabbing the steering wheel of a moving vehicle).

### CRIM.IIG 29.96

## OPERATING A MOTORBOAT WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—DEFINED /Retitled/

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever (operates) (is in physical control of) a motorboat in operation on the water, when the person is under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance, knowing or having reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment,), is guilty of a crime.

### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1a(4); Minn. Stat. § 86B.331, subd. 1(c).

# OPERATING A MOTORBOAT WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE— ELEMENTS [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant (operated) (was in physical control of) a motorboat in operation on the water.

[A person "operates" a motorboat by navigating or otherwise using the motorboat.]

[A person is in "physical control" of a motorboat when the person is present in a motorboat and is in a position to either direct the operation of the motorboat or keep the motorboat in restraint.]

[A "motorboat" is a watercraft propelled in any manner by machinery, including a watercraft temporarily equipped with a detachable motor.] [A motorboat is not in operation if it is anchored, beached, or securely fastened to a dock or other permanent mooring.]

Second, at the time the defendant was (operating) (in physical control of) a motorboat, the defendant was under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance).

[An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>1</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and prepara-

tions, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>2</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_, regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>5</sup>

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to (operate) (be in physical control of) a motorboat is impaired, then the person is under the influence of that substance.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

[Third, the defendant knew or had reason to know the intoxicating substance had the capacity to cause impairment.]

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

[Third] [Fourth], the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is quilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not quilty.

Insert appropriate elements from CRIMJIG 29.20 Aggravating Factors if applicable. Note that under State v. Davidson, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant may stipulate to any prior convictions and remove that element from the jury's consideration.

### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1a(4); Minn. Stat. § 86B.331, subd. 1(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd. 11a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn.

Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

### OPERATING A MOTORBOAT WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever (operates) (is in physical control of) a motorboat in operation on the water, when the person is under the influence of an intoxicating substance, knowing or having reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment, is guilty of a crime.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat.  $\S$  169A.20, subd. 1a(3); Minn. Stat.  $\S$  86B.331, subd. 1(c).

### OPERATING A MOTORBOAT WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—ELEMENTS [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant (operated) (was in physical control of) a motorboat in operation on the water.

[A person "operates" a motorboat by navigating or otherwise using the motorboat.]

[A person is in "physical control" of a motorboat when the person is present in a motorboat and is in a position to either direct the operation of the motorboat or keep the motorboat in restraint.]

[A "motorboat" is a watercraft propelled in any manner by machinery, including a watercraft temporarily equipped with a detachable motor.]

[A motorboat is not in operation if it is anchored, beached, or securely fastened to a dock or other permanent mooring.]

Second, at the time the defendant was (operating) (in physical control of) a motorboat, the defendant was under the influence of an intoxicating substance.

An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>1</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and prepara-

tions, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>2</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_, regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>5</sup>

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to (operate) (be in physical control of) a motorboat is impaired, then the person is under the influence of that substance.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

Third, the defendant knew or had reason to know the intoxicating substance had the capacity to cause impairment.

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

[Insert appropriate elements from CRIMJIG 29.20 Aggravating Factors if applicable. Note that under *State v. Davidson*, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant may stipulate to any prior convictions and remove that element from the jury's consideration.]

### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1a(3); Minn. Stat. § 86B.331, subd. 1(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn.

Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

#### CRIMJIG 29,110

OPERATING AN OFF-HIGHWAY MOTORCYCLE/ OFF-ROAD VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE—DEFINED /Retitled/

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever ((operates) (is in physical control of)) ((an off-highway motorcycle) (off-road vehicle)) within this state or on the ice of any boundary water, when the person is under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance, knowing or having reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment), is guilty of a crime.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1c(4).

#### OPERATING AN OFF-HIGHWAY MOTORCYCLE/ OFF-ROAD VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A COMBINATION OF ALCOHOL AND/OR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND/OR AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE— ELEMENTS [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant ((operated) (was in physical control of)) ((an off-highway motorcycle) (off-road vehicle)).

["Off-highway motorcycle" means a motorized, offhighway vehicle traveling on two wheels and having a seat or saddle designed to be straddled by the operator and handlebars for steering control, including a vehicle that is registered under chapter 168 for highway use if it is also used for off-highway operation on trails or unimproved terrain.]1 ["Off-road vehicle" means a motor-driven recreational vehicle capable of cross-country travel on natural terrain without benefit of a road or trail. Off-road vehicle does not include a snowmobile; an all-terrain vehicle; a motorcycle; a watercraft; a farm vehicle being used for farming; a vehicle used for military, fire, emergency, or law enforcement purposes; a construction or logging vehicle used in the performance of its common function; a motor vehicle owned by or operated under contract with a utility, whether publicly or privately owned, when used for work on utilities; a commercial vehicle being used for its intended purpose; snow-grooming equipment when used for its intended purpose; or an aircraft.12

["To operate" means to perform any act that causes a vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a vehicle.]

[A person is in "physical control" of a vehicle when the person is present in a vehicle and is in a position to either direct the movement of the vehicle or keep the vehicle in restraint. It is not necessary for the engine to be running in order for a person to be in physical control of a vehicle.]

Second, at the time the defendant was [(operating) (in physical control of)] [(an off-highway motorcycle) (off-road vehicle)], the defendant was under the influence of a combination of (alcohol) (and) (a controlled substance) (and) (an intoxicating substance).

[An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>4</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and preparations, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>5</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>8</sup>

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to (operate) (be in physical control of) (an offhighway motorcycle) (off-road vehicle) is impaired, then the person is under the influence of that substance.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence "9

IThird, the defendant knew or had reason to know the intoxicating substance had the capacity to impairment.1

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

[Third] [Fourth], the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is quilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not quilty.

[Insert appropriate elements from CRIMJIG 29.20 Aggravating Factors if applicable. Note that under State v. Davidson, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant may stipulate to any prior convictions and remove that element from the jury's consideration.]

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1c(4).

623 (Minn. 2018) (holding term "oper-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Minn. Stat. § 84.787, subd. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 84.797, subd. 7.

ating" in Minn. Stat. § 609,2113, subd. <sup>3</sup>State v. Henderson, 907 N.W.2d 1, refers to any act that causes a mo-

tor vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a motor vehicle, including the act of a passenger grabbing the steering wheel of a moving vehicle).

<sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd.

11a.

<sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>6</sup>Insert name of one or more sub-

stance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn. Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>7</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>8</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>9</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929)

#### OPERATING AN OFF-HIGHWAY MOTORCYCLE/ OFF-ROAD VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE— DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever [(operates) (is in physical control of)] [(an off-highway motorcycle) (off-road vehicle)] within this state or on the ice of any boundary water, when the person is under the influence of an intoxicating substance knowing or having reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment is guilty of a crime.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1c(3).

#### OPERATING AN OFF-HIGHWAY MOTORCYCLE/ OFF-ROAD VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF AN INTOXICATING SUBSTANCE— ELEMENTS [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, Text, Footnote, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant ((drove) (operated) (was in physical control of)) ((an off-highway motorcycle) (off-road vehicle)).

["Off-highway motorcycle" means a motorized, off-highway vehicle traveling on two wheels and having a seat or saddle designed to be straddled by the operator and handlebars for steering control, including a vehicle that is registered under chapter 168 for highway use if it is also used for off-highway operation on trails or unimproved terrain.]<sup>1</sup>

["Off-road vehicle" means a motor-driven recreational vehicle capable of cross-country travel on natural terrain without benefit of a road or trail. Off-road vehicle does not include a snowmobile; an all-terrain vehicle; a motorcycle; a watercraft; a farm vehicle being used for farming; a vehicle used for military, fire, emergency, or law enforcement purposes; a construction or logging vehicle used in the performance of its common function; a motor vehicle owned by or operated under contract with a utility, whether publicly or privately owned, when used for work on utilities; a commercial vehicle being used for its intended purpose; snow-grooming equipment when used for its intended purpose; or an aircraft.]<sup>2</sup>

["To operate" means to perform any act that causes a vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a vehicle.]

[A person is in "physical control" of a vehicle when

the person is present in a vehicle and is in a position to either direct the movement of the vehicle or keep the vehicle in restraint. It is not necessary for the engine to be running in order for a person to be in physical control of a vehicle.]

Second, at the time the defendant was ((driving) (operating) (in physical control of)) ((an off-highway motorcycle) (off-road vehicle)), the defendant was under the influence of an intoxicating substance.

An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances. [\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and preparations, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>5</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.]

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity to (drive) (operate) (be in physical control of) (an off-highway motorcycle) (off-road vehicle) is impaired, then the person is under the influence of that substance.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

Third, the defendant knew or had reason to know the intoxicating substance had the capacity to cause impairment.

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

[Insert appropriate elements from CRIMJIG 29.20 Aggravating Factors if applicable. Note that under *State v. Davidson*, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant may stipulate to any prior convictions and remove that element from the jury's consideration.]

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169A.20, subd. 1c(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Minn. Stat. § 84.787, subd. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 84.797, subd. 7. <sup>3</sup>State v. Henderson, 907 N.W.2d

<sup>623 (</sup>Minn. 2018) (holding term "operating" in Minn. Stat. § 609.2113, subd. 1, refers to any act that causes a mo-

tor vehicle to function or controls the functioning of a motor vehicle, including the act of a passenger grabbing the steering wheel of a moving vehicle).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd. 11a.

<sup>5</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>6</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn. Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>7</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5. <sup>8</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8. <sup>9</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

## OVERTAKING AND PASSING A VEHICLE STOPPED AT A CROSSWALK TO PERMIT A PEDESTRIAN TO CROSS A ROADWAY—DEFINED [New]

Under Minnesota law, when any vehicle is stopped at a marked crosswalk or at an intersection with no marked crosswalk to permit a pedestrian to cross the roadway, a driver of any other vehicle approaching from the rear that overtakes and passes the stopped vehicle is guilty of a

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169.21, subd. 2(b).

#### OVERTAKING AND PASSING A VEHICLE STOPPED AT A CROSSWALK TO PERMIT A PEDESTRIAN TO CROSS A ROADWAY—ELEMENTS [New]

The elements of overtaking and passing a vehicle stopped at a crosswalk to permit a pedestrian to cross a roadway are:

First, a vehicle was stopped at a marked crosswalk or at an intersection with no marked crosswalk to permit a pedestrian to cross the roadway. "Vehicle" means a device in, upon, or by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a highway, excepting devices used exclusively upon stationary rails or tracks.

Second, the defendant was the driver of another vehicle approaching from the rear.

Third, the vehicle driven by the defendant overtook and passed the stopped vehicle.

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 169.21, subd. 2(b).

#### CHAPTER 32

#### **WEAPONS CRIMES**

#### **CRIMJIG 32.02**

#### USE/POSSESSION OF DANGEROUS WEAPON— ELEMENTS

n. 2.

Replace Footnote two with the following text:

See Minn. Stat. § 123B.41, subd. 9 school." for the definition of a "nonpublic

#### INTENTIONAL DISCHARGE OF A FIREARM— ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text, Footnote, and Comment:

The elements of intentional discharge of a firearm are:

First, the defendant discharged a firearm.

Second, the defendant acted intentionally in discharging the firearm.

Third, the discharge of the firearm was under circumstances that endangered the safety of (\_\_\_\_\_) (another person).

Fourth, th	e defendant's	act took	place	on (or	about)
in	County.				

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

Remove Footnote 1.

#### COMMENT

Replace current Comments with the following:

Minn. Stat. § 609.66, subd. 1a(a)(2).

### RECKLESS DISCHARGE OF A FIREARM WITHIN A MUNICIPALITY—ELEMENTS

n. 1.

Replace Footnote one with the following text:

See Minn. Stat. § 123B.41, subd. 9 school."
for the definition of a "nonpublic

## INELIGIBLE PERSON IN POSSESSION OF (A FIREARM) (AMMUNITION)—DEFINED [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, text, and Comments:

Under Minnesota law, (an ineligible person) (whoever has been (convicted of) (adjudicated delinquent for) (convicted as an extended juvenile jurisdiction juvenile of) a crime of violence and) who ships, transports, possesses, or receives a firearm is guilty of a crime.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.165, subd. 1b.

All reference to crimes of violence should be removed when the defendant stipulates to ineligibility.

### INELIGIBLE PERSON IN POSSESSION OF (A FIREARM) (AMMUNITION)—ELEMENTS' [Retitled]

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, text, Footnotes and Comments:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant knowingly (shipped) (transported) (possessed) (received) (a firearm) (ammunition).

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

["Firearm" means a device designed to be used as a weapon, from which is expelled a projectile by the force of any explosion or force of combustion.]<sup>2</sup>

["Ammunition" means ammunition or cartridge cases, primers, bullets, or propellant powder designed for use in any firearm. Ammunition does not include ornaments, curiosities, or souvenirs constructed from or resembling ammunition or ammunition components that are not operable as ammunition.]<sup>3</sup>

[A person possesses (a firearm) (ammunition) if it is on (his)(her) person. A person also possesses (a firearm) (ammunition) if it was in a place under (his)(her) exclusive control to which other people did not normally have access, or, if found in a place to which others had access, (he)(she) knowingly exercised dominion and control over it.]<sup>4</sup>

[1] Second, the defendant is ineligible to possess (a firearm) (ammunition).

The parties have agreed, and therefore you must accept, that the defendant was, on all relevant dates, ineligible to possess (a firearm) (ammunition).<sup>5</sup>

[2] Second, the defendant had been (convicted of) (adjudi-

cated delinguent for) (convicted as an extended juvenile iurisdiction juvenile of) a crime of violence. You are instructed that \_\_\_\_\_ is a crime of violence.6

Third, the defendant's act took place on (or about) in \_\_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is quilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not quilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 609.165, subd. 1b.

All reference to crimes of violence should be removed when the defendant stipulates to ineligibility.

<sup>1</sup>This instruction is to be used for charges under Minn. Stat. § 609.165, and not for charges under Minn. Stat.

<sup>2</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.666, subd. 1(a); State v. Haywood, 886 N.W.2d 485, 490 (Minn. 2016).

<sup>3</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 17. <sup>4</sup>State v. Florine, 226 N.W.2d 609, 611 (Minn. 1975).

N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant is entitled to stipulate to the existence of the prior conviction. Such a stipulation constitutes a waiver of jury trial on this element, and the defendant's waiver must be made personally and on the record. Under such circum-stances, this option for the second element should be used.

6"Crimes of violence" are listed <sup>5</sup>Under State v. Davidson, 351 in Minn. Stat. § 624.712, subd. 5.

A) second the benefit to adjust to passes (A

# POSSESSION OF (FIREARM) (PISTOL) (SEMI-AUTOMATIC MILITARY-STYLE ASSAULT WEAPON) (AMMUNITION) BY AN INELIGIBLE PERSON—DEFINED¹ [Retitled]

<sup>1</sup>This instruction is to be used for and not for charges under Minn. Stat. charges under Minn. Stat. § 624.713, § 609.165.

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, text, Footnote and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, (an ineligible person who) (whoever)

[1] is under the age of 18 years who

- [a] is not in the actual presence or under the direct supervision of the parent or guardian,
- [b] is not acting for the purpose of military drill under the auspices of a legally recognized military organization and under competent supervision,
  - [c] is not acting for the purpose of instruction, competition, or target practice, on a firing range approved by the chief of police or county sheriff and under direct supervision, and
  - [d] has not successfully completed a course designed to teach marksmanship and pistol safety approved by the Commissioner of Natural Resources
- [2] has been convicted or adjudicated delinquent or convicted as an extended jurisdiction juvenile in this state or elsewhere for committing a crime of violence
- [3] is or has ever been confined in Minnesota or elsewhere to a treatment facility as mentally ill, mentally retarded, or mentally ill and dangerous to the public, or has ever been found incompetent to stand trial or not guilty by reason of mental illness, unless the person possesses a certificate

of a medical doctor or psychiatrist licensed in Minnesota or other satisfactory proof that the person is no longer suffering from this disability

- [4] has been convicted in Minnesota or elsewhere of a misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor controlled substance crime, unless three years have elapsed since the date of conviction and, during that time, the person has not been convicted of any other violation of a controlled substance law in this state or a similar law in another state
- [5] has ever been committed by a judicial determination for treatment for the habitual use of a controlled substance or marijuana
- [6] has been confined or committed to a treatment facility in Minnesota or elsewhere as chemically dependent, unless that person has completed treatment or obtained a judicial restoration of the ability to possess a firearm and ammunition
- [7] is a peace officer who has been informally admitted to a treatment facility for chemical dependency, unless the officer possesses a certificate from the head of the treatment facility discharging or provisionally discharging the officer from the treatment facility
- [8] has been charged in adult or juvenile court with committing a crime of violence, has been placed in a pretrial diversion program by the court before disposition, and has not completed the diversion program, and the charge of committing the violence has not been dismissed
- [9] has been convicted of assault in the fifth degree in Minnesota or convicted in another state of committing an offense similar to assault in the fifth degree, against a family or household member, unless three years have elapsed since the date of conviction and during that time has not been convicted of any other violation of assault in the fifth degree
- [10] has been convicted in Minnesota or elsewhere of as-

saulting a family or household member, was found by the court to have used a firearm in any way in the commission of the assault, and is prohibited by court order from possessing a firearm

- [11] has been convicted in any court of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year
- [12] is a fugitive from justice as a result of having fled from any state to avoid prosecution for a crime or to avoid giving testimony in any criminal proceeding
- [13] is an unlawful user of controlled substances
- [14] has been judicially committed to a treatment facility in Minnesota or elsewhere as "mentally ill," "mentally retarded," or "mentally ill and dangerous,"
- [15] is an alien who is illegally or unlawfully in the United States
- [16] has been discharged from the armed forces of the United States under dishonorable conditions
- [17] has renounced the person's citizenship, having been a citizen of the United States
- [18] has been convicted, in this state or any other state, of (\_\_\_\_\_\_), a gross misdemeanor, unless three years have passed since the date of conviction and, during that time, the defendant has not been convicted of any other listed crimes
- [19] who has been convicted of a violation of assault in the fifth degree against a family or household member, unless three years have elapsed since the date of conviction and, during that time, the person has not been convicted of another listed violation
- [20] is subject to an order for protection [and] knowingly possesses a (firearm) (pistol) (semi-automatic military-

#### style assault weapon) (ammunition) is guilty of a crime.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 624.713, subd. 2.

All reference to the reasons for ineligibility should be removed when the defendant stipulates to being an ineligible person.

# POSSESSION OF (FIREARM) (PISTOL) (SEMI-AUTOMATIC MILITARY-STYLE ASSAULT WEAPON) (AMMUNITION) BY AN INELIGIBLE PERSON—ELEMENTS¹ [Retitled]

<sup>1</sup>This instruction is to be used for and not for charges under Minn. Stat. \$ 624.713, \$ 609.165.

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following new Title, text, Footnotes and Comments:

#### The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed a (firearm) (pistol) (semi-automatic military-style assault weapon) (ammunition).

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

A person possesses a firearm if it is on (his)(her) person. A person also possesses a firearm if it was in a place under (his)(her) exclusive control to which other people did not normally have access, or, if found in a place to which others had access, (he)(she) knowingly exercised dominion and control over it.<sup>2</sup>

["Firearm" means a device designed to be used as a weapon, from which is expelled a projectile by the force of any explosion or force of combustion.]<sup>3</sup>

[A "pistol" is a weapon designed to be fired by the use of a single hand with an overall length of less than 26 inches, or having a barrel or barrels of a length less than 18 inches in the case of a shotgun, or having a barrel of a length less than 16 inches in the case of a rifle, (1) from which may be fired or ejected one or more solid projectiles by means of a cartridge or shell or by the action of an explosive or the igniting of a flammable or explosive substance, or (2) for which

the propelling force is a spring, elastic band, carbon dioxide, air or other gas, or vapor.

"Pistol" does not include a device firing or ejecting a shot measuring 0.18 inches or less in diameter and commonly known as a "BB gun," a scuba gun, a stud gun, or a nail gun used in the construction industry, and does not include children's pop guns or toys.]<sup>4</sup>

["Semi-automatic	military-style	assault	weapon"
means	] <sup>5</sup>		

["Ammunition" means ammunition or cartridge cases, primers, bullets, or propellant powder designed for use in any firearm. Ammunition does not include ornaments, curiosities, or souvenirs constructed from or resembling ammunition or ammunition components that are not operable as ammunition.]

[1] Second, the defendant is ineligible to possess a (firearm) (pistol) (semi-automatic military-style assault weapon) (ammunition).

The parties have agreed, and therefore you must accept, that the defendant was, on all relevant dates, ineligible to possess a (firearm) (pistol) (semi-automatic military-style assault weapon) (ammunition).<sup>7</sup>

- [2] Second, the defendant
- [a] was under the age of 18 years<sup>8</sup> and
  - [i] was not in the actual presence or under the direct supervision of the parent or guardian,
  - [ii] was not acting for the purpose of military drill under the auspices of a legally recognized military organization and under competent supervision,
  - [iii] was not acting for the purpose of instruction, competition, or target practice, on a firing range approved

by the chief of police or county sheriff and under direct supervision, and

[iv] had not successfully completed a course designed to teach marksmanship and pistol safety approved by the Commissioner of Natural Resources.

[b] has been convicted or adjudicated delinquent or convicted as an extended jurisdiction juvenile in this state or elsewhere for committing a crime of violence. You are instructed that \_\_\_\_\_\_ is a crime of violence.

[c] was or had been confined or committed in Minnesota or elsewhere in a treatment facility as mentally ill, mentally retarded, or mentally ill and dangerous to the public, has been found incompetent to stand trial or not guilty by reason of mental illness, and did not possess a certificate of a medical doctor or psychiatrist licensed in Minnesota or other satisfactory proof that the person was no longer suffering from this disability. [It is a defense to this charge that the defendant's ability to possess firearms has been restored by a judicial proceeding.]

[d] had been convicted in Minnesota or elsewhere of a misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor controlled substances crime, unless three years have elapsed since the date of conviction and, during that time, the defendant has not been convicted of any other such violation of Minnesota controlled substance law or a similar law of another state.

[e] had been committed by a judicial determination for treatment for the habitual use of a controlled substance or marijuana.<sup>10</sup> [It is a defense to this charge that the defendant's ability to possess firearms has been restored by judicial proceedings.]

[f] had been confined or committed to a treatment facility in Minnesota or elsewhere as chemically dependent, had not completed treatment, and had not obtained a judicial restoration of the ability to possess a firearm and ammunition.

- [g] was a peace officer who had been informally admitted to a treatment facility for chemical dependency, and the officer did not possess a certificate from the head of the treatment facility discharging or provisionally discharging the officer from the treatment facility.
- [h] had been charged in adult or juvenile court with committing a crime of violence, was placed in a pretrial diversion program by the court before disposition, and had not completed the diversion program, and the charge of committing the violence had not been dismissed.
- [i] had been convicted of assault in the fifth degree in Minnesota or convicted in another state of committing an offense similar to assault in the fifth degree, against a family or household member, unless three years had elapsed since the date of conviction, and during that time the defendant had not been convicted of any other violation of assault in the fifth degree.
- [j] had been convicted in Minnesota or elsewhere of assaulting a family or household member, was found by the court to have used a firearm in any way in the commission of the assault, and was prohibited by court order from possessing a firearm.
- [k] had been convicted in any court of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. [Any conviction which has been expunged, or set aside, or for which a person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored shall not be considered a conviction for purposes of this definition, unless such pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or receive firearms.]<sup>11</sup>
- [I] was a fugitive from justice as a result of having fled from any state to avoid prosecution for a crime or to avoid giving testimony in any criminal proceeding.
- [m] was an unlawful user of controlled substances.

[n] had been judicially committed to a treatment facility in Minnesota or elsewhere as "mentally ill," "mentally retarded," or "mentally ill and dangerous."

[o] was an alien who was illegally or unlawfully in the United States.

- [p] had been discharged from the armed forces of the United States under dishonorable conditions.
- [q] had renounced the person's citizenship, having formerly been a citizen of the United States.
- [r] has been convicted, in this state or any other state, of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_,<sup>12</sup> a gross misdemeanor, unless three years have passed since the date of conviction and, during that time, the defendant has not been convicted of any other listed crimes.
- [s] has been convicted of a violation of section 609.244 (assault in the fifth degree) if the court determined that the assault was against a family or household member, unless three years have elapsed since the date of conviction and, during that time, the person has not been convicted of another violation of section 609.224 (fifth degree assault) or a violation of section 609.229 (crime committed for the benefit of a gang); section 609.2231, subd. 4 (assault motivated by bias); section 609.255 (false imprisonment); section 609.378 (neglect or endangerment of a child); section 609.582, subd. 4 (fourth-degree burglary); section 609.665 (setting a spring trap); section 609.71 (riot); or section 609.749 (stalking).

[t] was subject to an order for protection.13

Third, the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a rea-

#### sonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 624.713, subd. 2.

All reference to the reasons for ineligibility should be removed when the defendant stipulates to being an ineligible person.

"Other than the fact of a prior conviction, any fact that increases the penalty for a crime beyond the prescribed statutory maximum must be submitted to a jury, and proved beyond a reasonable doubt." Blakely v. Washington, 542 U.S. 296, 301, 124 (2004). The fact of prior conviction may include judicial assessment of aspects of the conviction that are based upon facts admitted or found by a jury in that case, such as whether the prior conviction is a felony, State v. Outlaw, 748 N.W.2d 349 (Minn. Ct. App. 2008), a heinous crime, State v. Leake, 699 N.W.2d 312 (Minn. 2005), or a crime of violence, State v. Wiskow, 774 N.W.2d 612 (Minn. Ct. App. 2009), but a "qualitative assessment of behavior" or a "comparison and weighing of bad conduct" regarding the current offense must be submitted to the jury. State v. Her, 862 N.W.2d 692, 699 (Minn. 2015) (judicial determination of sex offender risk-level assessment improper).

<sup>2</sup>State v. Florine, 226 N.W.2d 609, 611 (Minn. 1975).

<sup>3</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.666, subd. 1(a); *State v. Haywood*, 886 N.W.2d 485, 490 (Minn. 2016).

<sup>4</sup>Minn. Stat. § 624.712, subd. 2.

<sup>5</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 624.712, subd. 7, for the complete definition of "semi-automatic military-style assault weapon."

<sup>6</sup>Minn. Stat. § 609.02, subd. 17.

<sup>7</sup>Under State v. Davidson, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984), the defendant is entitled to stipulate to the existence of the prior conviction. Such a stipulation constitutes a waiver of jury trial on this element, and defendant's waiver must be made personally and on the record. Under such circumstances, this option for the second element should be used.

<sup>8</sup>Note the prohibition concerning a person under the age of 18 applies only to pistols and semi-automatic military-style assault weapons, but allows possession of other firearms and ammunition.

9"Crimes of violence" are listed in Minn. Stat. § 624.712, subd. 5.

<sup>10</sup>As defined in Minn. Stat. § 152.

<sup>11</sup>Minn. Stat. § 624.712, subd. 10.
<sup>12</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 624.713, subd. 1(11), for a list of the applicable gross misdemeanor crimes.

<sup>13</sup>Either under Minn. Stat. § 260C. 201, subd. 3(d), or Minn. Stat. § 518B. 01, subd. 6(g).

#### SALE/POSSESSION OF A FIREARM SILENCER— DEFINED

Replace the current jury instruction with the following language:

Under Minnesota law, whoever (sells) (possesses) a suppressor that is not lawfully possessed under federal law is guilty of a crime.

#### SALE/POSSESSION OF A FIREARM SILENCER— ELEMENTS

Replace the current jury instruction with the following text, Footnote, and Comments:

The elements of (sale) (possession) of a firearm silencer are:

First, the defendant (sold) (possessed) a suppressor that was not lawfully possessed under federal law. "Suppressor" means any device for silencing, muffling, or diminishing the report of a portable firearm, including any combination of parts, designed or redesigned, and intended for use in assembling or fabricating a firearm silencer, or firearm muffler, and any part intended only for use in such assembly or fabrication.

Second, the defendant intentionally (possessed) (sold) the suppressor.

[Insert CRIMJIG 32.42 if possession is constructive.]

[Third, the defendant (sold) (possessed) the device in a (school) (park) (public housing) zone? [A "school zone" is any property owned, leased, or controlled by a school district or an organization operating a nonpublic school<sup>1</sup> where an elementary, middle, or secondary school, secondary vocational center, or other school providing educational services for grades one through twelve is located or used for educational purposes, or where extracurricular or co-curricular activities are regularly provided.] [A "park zone" is an area designated as a public park by the federal government, the state, a local unit of government, a park district board, or a park and recreation board in a city of the first class. Park zone includes the area within (300 feet) (or) (one city block,) (whichever distance is greater,) of the park boundary.] [A "public housing zone" is a public housing project or development administered by a local housing agency, plus the area within (300 feet of the property's boundary,) (or) (one city block,) (whichever distance is greater).]

[Third] [Fourth], the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

In cities where no grid system is present, the term "one city block" does not apply and the transaction must take place within 300 feet of the park for the statute to apply. *See State v. Estrella*, 700 N.W.2d 496 (Minn. App. 2005).

When land surrounding a public park is divided into rectangular blocks bounded by city streets, the area "within one city block . . . of the park boundary" include the entire area of block adjacent to the park. *State v. Carufel*, 783 N.W.2d 539 (Minn. 2010) (a controlled substance case). This holding would presumably also apply to a school zone or public housing zone.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 123B.41, subd. [ school." 9 for the definition of a "nonpublic

#### POSSESSION OF A PISTOL WITHOUT A PERMIT— DEFINED

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever, other than a licensed peace officer, carries, knowingly holds, or possesses a pistol (in a motor vehicle) (in a snowmobile) (in a boat) (on or about the person's clothes or the person) (in a public place or public area), without having first obtained a permit to carry the pistol, is guilty of a crime.

COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 624.714, subd. 1.

#### POSSESSION OF A PISTOL WITHOUT A PERMIT— ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following text, Footnotes, and Comments:

The elements of carrying a pistol without a permit are:

First, the defendant was not a licensed peace officer.

Second, the defendant knowingly carried, held, or possessed a pistol (in a motor vehicle) (in a snowmobile) (in a boat) (on or about the person's clothing or the person) (in a public place or public area).

Third, the defendant did not possess a permit issued or recognized by Minnesota to carry the pistol. [Minnesota recognizes permits issued by \_\_\_\_\_\_.]<sup>2</sup> The statutes of Minnesota provide that a permit to carry a pistol is not required of a person who \_\_\_\_\_.<sup>3</sup>

Fourth, the defendant's act took place on (or about)
\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County, Minnesota.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 624.714, subd. 1.

For purposes of Minn. Stat. § 624.714, subd. 9(a) (1998), which established an exception to the requirement that an individual must have a permit to possess a pistol, a person's "place of business" does not include a vehicle used by a courier company's employee. *State v. Palmer*, 636 N.W.2d 810 (Minn. App. 2001).

Conviction of a second or subsequent violation constitutes a felony. In appropriate cases a special interrogatory may be necessary for the

jury as to the existence of the prior conviction. If the defendant so elects, the defendant may judicially admit the prior conviction to prevent that information from being presented to the jury. *State v. Davidson*, 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984).

<sup>1</sup>In State v. Ndikum, 815 N.W.2d 816 (Minn. 2012), the Supreme Court held that knowledge of possession is an element of the crime of possession of a pistol in a public place in violation of Minn. Stat. § 624.714, subd. 1a. In reliance on Ndikum, the Committee added the knowledge element as the legislature's silence on the issue does not suggest that it intended to do away with the mens rea requirement.

<sup>2</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 624.714, subd.

16 (providing for recognition of permits from other states).

<sup>3</sup>Exceptions to the requirement to carry a permit are found at Minn. Stat. § 624.714, subd. 9 and subd. 23. In those cases in which the defendant raises a defense under those provisions, it is the burden of the prosecution to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that those provisions do not apply.

CARRYING A PISTOL IN A PUBLIC PLACE WHILE
(UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A CONTROLLED
SUBSTANCE) (UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF
ALCOHOL)(HAS A BLOOD ALCOHOL
CONCENTRATION OF 0.10 OR MORE)(HAS A
BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION OF LESS
THAN 0.10 BUT MORE THAN 0.04)—DEFINED

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following Text and Comment:

Under Minnesota law, whoever knowingly carries a pistol on or about the person's clothes or person in a public place

- [1] while under the influence of a controlled substance
- [2] while under the influence of a combination of controlled substances or a combination of a controlled substance and alcohol
- [3] while under the influence of an intoxicating substance, knowing or having reason to know the substance has the capacity to cause impairment,
  - [4] while under the influence of alcohol
- [5] while having a blood alcohol concentration of 0.10 or more
- [6] while having a blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.10 but more than 0.04 is guilty of a crime.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 624.7142, subd. 1(1)-(6).

CARRYING A PISTOL IN A PUBLIC PLACE WHILE (UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE) (UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL) (HAS A BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION OF 0.10 OR MORE) (HAS A BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION OF LESS THAN 0.10 BUT MORE THAN 0.04)—ELEMENTS

Replace current Jury Instruction with the following Text, Footnotes, and Comment:

The elements of this crime are:

First, the defendant knowingly carried a pistol on or about (his) (her) clothes or person.<sup>1</sup>

A pistol is carried "on or about" one's clothes or person if there is a physical nexus between the person and the pistol or if the pistol is carried within arm's reach of the person.<sup>2</sup>

Second, at the time of carrying pistol on or about (his) (her) clothes or person, the defendant was in a public place.

Third, at the time, the defendant (was) (had)

[1] under the influence of a controlled substance.<sup>3</sup> is a controlled substance. As part of this element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the substance in question was, in fact, \_\_\_\_\_.

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity is impaired, then this element has been proven.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by

the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

[2] under the influence of a combination of controlled substances or a combination of a controlled substance and alcohol. \_\_\_\_\_ is a controlled substance. As part of this element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the substance in question was, in fact, \_\_\_\_\_.

If a person ingests (a combination of controlled substances) (a combination of a controlled substance and alcohol) and is not influenced by it, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the (combination of controlled substances) (combination of a controlled substance and alcohol), the person's ability or capacity is impaired, then this element has been proven.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of (a combination of controlled substances) (a combination of a controlled substance and alcohol) a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the (combination of controlled substances) (combination of a controlled substance and alcohol) that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

[3] under the influence of an intoxicating substance.

An "intoxicating substance" means a drug or chemical that, when introduced into the human body, impairs the central nervous system or the human audio, visual, or mental processes. The term does not include alcohol or controlled substances.<sup>7</sup> [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not a controlled substance.]

["Drug" means all medicinal substances and preparations, biological products, other than blood or blood components, all substances and preparations intended for

use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or other animals; and all substances and preparations, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the bodies of humans or other animals.]<sup>8</sup>

[The term "drug" also means any compound, substance, or derivative that, when introduced into the body, induces an effect similar to that of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, regardless of whether the substance is marketed for the purpose of human consumption.] 10

["Chemical" means all medicinal or industrial substances, whether simple or compound, or obtained through the process of the science and art of chemistry, whether of organic or inorganic origin.]<sup>11</sup>

If a person ingests a substance and is not influenced by the substance, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the substance, the person's ability or capacity is impaired, then this element has been proven.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of a substance a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the substance that the person does not possess that clearness of intellect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence."

[4] under the influence of alcohol.

If a person ingests alcohol and is not influenced by it, this element has not been proven. If, as a result of ingesting the alcohol, the person's ability or capacity is impaired, then this element has been proven.

There is no set standard as to the quantity of alcohol a person must ingest before being regarded as "under the influence." When a person is so affected by the alcohol that the person does not possess that clearness of intel-

lect and control of (himself) (herself) as (he) (she) otherwise would have, that person is "under the influence." 13

[5] a blood alcohol concentration of 0.10 or more.

[6] a blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.10 but more than 0.04

[Fourth, the defendant knew or had reason to know the intoxicating substance had the capacity to cause impairment.]

"To know" requires only that the actor believes that the specified fact exists.

[Fourth] [Fifth], the defendant's act took place on (or about) \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_ County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

#### COMMENT

Minn. Stat. § 624.7142, subd. 1(1)–(6).

<sup>1</sup>In State v. Ndikum, 815 N.W.2d 816 (Minn. 2012), the Supreme Court held that knowledge of possession is an element of the crime of possession of a pistol in a public place in violation of Minn. Stat. § 624.714, subd. 1a.

<sup>2</sup>State v. Prigge, 907 N.W.2d 635

(Minn. 2018).

<sup>3</sup>See Minn. Stat. § 152.01, subd. 4, for the definition of "controlled substance."

<sup>4</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

 $^5See$  Minn. Stat.  $\S$  152.01, subd. 4, for the definition of "controlled substance."

<sup>6</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d

53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

<sup>7</sup>Minn. Stat. § 169A.03, subd. 11a.

<sup>8</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>9</sup>Insert name of one or more substance(s) listed in Schedules I or II. See Minn. Stat. § 152.02, subds. 2, 3; Minn. Rules 6800.4210, .4220.

<sup>10</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 5.

<sup>11</sup>Minn. Stat. § 151.01, subd. 8.

<sup>12</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d
 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222
 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

<sup>13</sup>See State v. Stark, 363 N.W.2d
 53 (Minn. 1985); State v. Graham, 222
 N.W. 909 (Minn. 1929).

# Table of Laws and Rules

## UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED

U.S.C.A. Sec.	This work	25 U.S.C.A. Sec.	This work
	Instr. No.		Instr. No.
802	16.105	450b(e)	7.19

## MINNESOTA STATUTES

Sec.	This work	Sec.	This work
	TIIDUI: 110:		Instr. No.
(2)(7)	11.76	152.023(2)(4)	20.22
(3)(7)	11.76	152.023(2)(6)	20.22
84.91(1)(3)	29.90, 29.91	152.023(2)(a)(1)	20.19, 20.20
84.787(7)		$152.023(2)(a)(3) \dots$	$\dots$ 20.19, 20.20
84.797(7)		152.023(2)(b)	$\dots$ 20.02, 20.20
86B.331(1)(c) 29.96, 2	29.97, 29.102.	152.0262	12 04 20 64
	20.102	157.01	16.75
97A.015(47)	28.12	169.01(61)	11.62. 11.68
97B.065(1)	28.11, 28.12	169.09(1 and 6)	11.64
123.932(3) 123B.41(9). 12.74, 20.02,	20.08, 20.14	169.011(71)	$\dots$ 20.12, 20.22
20.27, 20.28, 32.02		169.13(1)(a)	29.25
151.01(5) 11.62, 11.66,		169.13(3) 169.21(2)	29.25
11.72, 11.74, 28.12,		169.21(2)(a)	
29.64, 29.71, 29.85,		169.21(2)(b)	. 29.124, 29.125
29.103, 29.111,		169A.03(3)	29.20
151.01(8) 11.62, 11.66,	11.68, 11.70,	169A.03(11a) 11	.62, 11.66, 11.68,
11.72, 11.74, 28.12,	29.08, 29.14,	11.70, 11.72, 11	.74, 28.12, 29.08,
29.64, 29.71, 29.85,	29.91, 29.97,		.71, 29.85, 29.91,
29.103, 29.111, 152.01		29.97, 29.10	3, 29.111, 29.117, 32.46
152.01(4)		169A.03(15)	29 08 29 14
$152.01(24)(1) \dots 20.01,$	20.02, 20.03,	169A.03(20)	
20.04, 20.07, 20.08	, 20.13, 20.14	169A.03(21)	
152.02 11.40, 11.62,		169A.03(22)	29.20
11.70, 11.72, 11.74,		169A.20(1)(3)	$\dots$ 29.13, 29.14
29.14, 29.64, 29.71,		169A.20(1)(4)	29.07, 29.08
29.97, 29.103, 2		169A.20(1a)(3)	29.102, 29.103
152.04	32.46	169A.20(1a)(4)	29.96, 29.97
152.021(2)(4)	20.04	169A.20(1b)(4)	
152.021(2)(b) 20.02	20.04. 20.22	169A.20(1c)(3)	
152.021(3)(c)	20.02	169A.20(1c)(4)	29.110, 29.111
152.021(3)(c)	20.07, 20.08	169A.20(2)	29.21, 29.22
152.022(1)(7)	20.12	169A.51	
152.022(2)(a)(4)	20.13, 20.14	169A.52	
152.022(2)(b)	20.02, 20.14	171.177	29.21, 29.22

# MINNESOTA STATUTES—Continued

Sec.		Sec.	This work
	Instr. No.		Instr. No.
241.021(1)(f)	20.02, 20.04, 20.08,	609.065	7.15, 7.16
040 100	20.14 12.100, 12.102	$609.66(1a)(a)(2) \dots$	32.08
243.166	12.100, 12.102	609.66(1e) 609.66(1e)(c)	11.27
	12.102	609.066(2)	
	12.100	609.72(1)	13.120
243.166(3a)	12.100	609.72(1)(2)	13.120, 13.121
253B.185	12.102	609.165	32.17, 32.20, 32.21
260C.201(3)(d)	32.21	609.165(1b)	
302A.551	16.34	609.185(b)	11.13
327.70(3)	. 16.75, 17.34, 17.43	609.185(e)	11.15
340.07	13.10, 13.12	609.195	11.38
340.07(12 and 14)	16.75	609.195(b)	
360.0752(2)(a)(3).	29.63, 29.64	609.205	
360.0752(2)(a)(6).	29.70, 29.71 13.58, 13.58.1	609.205(1) to (4)	11.56
363A.03(12)	13.58, 13.58.1	609.224	11.46
518B.01(2)(a)	11.15	609.228	20.62
518B.01(2)(b)	11.15, 13.47, 13.48,	$609.229(1)(1) \dots 2$	
13.58.1	1, 13.60, 17.32, 17.43	609.232(3)	20.14
518B.01(b)(g)	32.21	600 291(10, 11)	19.72
609.01(6)		609.321(10, 11) 609.324(1, 3)	12.07
609.02(6) 11.56	13.58, 13.58.1, 17.03	609.341(3, 14)	12.01 13.72
$609.02(7) \dots 7.13$	3, 12.01, 13.60, 13.85	609.341(3)	12.01, 10.72
	13.58.1, 13.85, 13.94	609.341(4)(a)	12.52
$609.02(8) \dots 7.15,$	7.18, 13.58, 13.58.1,	609.341(5)	12.52, 17.34, 17.43
	13.85, 17.03	609.341(10) 1	2.05, 12.15, 12.25,
	2, 20.22, 20.32, 20.42	12.40, 12.1	13, 12.115, 12.117,
609.02(9)(2)	13.58, 13.60, 17.32,	12.119, 12	.121, 12.123, 13.72
600 02(0)(3)	12.52, 16.02	609.341(15)	12.09, 12.11, 12.19,
609 02(9)(4) 1	13 58 13 58 1 17 32	609.342	12.20, 12.42, 12.44
000.02(0)(1)	17.34, 17.43	609.342(1)(a), (b)	12.04. 12.05
609.02(9)(6)	17.34, 17.43	609.343	12.56
$609.02(10)(2) \dots$	3.32, 13.01, 13.02	609.343(1)(a), (b)	12.14. 12.15
609.02(16)	13.58, 13.58.1	609.344	12.56
	32.17, 32.21	609.344(1)(a), (b), (e	) $12.24, 12.25$
609.05(1, 2)	4.01	609.344(1)(b) 609.344(1)(m)	12.55
600.06(1)	7.15 7.16	609.344(1)(m) 609.344(1)(n)	19 116 19 117
609.06(1)(1)	7.19	609.344(1)(o)	12 120 12 121
609.06(1)(3)	7.13, 7.15	609.345	12.56
609.06(1)(4)	7.14	609.345(1)(a), (b), (e	) 12.39, 12.40
609.11	CR8-SVF	609.345(1)(b)	12.40, 12.55
$609.11(9) \dots 20.02$	2, 20.04, 20.08, 20.14	609.345(1)(m)	12.114, 12.115
$609.19(1)(2) \dots$	11.25, 11.27	609.345(1)(n)	
609.19(2)		609.345(1)(o)	
609.20(1)	11.30, 11.44	609.349 609.352(2 and 3)(a).	19 55 19 76
	11.48	003.332(2 and 3)(a).	12.76.1
	13.103, 13.104	609.377	
609.52(2)(6)	16.12	609.378(1)(b)(2)	13.93, 13.94
$609.52(2)(7) \dots$	16.14	609.494	5.18
	16.34	609.535	16.06, 16.75
	16.22	609.535(5)	
609.52(3)	16.64, 16.71	609.581(2)	17.03

# MINNESOTA STATUTES—Continued

## MINNESOTA RULES

Sec.	This work	Sec.	This work
	Instr. No.		Instr. No.
11.72, 1 $29.64, 2$ $29.1$	11.62, 11.66, 11.68, 11.70, 11.74, 28.12, 29.08, 29.14, 19.71, 29.85, 29.91, 29.97, 103, 29.111, 29.117, 32.46, 11.62, 11.68, 11.70.		11.72, 11.74, 28.12, 29.08, 29.14, 29.64, 29.71, 29.85, 29.91, 29.97, 29.103, 29.111, 29.117, 32.46

## MINNESOTA RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

Rule	This work Instr. No.	Rule	This work Instr. No.
11.04(2)	1.01	26.03(2)(d)	3.35

# MINNESOTA RULES OF EVIDENCE

Rule	This work
	Instr. No.
404(b)	2.01, 3.16

# **Table of Cases**

## A

Al-Naseer, State v., 690 N.W.2d 744 (Minn. 2005)—11.62 Ali, State v., 775 N.W.2d 914 (Minn. Ct. App. 2009)—20.02, 20.04, 20.08, 20.12,

20.14, 20.20, 20.22, 20.32, 20.42

Allen, State v., 375 N.W.2d 82 (Minn. Ct. App. 1985)—13.49, 13.52

Anderson v. State, Dept. of Public Safety and Dept. of Transp., 305 N.W.2d 786 (Minn. 1981)—11.62

Auchampach, State v., 540 N.W.2d 808 (Minn. 1995)—11.36, 29.58

## В

Backus, State v., 358 N.W.2d 93 (Minn. Ct. App. 1984)—13.22

Bahtuoh, State v., 840 N.W.2d 804 (Minn. 2013)—4.01

Baird, State v., 654 N.W.2d 105 (Minn. 2002)—7.13

Bakdash, State v., 830 N.W.2d 906 (Minn. Ct. App. 2013)—3.32

Bakken, State v., 883 N.W.2d 264 (Minn. 2016)—12.106

Barrientos-Quintana, State v., 787 N.W.2d 603 (Minn. 2010)—2.09, 3.18

Barrow v. State, 862 N.W.2d 686 (Minn. 2015)—20.12, 20.15

Basting, State v., 572 N.W.2d 281 (Minn. 1997)—7.13, 7.14, 7.15, 7.16

Bellcourt v. State, 390 N.W.2d 269 (Minn. 1986)-3.20, 7.17

Benedict, State v., 397 N.W.2d 337 (Minn. 1986)—1.01

Benniefield, State v., 678 N.W.2d 42 (Minn. 2004)—20.22

Bissell, State v., 368 N.W.2d 281 (Minn. 1985)—2.01, 3.16

Blakely v. Washington, 542 U.S. 296, 124 S. Ct. 2531, 159 L. Ed. 2d 403, 6 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 619 (2004)—32.21

Bolte, State v., 530 N.W.2d 191 (Minn. 1995)—2.01, 3.16

Boyce, State v., 284 Minn. 242, 170 N.W.2d 104 (1969)—7.15

Boykin, State v., 312 Minn. 593, 252 N.W.2d 604 (1977)—3.03

Brehmer, State v., 281 Minn. 156, 160 N.W.2d 669 (1968)—11.62, 11.68

Bridgeforth, State v., 357 N.W.2d 393 (Minn. Ct. App. 1984)—13.04

Broten, State v., 836 N.W.2d 573 (Minn. Ct. App. 2013)—13.85

Burnett, State v., 867 N.W.2d 534 (Minn. Ct. App. 2015)—22.02

Bustos, State v., 861 N.W.2d 655 (Minn. 2015)—11.15, 13.60

#### C

Carothers, State v., 594 N.W.2d 897 (Minn. 1999)—7.16 Carufel, State v., 783 N.W.2d 539 (Minn. 2010)—20.12, 20.22, 32.25 Clark, State v., 755 N.W.2d 241 (Minn. 2008)—2.09, 3.18 Clark, State v., 375 N.W.2d 59 (Minn. Ct. App. 1985)—13.49, 13.52 Cole, State v., 542 N.W.2d 43 (Minn. 1996)—11.29 Crace, State v., 289 N.W.2d 54 (Minn. 1979)—11.56

## D

Dahlin, State v., 695 N.W.2d 588 (Minn. 2005)—7.13, 7.14, 7.15, 7.16

Davidson, State v., 351 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1984)—12.54, 12.88, 13.49, 13.52, 13.58, 13.58.1, 17.32, 17.34, 18.28, 18.30, 29.08, 29.14, 29.22, 29.85, 29.91, 29.103, 29.111, 29.117, 32.17, 32.21, 32.37

Decker, State v., 916 N.W.2d 385 (Minn. 2018)—12.54, 12.90 Devens, State v., 852 N.W.2d 255 (Minn. 2014)—7.13, 7.14, 7.15, 7.16 Devens, State v., 825 N.W.2d 255 (Minn. 2014)—7.13 Dorn, State v., 875 N.W.2d 357 (Minn. Ct. App. 2016)—13.04 Dye, State v., 871 N.W.2d 916 (Minn. Ct. App. 2015)—13.04

## R

Edwards, State v., 717 N.W.2d 405 (Minn. 2006)—7.17 Engle, State v., 743 N.W.2d 592 (Minn. 2008)—3.32 Estrella, State v., 700 N.W.2d 496 (Minn. Ct. App. 2005)—20.12, 20.22, 32.25 Ezeka, State v., N.W.2d (Minn. July 15, 2020)—4.01

## H

Ferraro, State v., 290 N.W.2d 177 (Minn. 1980)—16.75
Fichtner, State v., 867 N.W.2d 242 (Minn. Ct. App. 2015)—29.20
Fleck, State v., 810 N.W.2d 303 (Minn. 2012)—3.32, 13.01, 13.02
Florine, State v., 303 Minn. 103, 226 N.W.2d 609 (1975)—20.22, 20.46, 32.17, 32.21

Fox, State v., 868 N.W.2d 206 (Minn. 2015)—3.05 Franks, State v., 765 N.W.2d 68 (Minn. 2009)—13.60, 13.107 Frost, State v., 342 N.W.2d 317 (Minn. 1983)—11.56

Fernow, State v., 354 N.W.2d 438 (Minn. 1984)—16.02

#### G

Gage, State v., 272 Minn. 106, 136 N.W.2d 662 (1965)—16.02 Garcia-Gutierrez, State v., 844 N.W.2d 519 (Minn. 2014)—17.03 Glowacki, State v., 630 N.W.2d 392 (Minn. 2001)—7.13, 7.14, 7.15, 7.16 Graham, State v., 176 Minn. 164, 222 N.W. 909 (1929)—11.62, 11.66, 11.68, 11.70, 11.72, 11.74, 28.12, 29.08, 29.14, 29.64, 29.71, 29.85, 29.91, 29.97, 29.103, 29.111, 29.117, 32.46

#### H

Hall, State v., 722 N.W.2d 472 (Minn. 2006)—3.32 Hanson, State v., 286 Minn. 317, 176 N.W.2d 607 (1970)—11.38 Hare, State v., 575 N.W.2d 828 (Minn. 1998)—7.15 Hare, State v., 575 N.W.3d 828 (Minn. 1998)—7.16 Hayes, State v., 826 N.W.2d 799 (Minn. 2013)—11.27 Haywood, State v., 886 N.W.2d 485 (Minn. 2016)—32.17, 32.21

Tbl of Cases-2

## TABLE OF CASES

Henderson, State v., 907 N.W.2d 623 (Minn. 2018)—11.62, 11.64, 11.66, 11.68, 11.70, 11.72, 11.74, 11.76, 11.87, 11.89, 29.08, 29.14, 29.85, 29.91, 29.111, 29.117

Hennum, State v., 428 N.W.2d 859 (Minn. Ct. App. 1988)—7.15 Hensel, State v., 901 N.W.2d 166 (Minn. 2017)—13.120, 13.121 Her, State v., 862 N.W.2d 692 (Minn. 2015)—32.21 Higgin, State v., 257 Minn. 46, 99 N.W.2d 902 (1959)—16.75 Horst, State v., 880 N.W.2d 24 (Minn. 2016)—2.09, 3.18 Huber, State v., 877 N.W.2d 519 (Minn. 2016)—4.01

Hunter, State v., 857 N.W.2d 537 (Minn. Ct. App. 2014)—8.01, 20.14, 20.20, 20.22, 20.46

## Ι

Iverson, State v., 664 N.W.2d 346 (Minn. 2003)—12.100 Ivy, State v., 873 N.W.2d 362 (Minn. Ct. App. 2015)—13.22

## .]

Jama, State v., 923 N.W.2d 632 (Minn. 2019)—12.88 Johnson, State v., 773 N.W.2d 81 (Minn. 2009)—13.60 Johnson, State v., 719 N.W.2d 619 (Minn. 2006)—7.15 Jordan, State v., 272 Minn. 84, 136 N.W.2d 601 (1965)—3.20 Jorgenson, State v., 758 N.W.2d 316 (Minn. Ct. App. 2008)—13.107 Jurek, State v., 376 N.W.2d 233 (Minn. Ct. App. 1985)—1.01

## K

Kelly, State v., 435 N.W.2d 807 (Minn. 1989)—11.44 Kopetka, State v., 265 Minn. 371, 121 N.W.2d 783 (1963)—11.38 Korich, State v., 219 Minn. 268, 17 N.W.2d 497 (1945)—13.121 Kramer, State v., 668 N.W.2d 32 (Minn. Ct. App. 2003)—29.58 Kutchara, State v., 350 N.W.2d 924 (Minn. 1984)—13.21

#### L

LaBarre, State v., 292 Minn. 228, 195 N.W.2d 435 (1972)-20.22, 20.46

Landherr, State v., 542 N.W.2d 686 (Minn. Ct. App. 1996)—11.56
Lapenotiere v. State, 916 N.W.2d 351 (Minn. 2018)—20.12
Leake, State v., 699 N.W.2d 312 (Minn. 2005)—32.21
Leinweber, State v., 303 Minn. 414, 228 N.W.2d 120 (1975)—3.20
Little Falls, City of v. Witucki, 295 N.W.2d 243 (Minn. 1980)—13.121
Litzau, State v., 650 N.W.2d 177 (Minn. 2002)—12.90
Logan, State v., 535 N.W.2d 320 (Minn. 1995)—1.01
Lopez, State v., 908 N.W.2d 334 (Minn. 2018)—17.02, 17.03, 17.04, 17.06, 17.07, 17.08, 17.09, 17.11, 17.13
Lower State v. 66 Minn. 296, 68 N.W. 1094 (1896)—11.38, 11.42

Lowe, State v., 66 Minn. 296, 68 N.W. 1094 (1896)—11.38, 11.42 Lutz, unpub. op., State v., 2004 WL 2521193 (Minn. Ct. App. Nov. 9, 2004)—7.14

#### M

Mankato, City of v. Fetchenhier, 363 N.W.2d 76 (Minn. Ct. App. 1985)—12.88 Mauer, State v., 741 N.W.2d 107 (Minn. 2007)—12.78, 12.82, 12.105, 12.107,

13 58

Meany, State v., 262 Minn. 491, 115 N.W.2d 247 (1962)—11.62, 11.68 Merrill, State v., 450 N.W.2d 318 (Minn. 1990)—11.66, 11.70 Milton, State v., 821 N.W.2d 789 (Minn. 2012)—4.01 Mollberg, State v., 310 Minn. 376, 246 N.W.2d 463 (1976)—20.22, 20.46 Moore, State v., 699 N.W.2d 733 (Minn. 2005)—13.04 Moser, State v., 884 N.W.2d 890 (Minn. Ct. App. 2016)—12.55, 12.76, 12.76.1 Munger v. State, 749 N.W.2d 335 (Minn. 2008)—17.06 Munnell, State v., 344 N.W.2d 883 (Minn. Ct. App. 1984)—11.56, 11.62

## N

Ndikum, State v., 815 N.W.2d 816 (Minn. 2012)—20.62, 32.37, 32.46 Nelson, State v., 806 N.W.2d 558 (Minn. Ct. App. 2011)—11.62

O'Heron, State v., 250 Minn. 83, 83 N.W.2d 785 (1957)—28.12

## O

Olkon, State v., 299 N.W.2d 89 (Minn. 1980)—3.03 Olson, State v., 482 N.W.2d 212 (Minn. 1992)—12.90 Olson, State v., 435 N.W.2d 530 (Minn. 1989)—3.31, 11.21, 11.23, 11.25, 11.27, 11.29, 11.33, 11.35, 11.36, 11.38, 11.40, 11.42, 11.44, 11.46, 11.48, 11.56, 11.62, 11.64, 11.66, 11.68, 11.70, 11.72, 11.74, 11.76, 11.78, 11.87, 11.89, 12.52, 13.58.1, 20.62

Ott, State v., 291 Minn. 72, 189 N.W.2d 377 (1971)—13.47, 13.48 Outlaw, State v., 748 N.W.2d 349 (Minn. Ct. App. 2008)—32.21

#### P

Palmer, State v., 636 N.W.2d 810 (Minn. Ct. App. 2001)—32.37

Peck, State v., 773 N.W.2d 768 (Minn. 2009)—20.04, 20.14, 20.20, 20.22

Pegelow, State v., 809 N.W.2d 245 (Minn. Ct. App. 2012)—13.58, 13.58.1

Pendleton, State v., 567 N.W.2d 265 (Minn. 1997)—7.13, 7.14, 7.15, 7.16

Perry, State v., 725 N.W.2d 761 (Minn. Ct. App. 2007)—13.94

Peterson, State v., 936 N.W.2d 912 (Minn. Ct. App. 2019)—13.57, 13.58, 13.58.1

Pratt, State v., 277 Minn. 363, 152 N.W.2d 510 (1967)—18.28, 18.30

Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court of California, Riverside County, 464 U.S. 501, 104 S. Ct. 819, 78 L. Ed. 2d 629 (1984)—1.01

Prigge, State v., 907 N.W.2d 635 (Minn. 2018)—32.46

## R

Reed, State v., 737 N.W.2d 572 (Minn. 2007)—2.09, 3.18 Reilly, State v., 269 N.W.2d 343 (Minn. 1978)—11.38 Reyes, State v., 890 N.W.2d 406 (Minn. Ct. App. 2017)—12.09, 12.11, 12.19, 12.29, 12.42, 12.44

Richardson, State v., 633 N.W.2d 879 (Minn. Ct. App. 2001)—13.60 Ritter, State v., 719 N.W.2d 216 (Minn. Ct. App. 2006)—1.01 Ritter, State v., 486 N.W.2d 832 (Minn. Ct. App. 1992)—28.12

Tbl of Cases-4

## TABLE OF CASES

Roden, State v., 380 N.W.2d 520 (Minn. Ct. App. 1986)—16.06 Rodriguez, State v., 863 N.W.2d 424 (Minn. Ct. App. 2015)—17.06 Rogers, State v., 925 N.W.2d 1 (Minn. 2019)—17.03

#### S

Sanford, State v., 450 N.W.2d 580 (Minn. Ct. App. 1990)—7.15
Sap, State v., 408 N.W.2d 638 (Minn. Ct. App. 1987)—3.03
Scheerle, State v., 285 N.W.2d 686 (Minn. 1979)—3.20
Schluter, State v., 281 N.W.2d 174 (Minn. 1979)—11.44
Schmid, State v., 859 N.W.2d 816 (Minn. 2015)—28.12
Schmieg, State v., 322 N.W.2d 759 (Minn. 1982)—3.03
Schouweiler, State v., 887 N.W.2d 22 (Minn. 2016)—16.07, 16.08
Schweppe, State v., 306 Minn. 395, 237 N.W.2d 609 (1975)—13.107
Shoop, State v., 441 N.W.2d 475 (Minn. 1989)—2.09, 3.18
Simonson, State v., 298 Minn. 235, 214 N.W.2d 679 (1974)—16.12

Smith, State v., 835 N.W.2d 1 (Minn. 2013)—3.31, 11.21, 11.23, 11.25, 11.27, 11.29, 11.33, 11.35, 11.36, 11.38, 11.40, 11.42, 11.44, 11.46, 11.48, 11.56, 11.62, 11.64, 11.66, 11.68, 11.70, 11.72, 11.74, 11.76, 11.78, 11.87, 11.89, 12.52, 13.58.1, 20.62

Smith, State v., 264 Minn. 307, 119 N.W.2d 838 (1962)—3.31, 11.21, 11.23, 11.25, 11.27, 11.29, 11.33, 11.35, 11.36, 11.38, 11.40, 11.42, 11.44, 11.46, 11.48, 11.56, 11.62, 11.64, 11.66, 11.68, 11.70, 11.72, 11.74, 11.76, 11.78, 11.87, 11.89, 12.52, 13.58.1, 20.62

Soukup, State v., 656 N.W.2d 424 (Minn. Ct. App. 2003)—7.13, 7.15, 13.120 Spann, State v., 289 Minn. 497, 182 N.W.2d 873 (1970)—11.56 Spence, State v., 768 N.W.2d 104 (Minn. 2009)—17.03

Stark, State v., 363 N.W.2d 53 (Minn. 1985)—11.62, 11.66, 11.68, 11.70, 11.72, 11.74, 28.12, 29.08, 29.14, 29.64, 29.71, 29.85, 29.91, 29.97, 29.103, 29.111, 29.117, 32.46

State—(see opposing party)

Stay, State v., 923 N.W.2d 355 (Minn. Ct. App. 2019)—11.46 Steeves v. State, 287 Minn. 476, 178 N.W.2d 723 (1970)—11.40 Stevenson, State v., 656 N.W.2d 235 (Minn. 2003)—12.54, 12.90 Stewart, State v., 276 N.W.2d 51 (Minn. 1979)—11.38 St. Paul, City of v. Mulnix, 304 Minn. 456, 232 N.W.2d 206 (1975)—13.121 Strommen, State v., 648 N.W.2d 681 (Minn. 2002)—2.09, 3.18 Swain, State v., 269 N.W.2d 707 (Minn. 1978)—11.44

#### T

Taylor, State v., 594 N.W.2d 533 (Minn. Ct. App. 1999)—13.66 Thao, State v., 875 N.W.2d 834 (Minn. 2016)—3.03 Thompson, State v., 544 N.W.2d 8 (Minn. 1996)—7.13, 7.14, 7.15, 7.16 Thonesavanh, State v., 904 N.W.2d 432 (Minn. 2017)—16.22 T.L.S., In re Welfare of, 713 N.W.2d 877 (Minn. Ct. App. 2006)—13.121 Troxel v. State, 875 N.W.2d 302 (Minn. 2016)—3.20 Ture v. State, 681 N.W.2d 9 (Minn. 2004)—2.01, 3.16 Turnipseed, State v., 297 N.W.2d 308 (Minn. 1980)—3.05

## IJ

Udstuen, State v., 345 N.W.2d 766 (Minn. 1984)—3.03 Ulmer, State v., 719 N.W.2d 213 (Minn. Ct. App. 2006)—17.32, 17.34, 17.43

## $\mathbf{v}$

Victor v. Nebraska, 511 U.S. 1, 114 S. Ct. 1239, 127 L. Ed. 2d 583 (1994)—3.03

## W

Walker, State v., 306 Minn. 105, 235 N.W.2d 810 (1975)—3.20 Wallert, State v., 402 N.W.2d 570 (Minn. Ct. App. 1987)—2.09, 3.18 Welfare of A. J. B., Matter of, 929 N.W.2d 840 (Minn. 2019)—13.58.1 Welfare of S. L. J., Matter of, 263 N.W.2d 412 (Minn. 1978)—13.121 Welle, State v., 870 N.W.2d 360 (Minn. 2015)—2.01, 3.16 Wemyss, State v., 696 N.W.2d 802 (Minn. Ct. App. 2005)—12.100 White, State v., 292 N.W.2d 16 (Minn. 1980)—13.121 Williams, State v., 324 N.W.2d 154 (Minn. 1982)—16.75 Winbush, State v., 912 N.W.2d 678 (Minn. Ct. App. 2018)—20.64 Wiskow, State v., 774 N.W.2d 612 (Minn. Ct. App. 2009)—32.21

## 7

Zinski, State v., 927 N.W.2d 272 (Minn, 2019)-2.07, 3.30

# Index

## ACCESSORIES AND ACCOMPLICES

Liability for crimes of another, **4.01** Testimony, **3.18** 

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Alcohol, driving under the influence, 29.15

## AIRCRAFT OPERATION

Under the influence Combination of substances, **29.63**, **29.64** Intoxicating substances, **29.70**, **29.71** 

## ALCOHOL OFFENSES

Defenses, affirmative, 29.15

## **ASSAULT**

Bodily harm, infliction of, 13.02 First degree, great bodily harm, 13.04 Fourth degree, peace officer, 13.22 Infliction of bodily harm, 13.02 Intent to cause fear, 13.01

## **AUTOMOBILES**

Driving, failure to stop and give information, unattended vehicle

Defined, 29.43

Elements, 29,44

Driving, reckless

Defined. 29.25

Elements, 29,26

Marijuana, possession of a small amount in a motor vehicle, 20.44

Off-road vehicles, operating under the influence, 29.116

Overtaking and passing a vehicle stopped at a crosswalk to permit a pedestrian to cross a roadway

Defined, 29,124

Elements, 29,125

Unattended vehicles, 29.43, 29.44

#### AVIATION

Operation of aircraft

Under the influence

Combination of substances, **29.63**, **29.64**Intoxicating substances, **29.70**, **29.71** 

## BOATS AND BOATING

Under the influence

Combination of substances, 29.96, 29.97 Intoxicating substances, 29.102, 29.103

## BREACH OF PEACE AND DISORDERLY CONDUCT

Definition of disorderly conduct, 13.120

## BURDEN OF PROOF

Cognitive impairment, 6.03

Defenses, mental illness or cognitive impairment, 6.03

Mental illness, 6.03

## BURGLARY

Second degree, dwelling, 17.06

## CANNABINOIDS

Possession, 20.42

## CAUTIONARY INSTRUCTIONS

Receipt of testimony of other crime, 2.01

Receipt of testimony of other domestic abuse occurrences, 2.07

## **CHECKS**

Definition, worthless check, 16.07

Worthless check, issuance

Defined. 16.07

**Elements**. 16.08

#### CHILD ABUSE

Murder, elements, 11.13

#### CHILDREN AND MINORS

Complainant of sexual conduct, criminal

Fourth degree, 12.42, 12.44

Mistake of age as defense, 12.55

Second degree, 12.19

Third degree, 12.29

Murder in first degree, elements, 11.13

Solicitation

Child to engage in sexual conduct, 12.76

Mistake of age as a defense, 12.76.1

Surreptitious intrusion, sexual intent, 17.42, 17.43

#### CLOSE OF TRIAL

Accomplice testimony, 3.18

Definitions, 3.32

Deliberation, duties of jurors, 3.04

Direct and circumstantial evidence, 3.05

Duties of jurors, 3.04

Foreperson, selection of, duties of jurors, 3.04

Had reason to know, defined, 3.32

## CLOSE OF TRIAL-Cont'd

Instructions on receipt of testimony of other domestic abuse occurrences, 3.30

With intent, defined, 3.32

Intentionally, defined, 3.32

Know, defined, 3.32

Lesser crimes, 3.20

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, 3.03

Recklessly, defined, 3.32

Restraint of defendant, 3.35

Return of verdict, duties of jurors, 3.04

Testimony as to other crimes, 3.16

Unanimous verdict, duty of jurors to discuss, 3.04

## COERCION

Defined. 13.103

Elements, 13.104

## **COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT**

Mental illness or cognitive impairment, this index

## CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

Generally, 20.01 et seq.

See also Drugs and Narcotics, this index

Carrying a pistol in a public place while under the influence, 32.46

Crime in the fifth degree, possession, 20.36

Crime in the first degree

Possession, 20.03, 20.04

Sale. 20.01, 20.02

Crime in the fourth degree, possession, 20.32

Crime in the second degree, possession

Defined. 20.13

Elements, 20.14

Crime in the second degree, sale

Defined, 20,07

Elements, 20.08

Crime in the third degree

Sale, 20,15

Crime in the third degree, possession

Defined, 20.19

Drug treatment facility, 20.22

Elements, 20,20

Park, 20.22

Public housing, 20.22

School, 20.22

Drug paraphernalia, 20.46

Marijuana, possession of a small amount in a motor vehicle, 20.44

Methamphetamine manufacturer, possession of precursors, 20.64

Offenses, possession, 20.42

Possession, offenses, 20.42

## CRIMES OF ANOTHER

Liability for, 4.01

## CROSSWALKS

Overtaking and passing a vehicle stopped at a crosswalk to permit a pedestrian to cross a roadway, 29.124, 29.125

## DEFENSES

Alcohol intoxication, affirmative defense, 29.15

Authorized use of force, 7.06

Burden of proof, 6.03

Cognitive impairment, 6.02, 6.03

Deadly force, authorized use of, peace officers, 7.11

Death not the result, 7.13, 7.14

Defense of property, 7.14

Defense of self or others, 7.13, 7.15

Intentional taking of life, justifiable, 7.15

Justifiable taking of life, 7.05, 7.15

Mental illness, 6.02, 6.03

Non-deadly force, authorized use of, peace officers, 7.12

Sexual conduct, criminal

Mistake of age, 12.55

Third or fourth degree, mistake of age, 12.55

Unintentional death, 7.13, 7.14

## DEFINITIONS

Check, worthless, 16.07

Close of trial, 3.32

Duress or coercion, 13.103

Overtaking and passing a vehicle stopped at a crosswalk to permit a pedestrian to cross a roadway, 29.124

Trafficking of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, 16.104

## DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

Close of trial, 3.05

## **DISORDERLY CONDUCT**

Generally, 13.120

Defined. 13.120

## DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND ABUSE

Cautionary instruction on testimony of other domestic abuse occurrences, 2.07

Closing instruction, testimony of other occurrences, 3.30

Murder in first degree, elements, 11.15

Testimony of other occurrences

Close of trial, 3.30

During trial, 2.07

## DRIVING

Failure to stop and give information, unattended vehicle, 29.43, 29.44

Index-4

## DRIVING-Cont'd

Overtaking and passing a vehicle stopped at a crosswalk to permit a pedestrian to cross a roadway, **29.124**, **29.125** 

Reckless, 29.25, 29.26

Stop, failure to stop, unattended vehicle, 29.43, 29.44

## DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE

Aggravating factors, 29.20

Alcohol

Affirmative defense, 29.15

Combined with controlled and/or hazardous substances, 29.07, 29.08

Controlled substances

Combined with alcohol and/or hazardous substances, 29.07, 29.08 Intoxicating substances

Generally, 29.13, 29.14

Combined with alcohol and/or controlled substances, 29.07, 29.08

Passenger under age 16, 29.20

## DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED

Aggravated violation (DWI), 29.20

Alcohol

Affirmative defense, 29.15

Combined with controlled and/or hazardous substances, 29.07, 29.08

Controlled substances

Combined with alcohol and/or hazardous substances, 29.07, 29.08

Intoxicating substances

Generally, 29.13, 29.14

Combined with alcohol and/or controlled substances, 29.07, 29.08

Passenger under age 16, 29.20

## DRUG PARAPHERNALIA

Delivery or possession, 20.46

Manufacture for delivery, 20.46

# DRUG TREATMENT FACILITY, CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

Possession, 20.22

## DRUGS AND NARCOTICS

See also Controlled Substances, this index

Drug paraphernalia, 20.46

Drug treatment facility, possession, 20.22

Fifth degree offenses, possession, 20.36

First degree offenses

Possession, 20.03, 20.04

Sale. 20.01, 20.02

Fourth degree offenses, possession, 20.32

Marijuana, possession of a small amount in a motor vehicle, 20.44

Methamphetamine manufacturer, possession of precursors, 20.64

Motor vehicles, marijuana possession, 20.44

Offenses, possession, 20.42

## DRUGS AND NARCOTICS—Cont'd

Off-highway motorcycles, combination of substances, **29.110**, **29.111** Off-highway vehicles, combination of substances, **29.110**, **29.111** 

Possession

Cannabinoids, synthetic, 20.42

Delivery, 20.46

Drug paraphernalia, 20.46

Fifth degree, 20.36

First degree

Defined, 20.03

Elements, 20.04

Fourth degree, 20.32

Manufacture, 20.46

Marijuana, 20,44

Methamphetaine, precursors, 20.64

Salvia divinorum, 20.42

Second degree

Defined, 20.13

Elements, 20.14

Third degree

Defined, 20.19

Elements, 20.20, 20.22

Second degree offenses

Possession, 20.13, 20.14

Sale, 20.07, 20.08

Third degree offenses

Drug treatment facility, 20.22

Park. 20.22

Possession, 20.19, 20.20

Public housing, 20.22

Sale, 20.15

School, 20,22

## **DURESS OR COERCION**

Definition of coercion, 13.103

Elements of coercion, 13.104

## **DURING TRIAL**

Cautionary instruction on receipt of testimony of other crime, 2.01

Cautionary instruction on testimony of other domestic abuse occurrences, 2.07

Other crimes or occurrences, testimony as to

Generally, 2.01

Cautionary instructions, 2.01

Testimony as to other crimes, cautionary instructions, 2.01

## FINANCIAL TRANSACTION CARD FRAUD

Trafficking of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits

Defined, 16.104

Elements, 16.105

## FOOD STAMPS

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, this index

## FORCE, USE OF

Authorized use of

Deadly, peace officers, defense, 7.11

Defense, generally, 7.06

Non-deadly, peace officers, defense, 7.12

Criminal sexual conduct, first degree, 12.09

Deadly, authorized use of, peace officers, defense, 7.11

Non-deadly, authorized use of, peace officers, defense, 7.12

#### **FOREPERSON**

Selection of, duties of jurors, 3.04

## HARASSMENT

Defined, 13.57, 13.57.1

Elements, 13.58, 13.58.1

## HAZARDOUS PROPERTY OR SUBSTANCES

Operating off-road vehicles under the influence of, 29.116

## HOMICIDE

First degree

Child abuse, 11.13

Domestic abuse, 11.15

Second degree, 11.25

## HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Controlled substances, possession, 20.22

## IMPEACHMENT OF WITNESS

Cautionary instruction on testimony of other domestic abuse occurrences, 2.07

## **INTOXICATING LIQUORS**

Carrying a pistol in a public place while under the influence, 32.46

#### INTOXICATION

Carrying a pistol in a public place while under the influence, 32.46

### JURY AND JURY TRIAL

Additional issues, advising of, 3.04

Deliberation, 3.04

Duties of jurors, 3.04

Foreperson, selection of, 3.04

Return of verdict, 3.04

Unanimous verdict, 3.04

#### LARCENY

Lost property, elements of, 16.12

Property, lost, 16.12

Worthless check, 16.08

## LESSER AND INCLUDED OFFENSES

Close of trial, lesser crimes, 3.20

## LESSER CRIMES

Close of trial, 3.20

## MARLIUANA

Possession

Motor vehicles, 20.44

Synthetic cannabinoids, 20.42

## MENTAL ILLNESS OR COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT

Burden of proof, 6.03

Defenses

Cognitive impairment, 6.02

Mental illness, 6.02

## MENTAL ILLNESS OR DEFICIENCY

Mental illness or cognitive impairment, this index

## METHAMPHETAMINE MANUFACTURER

Possession of precursors, 20.64

## MINIMUM

Sentencing proceedings, 8.01

## MOTOR VEHICLES

Automobiles, this index

#### MOTORBOAT OPERATION

Under the influence

Combination of substances, 29.96, 29.97

Intoxicating substances, 29.102, 29.103

## MULTIPLE ACTS OR MATTERS

Closing instructions on receipt of testimony of other domestic abuse occurrences, 3.30

Instructions on receipt of testimony of other domestic abuse occurrences, 2.07, 3.30

## MURDER

First degree

Child abuse, 11,13

Domestic abuse, 11.15

Second degree, elements, 11.25

## PARKS AND SQUARES

Controlled substance possession, 20.22

## **PEACE OFFICERS**

Assault. 13.22

Deadly force, authorized use of, defense, 7.11

Non-deadly force, authorized use of, defense, 7.12

Index-8

## PEDESTRIANS

Overtaking and passing a vehicle stopped at a crosswalk to permit a pedestrian to cross a roadway, 29.124, 29.125

## **PERJURY**

Action, hearing, or proceeding, 22.02

## PORNOGRAPHY

Minors, pornographic work involving, 12.106

## POSSESSION

Drugs and Narcotics, this index Sentencing proceeding, dangerous weapon or firearm, **8.01** Sex crimes, pornographic work involving minors, **12.106** Weapons Crimes, this index

## PREDATORY OFFENDER

Committed pursuant to court order, 12.102

## PRESUMPTIONS AND BURDEN OF PROOF

Cognitive impairment, **6.03**Defenses, mental illness or cognitive impairment, **6.03**Mental illness, **6.03** 

## PROOF BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT

Generally, 3.03

## **PROSTITUTION**

Engages in, hires, offers, or agrees to sex Defined, **12.66**Elements, **12.67** 

## PUBLIC HOUSING, CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

Possession, 20,22

## RESTRAINT

Defendant, close of trial, 3.35

## SALVIA DIVINORUM

Possession, 20,42

## SCHOOL PROPERTY

Controlled substances, possession, 20.22

## **SCHOOLS**

Property, possession of controlled substances, 20.22

## SENTENCE AND PUNISHMENT

Mandatory minimum, **8.01**Possession of dangerous weapon or firearm, **8.01** 

## SEXUAL CONDUCT, CRIMINAL

Fifth degree, complainant underage, **12.55** First degree

Generally, 12.09

## SEXUAL CONDUCT, CRIMINAL—Cont'd

First degree—Cont'd

Complainant underage, 12.04

Force, use of, 12.09

Significant relationship, 12.11

## Fourth degree

Complainant 16-18, 12.42, 12.44

Complainant underage, 12.55

Defense of mistake of age, 12.55

Mistake of age as defense, 12.55

Significant relationship, 12.42, 12.44

Mistake of age as defense, 12.76.1

Second degree

Generally, 12.19

Complainant under 16, 12.19

Significant relationship, 12.19

Solicitation, child to engage in sexual conduct

Defense, mistake of age, 12.76.1

Elements, 12.76

## Third degree

Complainant 16 to 18, 12.29

Complainant underage, 12.25

Defense of mistake of age, 12.55

Mistake of age as defense, 12.55

Significant relationship, 12.29

## SEXUAL RELATIONS AND OFFENSES

First degree

Complainant underage, 12.04

Significant relationship, 12.11

Fourth degree

Complainant 16-18, 12.42, 12.44

Defense of mistake of age, 12.55

Mistake of age as defense, 12.55

Significant relationship, 12.42, 12.44

Harassment

Defined, 13.57, 13.57.1

Elements, 13.58, 13.58,1

Mistake of age as defense, 12.76.1

Prostitution, this index

Second degree

Generally, 12.19

Complainant under 16, 12.19

Significant relationship, 12.19

Solicitation, child to engage in sexual conduct

Defense, mistake of age, 12.76.1

**Elements**, 12.76

## SEXUAL RELATIONS AND OFFENSES—Cont'd

Stalking

Definition, 13.59

Elements, 13,60

Surreptitious intrusion, 17.42, 17.43

Third degree

Complainant 16 to 18, 12.29

Defense, mistake of age, 12.55

Elements, 12,25

Defense of mistake of age, 12.55

Mistake of age as defense, 12.55

Significant relationship, 12.29

## SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP

Criminal sexual conduct

First degree, 12.11

Fourth degree, elements, 12.42, 12.44

Second degree, 12.19

Third degree, 12.29

Sexual conduct, criminal

First degree, 12.11

Fourth degree, 12.42, 12.44

Third degree, 12.29

# SNOWMOBILE/ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE

Operation under the influence

Combination of substances, 29.84, 29.85

Intoxicating substance, 29.90, 29.91

#### SOLICITATION

Child to engage in sexual conduct, 12.76, 12.76.1

Mistake of age as defense, 12.76.1

## SPECIAL VERDICTS

Aggravating factors, verdict form, CR8 to SVF

#### **STALKING**

Defined, 13.59

Elements, 13.60

## SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (SNAP) BENEFITS

Theft and related crimes, trafficking of benefits

Defined, 16.104

Elements, 16.105

## SURREPTITIOUS INTRUSION

House or dwelling pace, 17.31, 17.32

Sexual intent regarding a minor, 17.42, 17.43

## THEFT

Checks, worthless, 16.08 Lost property, elements of, 16.12 Property, lost, 16.12 Worthless checks, 16.08

## THEFT AND RELATED OFFENSES

Financial transaction card fraud, 16.104, 16.105

## THREATS

Violence

Generally, 13.106 to 13.111

BB guns, 13.110, 13.111

Replica firearms, 13.110, 13.111

Violent crime, threat to commit, 13.106, 13.107

## TRAFFIC

Automobiles, this index

Intoxicating substances, operating off-road vehicles under the influence of, 29.116, 29.117

Off-highway motorcycles, combination of substances, **29.110**, **29.111**Off-highway vehicles, combination of substances, **29.110**, **29.111** 

## TRAFFIC STOPS

Intoxicating substances, operating off-road vehicles under the influence of, 29.116, 29.117

# TRAFFICKING OF SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (SNAP) BENEFITS

Defined, 16.104 Elements, 16.105

## **VEHICLES**

Automobiles, this index

# VERDICT

Close of trial, 3.04 Unanimous verdict, duty of jurors, 3.04

#### WEAPONS AND FIREARMS

Felon in possession of firearm, ammunition, **32.16**, **32.17** Possession

Pistol or semi-automatic military-style assault weapon
Ammunition, ineligible persons, 32.20, 32.21

Threats of violence, 13.110, 13.111

#### WEAPONS CRIMES

Carrying pistol in public place, **32.46** 

Felon in possession of firearm, ammunition, 32.16, 32.17

Firearm silencer

Defined, 32.24 of the 12.76.1 SEATH JEATH SORR graille who to sensit

Elements, 32.25 FATE CATE nonline a unityagen maini laure?

## WEAPONS CRIMES—Cont'd

Military style weapons, 32.20

Pistols, 32.20, 32.21, 32.46

Possession

Alcohol, 32.46

Controlled substance, 32.46

Defined, 32.20

Elements, 32.20

Felon, 32.17

Firearm silencer, 32.24, 32.25

Military style assault weapon, ammunition, 32.20, 32.21

Pistol. 32.20, 32.21

Pistol or semi-automatic military-style assault weapon

Ammunition, ineligible persons, 32.20, 32.21

Public place, pistol carry, 32.46

Threats of violence, 13.110, 13.111

#### THE STREET

Checks, worthliss, 16.68

Lost property, elements of, 16.12

Property, lost, 16:12

Worthless checks, 16.03

VEAPONS CRIMIES—Cont'd

Military style weapons, 32,20

Paris, Small Daise,

Alcohol, 32.46

# HEFE AND RELATED OFFENSES

Financial transaction card fraud, 16.104. 16

Convolled substance, 32,46

Defined, 32.20

## THREATS

Violence

Princern elicone 42 24 32 35

Military style assault weapon, a

Replica firearms, 1000000 loss by a status assault by a grown amountion, inclinible obtainmed 2200, and a status of the control of the contro

## TRACTIC

is index"

Threats of violence, 13.110, 13.111

Intoxicating substances, operating off-road vehicles under the influence of 29.116, 29.117

Off-highway motorcycles, combination of substances, 29.110, 29.111
Off-highway vehicles, combination of substances, 29.110, 29.111

## TRAPPIC STOPS

Intoxicating substances, operating off-road vehicles under the influence of, 29.116, 29.117

# TRAFFICKING OF SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (SNAP) BENEFITS

Defined, 16.104

Florian \$6 105

#### VEHICLES

Automobiles, this indei

## VEMDICI

Close of trial, 3.04

Unanimous verdict date of incore, 3 th

#### WEAPONS AND PUREARING

Pelon in possession of firearm, ammunition, 32.16, 32.17

Possession

Pistol or semi-automatic military-style assault weapon Automatican, inclinible persons, 32:20, 32:21

Throats of violence, 13,110, 13,111

#### SUST A DESAID OF THE A PERSON

Carrying pistol in public place, 32.46

Felon in possession of finearm, ammunition, 32.16, 32.17

Firearm silencer

Defined, 32,24

Elements, 32.25